र्जिस्ट्री सं. डी. (डी. एन.)—73

Missing Niess July-Sept

REGISTERED No. D. (D.N.)-73



16191852164

The Gazette of India

Missing

प्राथकार त प्रकाशित

No.35

PUBLISHED BY AUTHO . TY

d• 28]

नई बिस्ली, शनिवार, जुलाई 10, 1982/ग्राषाट 19, 1904

No. 281

NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, JULY 10, 1982/ASADHA 19, 1904

भू भाग में भिम्न पृष्ठ संस्था वी जाती है जिससे कि यह सलग संकलन के रूप थे रखा ना सर्व Apparate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation

भाग 11—इएड 3—उप-खण्ड (il)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

(रक्षा मंत्रालब को छोड़कर) भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों द्वारा जारी किए गए सांविधिक ग्रावेश और ग्रधिसूचनाएं

Statutory Orders and Notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence)

विधि , न्याय और कम्पनी कार्य मंत्रालय (विधि कार्य विधान)

नई किल्ली, 23 जून, 1952

मुचनाए

कार आरं 2453 — नाटरीज नियम, 1956 के नियम 6 वे अनसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा यह सूचना दी जारी है दि थी प्रियसाय, वर्षाल मोहल्ला गुवडी, वहराइच, उ०प्र०-271801 न उक्त प्राधिकारी की उक्त नियम के नियम के के अधीन एक अधिक के विष के चिए विद्या है कि उसे सहराइच में अवसाय करने के विष नीटरी के ज्य में नियक किया जाए।

जन्म व्यक्ति का नाटरों का स्था में नियुक्ति पर किसी भी प्रनार का भाक्षेप कर सूचना का प्रकाणन का चौदल दिन के भीतर लिखित रूप में मेरे पास भैजा नाए।

[110 > (64)/52-7470]

MINISTRY OF LAW JUSTICE & COMPANY AFFAIRS (Department of Legal Affairs)

NOTICES

New Delhi, the 23rd Innc, 1982

S.O. 2453.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of rule 6 of the Noticies Rules, 1956, that application has been made to 'he said Authority under rule 4 of the said Rules, by Shii Shiv Sahai, Vakil, R 'o Moballa 365 GI/82—1

Gudri, Bahraich, U.P.-271801 for appointment as a Notary to practise in Bahraich

2 Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this Notice.

[No F 5(64)/82-Jud!.]

का० अ१० 2454 — नोटरीज नियम, 1956 के नियम 6 के प्रमु-भरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वार। यह सूचना है। जानी है कि श्री एस० प्रार० भेरिसह, प्रश्चियका -84 थान सिंह नगर, प्रासन्वपर्वन, नई विन्नी ने उक्त प्राधिकारी का उक्त नियम ६ नियम ६ क प्रयोग क प्रावेदन इस बात के लिए दिया है कि उस हिल्ली में स्थायनाय करने के लिए नोटरी के कप

2 उक्त र्व्याक्त की नोटरी के रूप में निश्चक्ति पर किसी मी प्रवार का श्राधीप इस सूचना के प्रकाणन के चौवह दिन के भीतर निश्चित रूप से मेर पास सेज दिया जाए।

[म॰ 5(29)/82-न्या**०**]

S.O. 2454.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of rule 6 of the Notaries Rules,

(?567)

1956, that application has been made to the said Authority, under rule 4 of the said Rules, by Shii S. R. Shei Singh, Advocate, XVII-84, Than Singh Nagar, Anané Parbat, New Delhi-5 for appointment as a Notary to practise in Delhi

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this Notice.

[No. F. 5(29)/82-Judl.]

कर० आ० 2155 — नोटरीज नियम, 1956 के नियम 6 के अनुसरण में सकाम प्राधिकारी ढारा यह सूचना दी जाती है कि श्री प्रताप डी० गांधी, एडब केट व मालिसिटर, वाडिया बिल्डिंग, 3 मंजिल, 17-19, दलाल स्ट्रीट फोर्ड, बम्बर्ट-400023 ने उक्त प्राधिकारी को उक्त नियम के नियम 4 के श्र्यान एक श्राबंदन इस बात के लिए दिया है कि उस बम्बर्ड में स्थानाय करने के लिए नोटरी के स्थान में नियुक्त स्था जाए।

2. जक्त व्यक्ति की नाटरी के रूप में नियुक्ति पर किसी भी प्रकार का आक्षेप इस सूचना के प्रकाणन के जौवह दिन के भीतर विखित रूप में मेरे पास भेजा जाए।

> [सं॰ 5(46)/82-च्या०] कें० सी० डी० गंगवानी, सक्षम प्राधिकारी

- S.O. 2455.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of rule 6 of the Notaries Rules, 1956, that application has been made to the said Authority, under rule 4 of the said Rules, by Shii Pratap D. Gandhi, Advocate and Solicitor, Wadia Building, 3rd Floor, 17-19, Dalal Street, Fort, Bombay-400023 for appointment as a Notary to practice in Bombay.
- 2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this Notice.

[No. F. 5(46)/82-Judl.] K. C. D. GANGWANI, Competent Authority

(कम्पनी कार्ये विभाग)

भई दिल्ली, 24 ज़न, 1982

का० आ० 2456-एकाधिकार तथा ध्रवरोधक व्यापारिक व्यवहार अधितियम, 1969 (1969 को 54) की धारा 26 की उप-धारा (3) के अनुमरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार एनद्बारा मै० आसाम आयल कम्पनी लिमिटेड के कथिल ध्रिधितियम के अन्तर्गत पंजीकरण (पंजीकरण प्रमाण-पत सद्या -485/70) के निरम्तीकरण को अधिमृत्तित करती है।

चन्द्रकांत खुशालवास, निवेशक

(Department of Company Affairs)

New Delhi, the 24th June, 1982

S.O. 2456.—In pursuance of sub-section (3) of Section 26 of the Monopolies and Restrictive Trade Practices Act, 1969 (54 of 1969), the Central Covernment hereby notifies the cancellation of the registration of M/s. Assam Oil Company Limited under the said Act (Certificate of Registration No. 485/70).

[No. 16/5/82-M.III] C. KHUSHALDAS, Director

गृह महालय

(कार्मिक और प्रशासनिक सुधार विकास)

नई दिल्ली, 22 जुन, 1982

का॰आ॰ 2457.--गण्ड्रपनि, भियानि के श्रनुक्छीद 30० के परन्तुक धौर अनुक्छेद 148 के खण्ड (5) এন। সংল দালিয়ী का प्रयोग करने हुए तथा भारतीय लेखा परीक्षा श्रीर लेखा विभाग में से गरत व्यक्तियों के सांध में नियंत्र क म होलेखा परीक्षक से परामशं करने के पण्यात् मूल नियमी का श्रीर संशोधन करने के लिए निम्नलिखित नियम बनाने हैं, श्रशीत ——

- (1) इन नियमों का संक्षिण नाम मूल (प्रथम सर्गोधन) नियम,
 1992 है।
 - (2) ये राजपक्ष मे प्रकाशन की तरिख की प्रवृक्त होंगे।
- 2. मूल नियमों के नियम 56 के खंड (अ) के पश्चात् झीर खण्ड (ट) के पूर्व निम्नलिखित की खंड (अअ) के रूप में प्रस्तः स्थापित किया जाएगा, कथित :--
- "(अझ) (1) यदि समय पूर्व से । निष्क्त किए गए सरकारी सेवक के अध्यावेदन पर मामले का पुनिवलोकन करने पर या अल्यका सरकारी सेवक को सेवा में पुनः स्थापित करने का जिनिश्चय किया आता है। तो पुनः स्थापन के लिए आवेश करने वाला प्राधिकारी, वेश और अनुक्षेप प्रकार की छुट्टी जिसके अल्तांत असाधारण छुट्टी भी, है, स्वीकृत करके या उसे अकाशिक मानकर जो भामले के तथ्यों और परिस्थातियों पर निर्भर करे, समय पूर्व सेवा । नवृत्ति और पुनः स्थापना की तारी को की भाम की अवधि को नियमित कर सकेगा:

परस्तु यह कि की कि की कि प्रविध सभी प्रयोजनों के लिए केंसन और भक्तों सहिन ह्यूटी पर व्यतीस श्रविध गानी आएगी यदि पुनः स्थापन का देश करने वाले प्राधिकारी द्वारा विनिद्धिक्ट रूप से यह अभिनिर्धातिस किया जता है कि समय पूर्व सेवा-निवृत मामले की परिस्थातयों से सोचित मही यी या सभयपूर्व मेवः निवृत्ति का श्रविण न्यायालय द्वारा अगास्तर कर दिया जाना है।

(2) जहां समयपूर्व सेवा निष्ति का प्रादेश न्यायात्र द्वारा, समय , पूर्व सेवा निष्ति को नारी खामीर पुनःस्थापन की नारी खा के नी प्राप्त की प्रविध के विनियमन की बावन विशिष्ट निदेशों के माब प्रपास्त , किया जाता है ग्रीर जहां प्राप्त अभील करने का प्रस्ताव नहीं है, वहां पूर्वोक्त प्रविध को न्यायालय के निदेशों के प्रतुमार विभियमित किया जाएगा। "

[सं॰ 25013/9/80-ईस्ट(ए)] एस॰ वि**खा**, उप स**िष**

MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

(Department of Personnel & Administrative Reforms)

New Delhi, the 22nd June, 1982

- S.O. 2457.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to article 309 and Clause (5) of article 148 of the Constitution and after consultation with the Comptroller and Auditor General in regard to persons serving in the Indian Audit and Accounts Department, the Presiden hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Fundamental Rules, namely:—
- 1. (1) These rules may be called the Fundamental (1st Amendment) Rules, 1982.
- (2) They shall come into force on the date of the publication in the Official Gazette.
- 2. In rule 56 of the Fundamental Rules, after clause (j and before Clause (k), the following shall be inserted as Clause (jj), namely:—
 - "(jj) (1) If on a review of the case either on a representation from the Government servant retired prematurely or otherwise, it is decided to reinstate the Government servant in service, the authority ordering reinstatement may regulate the intervening perior between the date of premature retirement and the date of reinstatement by grant of leave of the kind due and admissible, including extraordinar leave, or by treating it as dies non depending upon the facts and circumstances of the case.

Provided that the intervening period shall be treated a period spent on duty for all purposes including pay and allowances, if it is specifically held by the authority ordering reinstatement that the premature retirement was itself not justified in the circumstances of the case, or if the order of premature retirement is set aside by a court of law.

(ii) Where the order of premature retirement is set aside by a court of law with specific directions in regard to regulation of the period between the date of premature retirement and the date of reinstatement and no further appeal is proposed to be filed, the aforesaid period shall be regulated in accordance with the directions of the Court.

[No. 25013/9/80-Estt. A]S. TRIKHA, Dy. Secy.

विस मंत्रालय

(४।जस्त्र विभाच)

नई दिल्लो, 19 सित्मप्र, 1981

अध्य-कर

का०का० 2458.—संसोधारण को जानकारी के लिए यह प्रधित्वित किया जाता है कि ठिचित्र, विज्ञान प्रीप प्रीधोगिकी विभाग, नहीं दिन्ली ने निस्ति-सिवित वैज्ञानिव अनुसद्यान कार्यक्रम का धापका निषम, 1962 के नियम 6(iv) के साथ पठिन इत्यकर व्यधितितम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपकार। (2-क) के प्रयोजनों के लिए नीचे विनिविद्य प्रविधि के लिए अनुनोबित किया है।

1. वैज्ञानिक कनुसंधान परियोजना । क्रीशोगिक रसायकः 1 वैनिलित सन्त-

षण के लिए नई। प्रक्रिश

2. प्रायोजिकः

मैभर्प हिन्दुस्यान लीवर निर्मिटेड, हिन्दुस्थाम लीवर हाउस, 165-166, बैकवे रिक्नेबेन, मुस्बई-400020

प्रायोधन-स्थल भारतीय विकास सम्भान नगलौर

4 आरम्भ होने की तार्रक्ष

1 सिन्धम्बर, 1981

पूरी होने की तारीख़.

31 श्रगस्य, 1983

6. प्राक्कलित व्यय

1,29,680 ছ০

2. भारतीय विज्ञान संस्थान, बंगलीर वित्त संज्ञालय की श्रा**धसूचना** सं० 34 नारीख 23 नवस्वर, 1946 हा**ग श्रायकर मधि**नियम, 1922 की धारा 10(2) (iii) के श्रधीन अनुमोवित है।

[स॰ 4226 (फा॰ सं॰ 203/99/81-प्राई टि॰ ए० I)]

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Revenue)

New Delhi. The 19th September, 1981 INCOME TAX

S.O. ²⁴⁵⁸—It is hereby notified for general information that the following scientific research programme has been approved for the period specified below for the purposes of sub-section 2(A) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 read with Rule 6(iv) of the Income-tax Rules, 1962 by the Secretary, Department of Science & Technology, New Delhi:

Name of the Scientific research project.

New Process for some industrial chemical-I Vanillin synthesis.

Name of the sponsorer

M/s Hindustan Lever Ltd., Hindustan Lever House, 165-166 Backbay Reclamation

B ombay-400020.

Name of the spons fred at

Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore. Date of completion
Estimated Expenditure

Ist September, 1981. 31st August, 1983. Rs. 1,29,680/-

2. Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore has been approved u/s 10(2) (xiii) of the I.T. Act, 1922 vide Ministry of Finance Notification No. 34 dated the 23rd November, 1946.

[No. 4276 (F No. 203/99/81-ITA, II)]

का०आ०.2459---सर्वन्धारण की । नक राजि तिए बहु श्रिष्ट्वित किया शता है कि जीवव विज्ञान श्रीर श्रीद्योगिकी विज्ञान, नई दिल्ला ने निष्ट्य-लिखित बैजानिक श्रनुसंधान वार्यक्रम को पापकर नित्रम, 1962 के निप्रम 6 (iv) के साथ पठित श्रायकर अधिनियम, 1961 के धारा 35 की उपधाना (2-क) के प्रयाजनों के लिए नी चे विनिद्धित श्रविध के लिए अनुसोदित किया है.-

वैज्ञानिक अनुसम्मान परियोजनाः

समायः कृषि भीर भीषोगिक ज्यनामन के कृष्ण दःषंशुकारः

संक्लेषण

.≱. प्राधोजकः

मैंसर्प हिन्दुरुवान लीवर्स लिमिटेड, हिन्दुस्तान लीवर हाउग, 165-166 बैंग के रिक्लेमेशन, सुम्बर्ड-400020

3 प्रायोजन-स्थल:

दिल्ली विश्वविद्यातम्, दिल्ली

4. धनुसंघान र्गा प्रविध

। मित्रम्बर, 1981 में उर्दर

1984 तक

प्राक्फिलित व्यय

1.6 পানে (১

2. दिल्ली, जिल्लाबिकालय, जिल्ला, आधनूनना मण 31 नारीख 23-11-1946 द्वारा कायकर फ्रांबिनियम, 1932 का बारा (10)(2) (XIII) में प्रार्थन अनुमोदिन है।

[ৰাত 4228 (দ্যাত ধাত 203/98/31-आईতেই তাত্ত)]

S.O.2459 - It is hereby notified for general information that the following scientific research programme has been approved for the period specified below for the purposes of sub-section (2A) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 read with Rule 6 (iv) of the Income-tax Rules, 1962 by the Secretary, Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi:—

 Scientific Research Project. Synthesis of some long chain aliphatic compounds of potential agricultural and industrial

application.

2. Sponsored (a) by

M/s Hindustan Lever Limited, Hindustan Lever House, 165-166, Backbay Reclamation, B ombay-400020.

3. Sponsored (b) at

Delhi University, Delhi.

4. Duration of Research Project.

Ist September, 1981 to 31st August, 1984.

13

Estimated expenditure Rs. 1.6 lakhs.

Delhi University, Delhi stands approved U/s 10(2) (xiii) of the L.T. Act, 1922 by Notification No. 34 dated 23-11-1946⁸

[No. 4228 (F. No. 203/98/81-ITA-II)]

सार्थ्या २ २४६०. - - सर्व नाधाराण का जानकारा के लिए तह अधिभूचित किया जाता है कि स्थित, विज्ञान की श्री शोधोधिका विभाग, नई दिल्ली ने निस्त-लिखन कन्त्रीबार कार्य-क्रम का कायकर जिन्म, 1962 के तिस्म 6 (iv) के साथ पाठेन आयक्षर अधिनयम, 1961 का धारा 35 या जप-धारा (2-1) के प्रातनों के जिन् नार्मे विकिथित अविकास मानिक किया है —

 वैज्ञानिक इनुसंधान परियो - पीक्षों में जीववैज्ञानिक स्था में मिकिंग जना: योगिकीं कावित्रक ग्रीर श्रिक्ति-

लक्षणन

2. प्रायोजक

मि० जै० एम० पटेल, त्रिऑस्पिट्रेंबर ट्रम्स मैन्यूफैक्विंग क० (इडिया), 105 एपोलो स्ट्रन्ट, पहली मंजिल, नम्बई, 100023.

प्रायोजन-स्थलः

पूर्ण विश्वविद्याल ।, पूर्ण

अनुसंघान परियोजना की

त्रप्रैल, 1981 में 29 फरवरी,

श्रवाधः

1984 ለተ

5. **प्राक्क**लित व्यक्षः

1. 5 लाखा रूपए

2. पुणे शिक्षवावद्यालन, गुणे अधिसूचना सुरु 510 (फार संरु 203/46/73-प्राई टी ए II) तारीच्य 4-12-1973 हारा आयात्र अधिनियन, 1961 की धारा 35(1)(ii) के भ्रानित स्रमुमादित है।

[मं 4225 फा॰ स॰ 203/136/81-आई॰टी॰ए॰-II]

S.O. 2460.—It is hereby notified for general information that the following research programme has been approved for the period specified below for the purposes of sub-section (2A) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 read with Rule 6 (iv) of the Income-tax Rules, 1962 by the Secretary, Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi:

Scientific Research Project. Isolation and characterization of biologically active compounds from plants.

Sponsored (a) by

Mr. J.M. Patel, Geometrical Tools Manufacturing Co. (India), 105, Apollo Street, First Floor Bombay-400023.

Sponsored (b) at Duration of Research

Poona University, Poona. From 1-4-1981 to 29-2-1984.

project.

Estimated expenditure Rs. 1.5 lakhs.

2. Poona University, Poona stands approved under section 35 (1) (ii) of the Income-tax Act, 1961 by Notification No. 510 (F No. 203/46/73-IIA. II) dated 4-12-1973.

[No. 4225 (F No. 203/136/81-1TA.-II]

नई विरुपी 23 मितम्बर, 1981

या॰ आ॰ 2461 — इस थिभाग की घिम् चना मं॰ 2049 (फा॰ गं॰ 203/21/78-प्राई० टे॰ एट-II) तारीख 17 जुलाई, 1978 के प्रमुक्तम में सर्वसाधारण की जातकारी के लिए प्रधिमूचिन किया जाता है कि विहित प्राधिकारी प्रार्थात् सचिव, विज्ञान भीर प्रीद्यागिकी विभाग, नई दिल्ली ने निम्नलिखिन संस्था को प्राय-कर नियम, 1962 के नियम 6(iv) के साथ पठित, श्राय-कर श्रधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ii) के प्रयोजनों के लिए प्राकृतिक भौर श्रन्प्रमुक्त विज्ञान के क्षेत्र में ''संस्था' प्रवर्ग के भ्रधीन, निम्नलिखिन गतीं पर भन्मोदिन किया है, श्रथीन ——

- (i) यह कि इस्टीट्यट प्राफ दृष्टियन फाउंड्रीमेन, कलकसा, प्राकृतिक या प्रमुप्रयुक्त (कृषि/पशुपालन/मान्स्यका और प्रौषधी से भिन्न) विज्ञान के क्षेत्र में वैज्ञानिक ग्रनुमधान के लिए प्राप्त राशिया का पृथक लेखा रखगा ।
- (ii) यह कि उक्त संस्थान प्रत्येक विसीय वर्ष के लिए अपने वैज्ञा-तिक अनुसधान संबंधी किया कलापों की वार्षिक विवरणी विहिन प्राधिकारी को प्रतिवर्ष 30 अप्रैल तक ऐसे प्ररूप में स्तृत करेगा जा इस प्रयोजन के लिए धिकिथित किया जाए और उसे सुचित, किया जाए।

3 यह कि उक्त संस्थान वार्षिक विवरणी और लेखाओं का विवरण भ्राय-कर भ्रायक्त को प्रति वर्ष भजेगा।

सस्या

दी बस्टाट्यूट घाफ इंडिया फाउड़ीमेंन, कलकत्ता ।

यहं श्रीधसूचना 9-2-1981 से 8-2-1983 तक 2 वर्ष के प्रभावी है।

[ग० 1244 (फा० म० 203/123/81-म्राई०टी०ए० II)]

New Delhi, the 23rd September, 1981

S.O. 2461.—In continuation of this Department's Notification No. 2409 (I. No. 203/21/78-ITA II) dated 17th July, 1978, it is hereby notified for general information that the institution mentioned below has been approved by the Secretary, Department of Science and Technology, the prescribed authority for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 read with Rule 6(iv) of the Income-tax Rules, 1962 under the category "Institution" in the area of other natural and applied sciences, subject to the following conditions:—

- That the institute of Indian foundigmen, Calcutta will
 maintain a separate account of the sums received
 by it for scientific research in the field of natural
 and applied sciences (other than agriculture/animal
 husbandry/fisheries and medicines).
- 2. That the said Institute will furnish the anual neturn of its scientific research activities to the prescribed authority for every financial year in such forms as may be laid down and intimated to them for this purpose, by 30th April, each year.
- That the said Institute will submit the annual return and statement of accounts to the Commissioner of Income-tax, every year.

INSTITUTION

The Institute of Indian Foundrymen, Calcutta.

This notification is effective for a period of two years from 9-2-1981 to 8-2-1983.

[No. 4244(F. No. 203/123/81-ITA.II)]

का०आ० 2462.—सर्वसाधारण की जानकारी के लिए यह प्रधिसूचिम किया जाता है कि मचिव, विज्ञान भीर प्रौद्योगिकी विभाग, नई दिल्ली ने निम्नलिखिन वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान कार्य-क्रम की आयकर नियम, 1962 के नियम 6(iv) के साथ पठित्र आयकर प्रधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (2-क) के प्रयोजनों के लिए नीचे विनिधिष्ट श्रवधि के लिए अनुसंदित किया है —

वैज्ञानिक श्रन्संधान परियोजना ऐमीला अम्ल का सश्लिष्ट उत्पादन

2. प्रायोजकः

मेमर्म हिन्दुस्तान लीवर लिमि-टेड, हिन्दुस्तान लीवर हाउस, 165-166, बेक वे श्विकलेमेंशन, सुम्बई 400020

3 प्रायोजन-स्थल रामकृष्ण मिशन विवेकानन्द कालाअ,

4 परियोजना की श्रवधि 1 सितम्बर, 1981 में 31 श्रगस्त, 1983

2 रामकृष्ण मिणन विवेकानन्द कालेज, मद्रास, श्रिधसूचना संव 3064 तारीख 9-11-1979 द्वारा श्रायकर श्रिधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1)(ii) के अधीन श्रन्मोवित है।

[म॰ 4235 (फा॰ मं॰ 203/97/81-आई॰टी॰ए॰-II)]

S.O.2462.—It is hereby notified for general information that the following scientific research programme has been approved for the period specified below for the purposes of sub-section (2A) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 read with Rule 6 (iv) of the Income-tax Rules, 1962 by the Secretary, Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi: -

1. Scientific Research Project.

Synthetic Production of Amino Acids.

2. Sponsored (a) by

M/s Hindustan Lever Limited, Hindustan Lever House, 165-166 Backbay Reclamation, Bombay-400020.

3. Sponsored (b) at

Ramakrishna Mission Viveka nanda College, Madras,

4. Durition of Research Project

1st September, 1981 to 31st August, 1983.

5. Estimated expenditure

Rs. 89,000/-

Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda College, Madras stands approved under section 35 (i) (ii) of the Income-tax Act, 1961 by Notification No. 3064, dated 9-11-1979.

[No. 4245 (F No. 203/97/81-ITA, II)]

काँ आ॰ 2463-इस विभाग की श्रधिसुचना स० 2453/फा० स० 203/54/78-माई० टी० ए० Ⅱ, तारीख 2 भगस्त 1981 के अनुक्रम में सर्वसाधारण की जानकारी के लिए प्रधिस्चित किया जाता है कि विहित प्राधिकारी प्रथात भारतीय समाजिक विज्ञान ग्रनसधान परिवद नई विल्ली ने निम्नलिखित संस्था को ग्राय-कर ग्रधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपभारा (1) के खण्ड (III) के प्रयोजनो के लिए निम्नलिखित गर्ता पर भनुमोबिस किया है, अर्थात :--

- (1) यह कि गुजरात इंस्टीट्यूट छाफ एरिया प्लानिग, बहमदाबाद इस छुट के प्रधीन सकलित निधियों का उपयोग भनन्य हव से सामाजिक विज्ञान के क्षेत्र मे ग्रनुसंधान के लिए ही करेगा
- (2) उक्त गुजरात इस्टीटयुट प्राफ एरिया प्लानिंग, प्रहमदाबाद उसके द्वारा इस छट के भ्रधीन संकलित राशि का हिसाब प्थक रूप से रखेगा।
- (3) उक्त गुजरात इस्टीट्यूट घाफ एरिया प्लानिंग, ग्रहमदाबाद प्रत्येक वर्ष के लिए धपने बैशानिक भन्संधान संबंधी किया-कलापो की वार्षिक विवरणी भारतीय समाजिक विज्ञान धन्-संधान परिषद्, नई दिल्ली को प्रति वर्ष इस घट के प्रधीन संकलित मिधि और वह रीति, जिससे निधियो छुट की अविधि लिए उपयोजित की गई है, विशित करते हुए भेजेंगा।

संस्था

गुजरात इंग्टीटयुट आफ एरिया प्लानिंग, अहमदाबाद

यह अधिसूचना 1-4-1981 से 31-3-1984 सक की प्रविधि के लिए प्रभावि होगी।

[सं० 4246(फा० स० 203/70/81-आई०टी०ए० J[)]

- S.O. 2463.—In continuation of this Department's Notification No. 2453 (F No. 203/54/78-ITA.II) dated 2nd August, 1981, it is hereby notified for general information that the institution mentioned below has been approved by the Indian Council of Social Sciece Research the prescribed authority for the purposes of clause (iii) of sub-section (1) of section 35 of the Income-tax, 1961, subject to the following conditions:—
 - The funds collected by the Gujarat Institute of Area Planning, Δhmedabad, under this exemption will be utilised exclusively for promotion of research in social sciences.
 - 2. That the Gujarat Institute of Area Planning, Ahmedabad, shall maintain separate accounts of the funds collected by them under the exemption.

3. That the Gujarat Institute of Area Planning, Ahmedabad shall send an Annual report to the Indian Council of Social Science Research, New Delhi, showing the funds collected under the exempton and the manner in which the funds were utilised for the period of exemption.

INSTITUTION

Gujarat Institute of Area Planning, Ahmedabad. This notification takes effect from 1-4-1981 to 31-3-1984.

[No 4246 (F. No. 203/70/81-1TA.11)]

नई विल्ली, 24 सितम्बर, 1981

का० आ० 2464.⊶-सर्वेसाधारण की जानकारी के लिए यह प्रधि-सूचित किया जाता है कि भारतीय श्राय्विज्ञान ग्रन्संधान परिषद्, नई दिल्ली ने निम्नलिखित वैज्ञानिक भ्रन्संधान कार्य-क्रम को भ्रायकर नियम, 1962 के नियम 6(ii) के साथ पठिल भायकर भ्रधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (2-क) के प्रयोजनों के लिए नीच विनिविष्ट ग्रवधि के लिए भ्रनुमोदित किया है।

वैज्ञानिक धनुसंधान कार्यकम :

"डायाबिटिक रटिनौपैथी इन इंडिया"

2 प्रायोजक.

मैडिकल रिसर्च फाउडेशन मद्रास

प्रायोजन-स्थल :

भारतीय डायारिबटिक केन्द्रीय डायाबिटिक मनसधान

परियोजना-धर्नाध

पांच वर्ष

(i) प्रारंभ की प्रस्ताविल नारी वा

7 मितंबर, 1981

(ii) समाप्त होने की संभावित नारीख

6 मितंबर, 1986

5 कुल प्राक्कलित व्यय:

32 73 लाख (केबल बत्तीम लाख तिहत्तर हजार २०)

- 2. उपरोक्त परियोजना का धनुमोदन निम्निवित मती के ध्रधीन रहते हुए किया गया है।
 - (1) यह कि सासाइटी प्राप्त रामियों का ग्रीर इस ग्रनुसंधान परि-योजना के लिए उपगत व्यय का, मैडिकल रिसर्च फाउंडेशन (रजि०) मद्राम के ग्रन्थ न्यय से मुध्यित रूप में पृथक क्षेत्रा
 - (2) यह कि सोसाबटी प्रत्येक वर्ष 31 मई से पूर्व इस बैझानिक धनुसंधान परियोजना की वार्षिक विवरणी परिवद की ऐसे प्रारूपों में प्रस्तुत करेगी जो इस प्रयोजन के लिए प्रधिकथित षिए जाएं भ्रौर उसे मूचित किए जाएं।
 - (3) यह कि सोसायटी प्रत्येक वर्ष 31 मई तक लेखाओं को वार्षिक संपरिक्षित जिजरक परिषद् को भेजगी और इसके छतिरिक्त इसकी एक प्रति संशद्ध ग्राय-कर ग्राय्क्त को मेजेगी।
- 2. दी मैडिकल रिमर्च फाउडेशन (रजि०) मद्रास, भ्राय-कर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1)(ii) के मधीन भिधसूचना सं 3235 (फा० सं॰ 203/27/81-**माई**॰ टी॰ ॰ ii) नारी**ख** 10 भ्रप्रैल, 1980 **हा**रा मनुमोदित है।

[सं० 4247 (फा स० 203/147/80-फाई० टी ए० II)] एम० के० पाण्डेय, उप-मचित्र

New Delhi, the 24th September, 1981

S.O. 2464.—It is hereby notified fot general information that the following research programme has been approved for the period specified below for the purposes of sub-section (2A) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 read with Rule 6(ii) of the Income-tax Rules, 1962 by the Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi.

Research Programme.

1. Name of the Scientific "Diabetic Retinopathy in India"

2. Sponsored (a) by

Medical Research Foundation.

3. Sponsored (b) at

Central Council of Diabetic Research of Diabetic Association of India,

4. Duration of Project

Five yean,

mmencement.

(i) Proposed date of ce- 7th September, 1981

(ii) Anticipated date of 6th September, 1986 completion

5. Total Estimated expen- Rs.32.73 lakhs

penditure

(Rupces thirty two lakhs and seventy three thousand only).

- 2. The approval for the above project will be subject to the following conditions:
 - 1. That the Society will maintain a separate account of the ammounts received and expenditure incurred for this research project as distinct from the other expenditure of the Medical Research Foundation (Regd), Madras.
 - 2. That the Society will furnish annual returns of this scientifle research project to the Council by 31st May each year at the latest in such forms as may be laid down and intimated to them for this purpose.
 - 3. That the Society will furnish a copy of the annual audited staement of account to the Concil by 31st May each year and in addition to send a copy of it to the concerned Income tax Commissioner.
- 3. The Medical Research Foundation (Regd), Madras stands approved under Section 35 (1) (ii) of the Income -tax Act, 1961 by Notification No. 32-35 (F. No. 203/27/80-ITA.II) dated 10th April, 1980

[No.4247 (F.No.203/147/81-1TA. Π)] M. K. PANDEY, Dy. Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 17 फरवरी, 1982

का०आ(० 2465.--- सर्वसाधारण की जानकारी के लिए प्रधिसुचित किया जाता है कि बिहित प्राधिकारी, श्रर्थान भारतीय सामाजिक विज्ञान धनसंधान परिषद्, नई दिल्ली ने निम्नलिखित सस्या को भ्रायकर भ्रधि-नियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खाव्छ (iii) के प्रयो-अनों के लिए शर्ती पर अनुमोदित किया है, अर्थात् ---

- (i) यह कि उस्नाव हाफिज श्रर्ला खान स्मारक सोमाइटी द्वारा इस छट के प्रधीन संग्रहण की गई निधियों का केवल सामा-जिक विज्ञान में अनुसंधान की प्रगति के लिए ही उपयोग किया जाएगा ।
- (ii) भह कि सोमायटी इस छूट के मधीन संग्रहण की गई निश्चियों का पथक लेखा स्क्रोगा।
- (iii) यह कि सोयाइटी वार्षिक रिपोर्ट और इस छूट के प्रश्रीत विनिविष्ट रूप से मग्रहण की गई निधियों की भीर उस रीति को जिसमें इन निधियों का उपयोग किया गया है, दर्शनि वाला लेखात्रों का धार्षिक संपरिक्षित विवरण परिषद् को भेजेगा ।
- (iv) श्रायकर श्रीधनियम, 1961 का धारा 35(1)(iii) के श्रश्लान प्राप्त संदानों में से उपयोग न की गई किसी निधि का, धारा 13 (1)(व) में विहित रीति से विनिधान किया जाएगा

जिसके ब्रन्सर्गेत सरकारी यथत प्रमाणपत्नों में विनिधान, अनुसूचित बैक मे निक्षेप, यु० टी० धाई० मे विनिधान, पब्सिक सेक्टर कपनियों में निक्षेप शक घर ग्रीर सरकारी कंपनियों आवि में निक्षोप हैं।

उस्माद हाफिज धली खान स्मारक सोसायटी, नई विस्ली यह धर्धिन सूचना इस अधिसूचना के अपरी होने की तारीव्य से प्रभावी होती हैं ग्रीर तीन वर्ष को प्रवधि के लिए विधिमान्य है।

[स॰ 4474(फा॰ सं॰ 203/186/81-प्राई० टी॰ ए०-II]

New Delhi, the 17th February, 1982

- S.O. 2465.—It is hereby notified for general information that the institution mentioned below has been approved by the Indian Council of Social Science Research, New Delhi, the prescribed authority for the purposes of clause (iii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961, subject to the following conditions:—
 - (i) That the funds collected by the Ustad Hafiz Ali Khan Memorial Society, New Delhi under this exemption shall be utilized exclusively for promotion of research in social sciences.
 - (ii) That the Society shall maintain a separate accounts of the funds so collected by them under this exemption.
 - (lii) That the Society shall send to the Council an Annual Report and Audited Statement of its accounts re gularily showing specifically the funds collected under this exemption and the manner in which these funds are utilized.
 - (iv) Any unutilized funds, out of the donation, received under section 35(1)(iii) of the Income-tax Act, 1961, will be invested in the manner prescribed in Section 13(1)(d) which includes investment in Govt. Saving Certificates, deposit in scheduled Bank, Investment in U.T.I.., deposits with the Public Sector Com-panies, deposits in Post Office and Govt. Companies etc.

INSTITUTION

Ustad Hafiz Ali Khan Memorial Society, New Delhi.

This notification takes effect from the date of issue this notification and is valid for a period of three years.

[No. 4474 (F. No. 203/186/81-ITA. III]

नई विल्ली, 20 फरवरी, 1982

काoआo 2466.--सर्वेसाधारण की जानकारी के लिए श्रधिमुचित किया जाता है कि विद्याल प्राधिकारी, प्रथति भारतीय सामाजिक विज्ञान अनसंधान पश्चिद् नई दिल्ली ते निस्मणिखित संस्था को आयकर अधि-नियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (iii) के प्रयोजन के लिए निज्नितिसित शतीं पर अनुमोदित किया है, अर्थाम् :--

- (1) यह कि इस छूट के छर्धन प्राविण विक्यविद्यालय प्रतिष्ठान भ्रहरादाबाव द्वारा संग्रहित राणियों का उपयोध केंबल सामाजिक विकानों में अनुसंधान का प्राप्ति के लिए हैं। किया जाएगा ।
- (८) यह कि प्रतिष्ठान इस छूट के ग्राधीन उसके द्वारा इस प्रकार राशियों का पृथक रूप से लेखा रखेंगा ।
- (६) उक्त प्रतिष्ठान प्रत्येक वर्ष के लिए इस छट के अधीन संबहीता निधि भीर उस रीति की, जिसमें इसका उपयोग किया गया है को । नयः मस रूप से बशानि वाले लेखाओं का वाधिक संपरी अत विवरण परिषद् को प्रति वर्ष केलेशः।

मं स्पा

ग्रामीण । बण्यितशालय शिवष्ठान भत्मदाबाद यह श्रीयम्बन भूमक जारी किए आने की तारीख से पशार्य होने खीर तील वर्ष की श्रवधि के लिए विधिसान्य रहेगी ।

[सं० 4482 (फा॰ मं॰ 20%/170/81-फ्राई० टो॰ ए॰ Π)]

New Delhi, the 20th February, 1982

- S.O. 2466.—It is hereby notified for general information that the Institution mentioned below has been approved by the Indian Council of Social Science Research the prescribed authority for the purposes of clause (iii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 subject to the following conditions:—
 - That such funds collected by the Rural University Foundation, Ahmdabad under this exemption shall be utilised exclusively for promotion of research in Social Sciences.
 - That the Foundation shall maintain a separate accounts of the funds so collected by them under the exemption.
 - 3. That the Foundation shall send to the Council an Annual Report and audited statement of accounts regularly showing the funds collected under this exemption and the manner in which these funds are utilized.

INSTITUTION

The Rural University Foundation, Ahmedabad.

This notification takes effect from the date of its issue and will be valid for a period of three years.

[No. 4482 (F. No. 203/170/81-ITA.II]

का ब्ला० 2467... इस विभाग की प्रशिक्ष चना स० 4187 (फाठ सं० 203/294/1960 तारीख 20-8-1980 क प्रतृक्षम में सर्वेताधारण की जानकरी के लिए प्रशिक्ष किया जाता है कि विहित प्राधिकार). प्रथात भारतीय प्रायुविधान भनुसंधान परिषद् नई दिल्ली ने निम्नलिकित संस्था को प्राय-वार नियम, 1962 के लियम 6(ii) के साथ पठित प्रायक्षण प्रशिक्षित मा 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ii) के प्रयोजनो के लिए चिकित्सा भनुसधान के क्षेत्र से "बैझानिक यनुसधान सगम" प्रवर्ष के भ्रभीन निम्नलिकित णवीं पर अनुसोदित किया है शर्वात् :--

- (i) यह कि स्पम चिकित्सा प्रनुसंधान हे लिए प्राप्त राणिक्यों का पृथक रूप में लेखा स्क्रीमा।
- (ii) यह कि सगम प्रत्येक वर्ष के लिए अपने यैक्कानिक अन्तर्मधान संबंधी किया कलायों की वाधिक विवरणी पारेषद्कां प्रति वर्ष 31 गई तक ऐसे प्ररूप में प्रस्तुत करेगा जा इस प्रयोजन के लिए अधिकयित किया नाए और उसे सुक्तित किया जाए।
- (iii) यह कि संगम प्रत्येक वर्ष के लिए लेखाओं का वार्षिक संपरिक् िक्षा विकश्ण परिषद्कों प्रति वर्ष 31 मई तक भेजेंग। घौर इसके अतिरिक्त इक्ति। एक प्रतिमुख्याद प्रत्य-कर प्रायुक्त का भेजेंगा।

सस्पा है

नेस्न श्रमसंधान केन्द्र, मद्राप्त ।

्रह अधिसूचना 23-11-81 में 22-11-93 तर दो वर्ष की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

[ffo 4483 (দাতে দাম ২০ ব/ ৭/৪২-আছিত হালি দ্ব [[]]]

- S.O. 2467.—In continuation of this Department's notification No. 4187 (F. No. 203/294/1980 dated 20-8-1981 at is hereby notified for general information that the institution mentioned below has been approved by Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi, the prescribed authority for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 read with Rule 6(ii) of the Income-tax Rules, 1962 under the category of "Scientific Research Association" in the field of Medical Research subject to the following conditions:—
 - (i) That the Association will maintain a separate account of the sums received by it for medical research,
 - (ii) That the Association will furnish annual returns of its scientific research activities to the Council by 31st May each year at the latest in such form as may be laid down and intimated to them for this purpose.
 - (iii) That the Association will furnish a copy of the annual audited statement of accounts to the Council by 31st May, each year and in addition send a copy of it to the concerned Income-tax Commissioner.

INSTITUTION

The Eye Research Centre, Madras,

The notification is effective for a period of two years from 23-11-1981 to 22-11-1983.

[No. 4483 (F. No. 203/9/82-ITA.II)]

का०आ० 2468 — संवेमाधारण की जानकारी के लिए यह प्रधि-सुचित किया जाता है कि निस्नलिखन सस्या को विहिन प्रधिकारा भारतीय कुषि प्रनुसधान परिषद द्वारा आयंकर ध्रिप्तियम 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के प्रयोक्तों के लिए ध्रनु मोदिन किया जया है।

संस्था

महाराष्ट्र राज्य द्वाक्ष बागायपीदार संब, पुणे ।

यह भजिमूचना 1-4-1981 से दी वर्ष को श्रश्चित हिंगू प्रकाशे

[म० 4181 (फा० सं० 203/200/80-प्राई०टी०ए० II)]

S.O. 2468.—It is hereby notified for general information that the institution mentioned below has been approved by the Indian Council of Agricultural Research, the prescribed authority for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (I) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961.

INSTITUTION

Maharashtra Rajya Diaksha Bagatidar Sangh, Pune.

This notification is effective for a period of two years w.e.f. 1-4-1981.

[No. 4484 (F. No. 203/200/80-ITA, II)]

नई दिल्ली, 19 नर्पैन, 1982

का०आ० 2469.— सर्वनिधारण की जातकारी ने लिए प्रधिसूचिन विया जाना है कि निहित प्राधिकारी, पर्धात् भागनीय आयुविधान अनु संधान परिषद्, वह दिल्ली ने विस्तितिका सम्या को आयकर नियम, 1962 के नियम 6(ii) के नाथ पठिन, आकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (१) के खण्ड (ii) के प्रयोजनों के लिए जिन् किल्ना प्रमुसंधान के केन्न में "वैद्यानिक अनुसंधान संगम" प्रवर्ग के प्रधीन निम्नलिखिन गर्नों पर भनुमोदिन किया है, अर्थान .—

- (i) यह कि साम चिकित्या प्रतुक्य न के ति १ प्राप्त र शियों का मधक लेका रखेगा।
- (ii) यह कि संगम अपने वैकाधिक प्रमुख्यान गर्बक्के किशाकलायों की बाधिक दिश्वरणी परिषद का प्रतिवर्ग 31 स्टीनक ऐसे प्रकृप

में प्रस्तुत करेंग। जा इस्स प्रयोजन के ,अए कधिकचिल किया जाए फ्रीट उने सूचिन किया आए ।

(iii) यह कि सगम लेखाओं का वाधिक साराधिक विवर्ण पार्याः को प्रति वर्ष अ। मई तक भेजेगा छोर इसके अतिरिक्त इसकी एक प्रति सम्बद्ध श्रायकर बान्ति की भेजेगः।

इंडियन केल्सर सोसायटा शोतापुर (सहाराष्ट्र)। यह अधिसूचना 6-3-82 से दो वर्ष तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी होगी।

[सं० 4580 (फा० स० 203/58/82-फ्राई० टो० ए० II)]

New Delhi, the 19th April, 1982

S.O. 2469.—It is hereby notified for general information that the institution mentioned below has been approved by Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi, the prescribed authority for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 read with Rule 6(ii) of the Income-tax Rules, 1962 under the category of "scientific research association" in the field of Medical Research subject to the following conditions '-

- (i) That the Association will maintain a separate account of the sums received by it for medical research.
- (ii) That the Association will furnish annual returns its scientific research actiavities to the Council by 31st May each year at the latest in such form as may be laid down and intimated to them for this purpose.
- (iii) That the Association will furnish a copy of the annual audited statement of accounts to the Council by 31st May, each year and in addition send a copy of it to the concerned Income-tax Commissioner.

INSTITUTION

Indian Cancer Society, Sholapur (Maharashtra).

The notification is effective for a period of two years w.e.f. 6-3-82,

[No. 4580 (F. No. 203/58/82-ITA. II)]

नई दिल्ली, 24 मार्च, 1982

कार आर 2470.---सर्वेसाधारण की जानकारी के लिए अधिस्चित किया जाता है कि भारतीय धायुविज्ञान क्रमुसंधाम परिषय, नई दिल्ली ने निम्न विश्वित वैशानिक अनुसंधान कार्यक्रम को श्रीयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (2क) के प्रयोजनों के लिए नीच विनिविद्य अवधि के लिए अनुमोवित किया है, अथिए :---

1 वैज्ञानिक प्रन्सधान कार्यक्रम का नाम : ''ऊपरी रथमन क्षत्र रलेशमल, नाक-श्रीख पर उन्होंगों से निकलने बाली धुल में लगातार धनावरण रहने के नैदानिक-रोग जन्य प्रभाव का, परासंरचना, परिवर्तन भौर उद्योगी में सम्बद्ध प्राथमिक निवारक कार्यक्रम के विकास मे संकियारभक यनुसंज्ञान के प्रति-त्रिगेर निर्देश से मत्याकत"।

२ परियोजना का स्कान :

त्रयोध्या पूर्वन्यास् पूर्णाः

3. प्रयोजक के नाम --

- । सुवर्णन कमिकल प्रह₹द्शेक ि मिटेड बेले अन्तो रोड, पूना मौर गोहा (जिला कीलाबा) ।
- 🖫 राजाबहायुर मिल्प चिमिटेड, पूर्ण ।

- उपार एंड पटा क-वरसन लिमिटंड, शिशाजी नगर, पूला।
- 4 राजः दर्जानियरिंग तन्मं, विकन् सेठ रोड पुना।
- 5. इ.५स्ट्रियल पेवर लिमिटेंड. भासारा, पूला !
- 6 सुवर्शन केमित्रल एड टेक्सटाइल भिमिटंड, शंहर मैठ रोड, पुना ।
- ७ सम्बद्ध टिभिन केंट्रेयमं. णि**दा**जानगर,
- इधिया (সা০) ષ શે(-71ા लिभिटेड, यर्वेक्न इंडिश (प्रा०) (निर्मिटेड ।

🎉 परियोजनाको ऋषधि 🦈

पांच वर्ष

- (i) प्रारंभ की प्रस्तावित तारीखा 8-3-82
- (2) समाप्तिकी अनुनानितन।रोद्य 7-3-३7
- कुल प्राचक/लित व्यव :

55,20,000 00 50 (末年1 पचपन लाख बीस हजार रुपर्)

उपरोक्त परियोजना का कन्मोदन निम्न्लि। स्रेत शरी के अधीर रहते हुए कि । जाएगा :---

- (1) यह कि न्यास प्रयोध्या पूर्वस्थान, पुरी के अन्य त्रायों से भिन्न इस क्रमुसंधात पनियोजना के लिए पारन राशियो चौर उपगत व्ययो का हिमान पृथक रखेगा ।
- (2) त्यास प्रत्येक वर्ष के लिए इस वैज्ञानिक धनुसंधान प्रत्योगना की बार्षिक विवरणी परिषद् को प्रतिवर्ष 31 मई तक ऐसे प्ररूप में प्रस्तृत करेगा जो इस प्रयोजन के लिए अधिक विक किया जाए भीर उसे सुचित किया जाए।
- (3) न्यास प्रस्त्रेक वर्ष के लिए कुन धासि⊤यों भौर वाशिरतो सहिस लेखाच्यों का वार्षिक संबरीक्षित विवा≀ण परिषद को प्रति वर्ग 31 मई तक भोजेगा और इसके अनिरिक्त इसकी एक मन सम्बद्ध कायकर आयुक्त को भेजेगा।

यह अनुमोबन 20-1282 तर त्रिधिमान्य है।

अयोज्या पूर्वन्यास पुणी, आयक्तर अधिनियम, 1981 की धारा 35(1) (ji) के प्रधीन विक्त सम्रालय (राजस्य विभाग) की प्रधिसुचना सं० 3292 (फा० सं० 203/119/80=प्राई० टी० ए० H) नारी**स** 12 मई, 1980 द्वारा अनुमोवित है।

> [स॰ 4535 (फा॰ स॰ 203/61/82-प्राई॰टी॰ए॰-II)] एम० जें o सी० गोधल, भवर स**चिय**

New Delhi, the 24th March, 1982

S.O. 2470.—It is hereby notified for general information that the following scientific research programme has been approved for the period specified below for the purposes of subsection (2A) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 by the Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi.

Research Programme .

1. Name of the Scientific "Evaluation of the Clinico-Pathological Effects of Prolonged exposure to Industrial Dust on Upper Respiratory Tract Mucosa, Nose, Ear with special reference to Ultra-Structural Changes and Operational Research in the development

2. Spousored at :

of related primary preventive programme in Industries".

The Ayodhya Charitable Trust. Pune.

- Sponsored by:—
 Sudarshan Chemical Industries
 Ltd. Wellesly Road, Poona
 & Roha (Distt. Kolaba).
 - 2. Raja Bahadur Mills Ltd,
 - 3. Paper & Pulp Conversion Ltd., Shivajinagar, Poona.
 - 4. Rathi Engineering Works, Shanker Sheth Road, Poona.
 - Industrial Paper Ltd., Bhosari, Poona.
 - Sudarshan Chemical & Textile Ltd., Shanker Sheth Road, Poong.
 - 7. Sanghavi Tiffin Carriers, Shivaji Nagar, Poona.
 - 8. Wanson India (P) Ltd., Thermax India (P) Ltd.
- 4. Duration of the Project : Five years.
 - (i) Proposed date of commencement.

8-3-82

(ii) Anticlpated date of completion.

7-3-87

5. Total estimated expendiance Rs. 55,20,000.00 (Rupees Fifty five lakhs twenty thousand

The approval for the above project will be subject to the following conditions:—

- That the Trust will maintain a separate account of the amounts received and expenditure incurred for this research project as distinct from the other expenditure of the Ayodhya Charitable Trust, Pune.
- (2) That the Trust will furnish annual returns of this scientific research project to the Council by 31st May each year at the latest in such form as may be laid down and intimated to them for this purpose.
- (3) That the Trust will furnish a copy of the annual audited statement of accounts along with total assets and liabilities to the Council by 31st May each year and in addition to send a copy of it to the concerned Income-tax Commissioner.
- (4) This approval is valid upto 24-12-1982.

The Ayodhya Charitable Trust, Pune has been approved under section 35(1)(ii) of the Income-tax Act, vide Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue) Nctification No. 3292 (F. No. 203/119/80-ITA. II) dated the 12th May, 1980.

[No. 4535 (F. No. 203/61/82-ITA. II)] M G.C. GOYAL, Under Secy

(राजस्य विमाग)

आबेश

नई दिल्ली, 25 जून, 1982 -

स्टाम

कां आ 2471 .--भारतीय स्टाम्प श्रिष्तियम, 1899 (1899 का 2) की घारा 9 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (क) द्वारा प्रदत्त ग्रांक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एत्रद्वारा उस शुल्क को माफ करती है जो राष्ट्रीय लच्च उद्योग निगम द्वारा जारी किए जाने वासे चार करोड़ 365 GI/82-2

रुपए मृत्य के प्रोमिसरी नोटों के रूप में बन्ध पत्नों पर उक्त मिधिनियम के भन्तर्गत प्रमार्थ है।

> [मं० 22/82-स्टाम्प्स-फा० सं० 33/2/82-वि०क०] भगवान दास, अवर स्रजिक

(Department of Revenue)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 25th June, 1982

STAMPS

S.O. 2471.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), the Central Government hereby remits the duty with which the bonds in the nature of promissory notes to the value of rupees four crores only, to be issued by National Small Industries Corporation are chargeable under the said Act.

[No. 22/82-Stamps-F. No. 33/2/82-ST] BHAGWAN DAS, Under Secy.

(आधिक कार्य विमाग) (वैकिग प्रभाग)

ंनई विस्सी, 18 जून, 1982

कां कां विश्व 2472 — प्रावेशक प्रामीण बैंक प्रधिनियम, 1976 (1976 का 21) की धारा 11की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त सिंकाों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एनद्वारा श्री बीं वीं एमं एमं एमं सो श्री विश्वाखा प्रामीण बैंक, श्रीकाकूनम का मध्यक्ष नियुक्त करती है तथा 1-7-1982 से प्रारम्भ होकर 30-6-1985 की समाप्त होने वाली अविधि को उस म्हलधि के रूप में निर्वारित करती है जिसके वौरान श्री बीं वीं एमं एमं प्रविध के रूप में निर्वारित करती है जिसके वौरान श्री बीं वीं एमं एमं प्रविध के रूप में कार्य करेंगे।

[मंख्या एक० 2-27/82-मार०मार० मी०]

(Department of Economic Affairs) BANKING DIVISION

New Delhi, the 18th June, 1982

S.O. 2472.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (1) of section 11 of the Regional Rural Banks Act, 1976 (21 of 1976), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri B. V. S. S. Sarma as the Chairman of the Sri Visakha Grameena Bank, Srikakulam and specifies the period commencing on the 1-7-1982 and ending with the 30-6-1985 as the period for which the said Shri B. V. S. Sarma shall hold office as such Chairman.

[No. F. 2-27/82-RRB]

नई दिल्ली, 19 जुन, 1982

का. आ. 2473: — प्रादेशिक ग्रामीण बैंक अधिनियम, 1976 (1976 का 21) की धारा 11 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रवत्त शिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्द्वारा श्री नरसिंह मिश्रा को नालन्दा ग्रामीण बैंक का अध्यक्ष नियुक्त करती है तथा 13-5-1982 से प्रारम्भ होकर 30-6-1985 को समाप्त होने वाली अवधि को उस अवधि के रूप में निर्धारित करती है जिसके दौरान श्री नरसिंह मिश्रा अध्यक्ष के रूप में कार्य करेंगे।

[सं. एफ. 2-55/82-आर. आर. बी.]

New Delhi, the 19th June, 1982

S.O. 2473.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (1) of section 11 of the Regional Rural Banks Act, 1976 (21 of 1976), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Narsingh Mishra as the Chairman of the Nalanda Gramin Bank, Biharsharif and specifies the period commencing on the 13-5-1982 and ending with the 30-6-1985 as the period for which the said Shri Narsing Mishra shall hold office as such Chairman.

[No. F. 2-55/82-RRB]

कार आरं 2474 - प्रादेशिक ग्रांभीण बैंक श्रियानेयम, 1976 (1976 क. 21) की धारा 11 की धारा (1) हान प्रदम णिकायों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एननद्वारा श्री मीना राम प्रसाद की नालंदा ग्रामीण बैंक बिहार शरीफ का शब्यक्ष नियुक्त करती है तथा 1-4-82 से प्रारम्भ होकर 12-5-82 की समाप्त हाने बाली श्रद्धि को उस प्रविध के रूप में निर्धारित करती है जिसके दौरान श्री सीता राम प्रभाद शब्यक्ष के रूप में कार्य करेंगे।

[मं० एक० 2-55/82-कार आप की]

S.O. 2474.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (1) of section 11 of the Regional Rural Banks Act, 1976 (21 of 1976), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Sita Ram Prasad as the Chairman of the Nalanda Gramin Bank, Biharshrif and specifies the period commencing on the 1-4-82 and ending with the 12-5-82 as the period for which the said Shri Sita Ram Prasad shall hold office at such Chairman.

[No. F. 2-55/82-RRB]

नई दिल्ली, 23 जुन, 1982

का० आ० 2475 - प्रादेशिक ग्रामीण बैंक अधिनियम, 1976 (1976 का 21) की धारा 11 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रयक्त णिकतयों का प्रयोग करने हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एततद्वारा श्री एस०पी० गर्ग को मुनतानपुर क्षेत्रीय ग्रामीण बैंक, सुल्तालपुर का अध्यक्ष नियुक्त वारती है तथा 1-7-83 से प्रारम्भ होकर 30-9-82 को समस्त होने वाली भ्रविध को उप उस अथि के रूप में निर्धारित करनी है जिसके दोगन श्री एस० पी० गर्ग भ्रव्यक्ष के रूप में कार्य करेंगे।

[स० एफ० 2-41/82-म्रार० मार० वी०]

New Delhi, the 23rd June, 1982

S.O. 2475.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (1) of section 11 of the Regional Rural Banks Act, 1976 (21 of 1976), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri S. P. Gurg as the Chairman of the Sultanpur Kshetriya Gramin Bank, Sultanpur and specifies the period commencing on the 1-7-82 and ending with the 30-9-82 as the period for which the said Shri S. P. Garg shall hold office as such Chairman.

[No. F. 2-41/82-RRB]

नई दिल्ली, 26 जुन, 1982

कां आं 2476. -प्रावेशिक ग्रामीण बैंक ग्राधित्यम, 1976 (1976 का 21) की धारा 11 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा ग्रामीण मिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्वारा श्री बी०पी० ग्राग्र्याल को गोरखपुर केंद्रीय ग्रामीण श्रीक, गोरखपुर का श्रध्यक्ष नियुक्त करती है तथा 1-7-82 से प्रारम्भ होकर 30-6-1983 को समाप्त होने वाली ग्रवधि को उस श्रवधि के रूप में निर्धारित करती है जिनके दौरान श्री बी०पी० ग्राग्राक ग्राह्म के रूप में कार्य करेंगे।

[सं० एफ० 8-2/79-आर०प्रार० ची०]

New Delhi, the 26th June, 1982

S.O. 2476.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (1) of section 11 of the Regional Rural Banks Act, 1976 (21 of 1976), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri B. P. Agarwal as the Chairman of the Gorakhpur Kshetriva Gramin Bank, Gorakhpur and specifies the period commencing on the 1-7-1982 and ending with the 30-6-1983 as the period for which the said Shri B. P. Agarwal shall hold office as such Chairman.

[No. F. 8-2/79-RRB]

का०का० 2477.—प्रादेशिक ग्रामीण येक ग्राधितियम, 1976 (1976 का 21 की धारा 11 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रस्त णिक्तिमें का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार, एनतद्वारा श्री पी० बी० तांमें वा क्षेत्रीय ग्रामीण श्रीक, होशंगाबाद का प्रध्यक्ष नियुक्त अरती है नया 1.7.1982 से प्रारम्भ की होकर 30-6-1985 को सभाष्त्र होने. वाली श्रविश को उस अवधि के क्ष्य में निर्वारित करती है जिसके दौरान श्री पी० बी० तींबे श्रध्यक्ष के क्ष्य में कार्य करेगी।

[स० एक०२-४/४२-भार० भार० की०]

S.O. 2477.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (1) of section 11 of the Regional Rural Banks Act, 1976 (21 of 1976), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri P. B. Tambe as the Chairman of the Kshetriya Gramin Bank. Hoshangabad and specifies the period commencing on the 1-7-1982 and ending with the 30-6-1985 as the period for which the said Shri P. B. Tambe shall hold affice as such Chairman.

INo. F. 2-8/82-RRB1

का शां 2478. - प्रादेशिक ग्रामीण बैंक प्रधिनियम, 1976 (1976 का 21) की धारा 11 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदक्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एनमद्वारा श्री जें० दास को बोलंगी श्राचिल ग्रास्य बैंक, बोलंगीर का श्रद्धांथ नियुक्त करती है नथा 1.7.1982 से प्रारम्भ होकर 30-0-1985 को समाप्त होने वाली श्रद्धां को उस श्रद्धां के रूप में निर्दारित करती है जिनके दौरान श्री जें० दास श्रद्धां के रूप में कार्य करेंगे।

[स॰ एफ॰ 2-18/82-प्राप्त आर॰ सी०]

S.O. 2478.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (1) of section 11 of the Regional Rural Banks Act. 1976 (21 of 1976), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri J. Dash as the Chairman of the Bolangir Anchalik Giamya Bank, Bolangir and specifies the period commencing on the 1-7-1982 and ending with the 30-6-1985 as the period for which the said Shri J. Dash shall hold office as such Chairman.

[No. F. 2-18/82-RRB]

का श्या 2479 — प्रादेशिक ग्रामीण वैक ग्रिविनयम, 1976 (1976 का 21) की धारा 11 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तियों का प्रयान करने हुए, केन्द्रीय संश्कार, एततद्वारा श्री ए० सी० मोहंती को कारापुट पंचवर्टी ग्राम्य बैंक, जैपोर का भ्रव्यक्ष नियुक्ति करती है तथा 1-7-1982 से प्रारम्म होकर 30-6-1985 को समान्त होने वानी भ्रविध को उस अवधि के का में निर्धारित करती है जिनके दौरान घी ए० सी० मोहंती अध्यक्ष के का में नार्ध करेंगे।

[मं०एफ० 2-33/82 श्लार० ग्रार० बी०] राम बेहरा, ग्रदर मणिक

S.O. 2479.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (1) of section 11 of the Regional Rural Panks Act, 1976 (21 of 1976), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri A. C. Mohanty as the Chairman of the Koraput Panchabati Gramya Bank, Jeypore and specifies the period commencing on the 1-7-1982 and ending with the 30-6-1985 as the period for which the said Shri A. C. Mohanty shall hold office as such Chairman.

[No. F. 2-33/82-RRB] RAAM BEHRA, Under Secy.

वाणिज्य मंत्रालय

कावेश

नई दिल्ली, 10 जुहाई, 1982

का॰आ॰ 2480. - केन्द्रीय सरकार की, निर्यात (क्वालिटी नियंत्रण भौर निरीक्षण) प्रधिनियम, 1963 (1963 का 22) की घारा 6 द्वारा प्रदत्त सक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह राथ है कि भारत के निर्यान व्यापार के विकास के लिए ऐसा करना प्रावश्यक तथा समीचीत है कि कथर उत्पादों का (क्वालिटी नियंत्रण ग्रीर निरीक्षण) किया जाए।

भीर केन्द्रीय संस्कार ने उक्त प्रयाभन के लिए निम्नलिखित प्रस्ताव बनाए है भीर उन्हें निर्मात (क्वालिटी नियंत्रण और निरीक्षण) नियम, 1964 के नियम 11 के उपनियम (2) की श्रपेक्षानुसार निर्मात निरीक्षण परिषद् को भेन दिया है।

धन. केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त उपनियम के प्रनुष्तरण में, भारत मंश्कार वाणित्र की क्यर उत्पादों से संबंधित अधिसूचना सख्या काव्याव 3918 तारोख 16 विसम्बर, 1965, क्यर उत्पादों की अविरिक्त मदों में संबंधित अधिसूचना संव काव्याव 2779 तारील 11 प्रगस्त 1967 श्रीर कुछ याय अविरिक्त मदों में संबंधित विदेण व्यापार मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना सख्या काव्याव 2594 तारील 29 जुलाई 1970 को उन बातों के सिवाय जिन्हें किया गया है या करने का लोग किया गया है, श्रीधकान, करने हुए, उक्त प्रस्तावों को उन सभी व्यक्तियों की जानकारी के लिए प्रकाणित करती है जिसके उनसे प्रभावित होने की मभावना है।

सूचना दी आर्ता है कि उन्त प्रमुखों के बारे में प्राक्षेत या मुझाय भेजने का इच्छुक कोई व्यक्ति उन्त प्रस्तायों के राजधन में प्रकाशन की तारीख से 45 दिन के मोतर निर्यात निरीक्षण परिषद् (5वी मंजिन) म्युनिसिपल मार्केट बिल्डिंग 3, सरस्थती मार्ग, नई दिल्ली को भेज सकता है।

प्र स्त(व

- 1(1) श्रिष्टिस्चित करना कि कयर उत्नादों का निर्यात से पूर्व क्वालिटी नियंत्रण श्रीर निरीक्षण किया आएगा -
- (2) इस भादेशा के उपायंध 1 में दिए गए कथर उत्पादों के नियास (निरीक्षण) नियम, 1982 के अनुसार क्यालिटी नियमण भ्रोर निरीक्षण के प्रकार को क्यालिटी नियंत्रण भ्रोर निरीक्षण के ऐसे प्रकार के रूप में विभिविष्ट करना जो ऐसे कथर अस्पायों पर नियान से पूर्व लाग होगा ;
- (3) (क) कथर अत्पादों के लिए इस क्रादेश के उपाबंध 11 में अधिसूचित विनिर्देशों को कथर अत्पादों के लिए मानक विनिर्देशों के रूप में मान्यता देना ;
 - (ख) विदेशी कैता द्वारा श्रनुमीदित नभूनों या विनिवेंगों को मान्यता देना परन्तु यह तब जब कि नमूने का विनि-वेंग, अपरोक्त (क) में दिए गए मानक विनिवेंगों की न्युनसम अपेक्षाश्रो से कम नहीं है।
 - (ग) उन विनिर्वेशों को मान्यता देना, जो उपरोक्त खड़ (क) के अंतर्गन नहीं आते हैं किन्तु इस प्रयोजन के लिए निर्यात निरीक्षण परिषद् द्वारा नियुक्त विशेषज्ञों के पैनल द्वारा बनाए गए हैं,
- (4) क्यर उत्पादों का निर्यात प्रन्तरिष्ट्रीय व्यापार के दौरान तस तक प्रतिषिक करना जब तक कि उनके मृथ निर्यात (क्वान लिटी नियंत्रण ग्रीर निरीक्षण) श्रीधिनियम 1963 (1963 कै। 22) की धारा 8 द्वार स्थापित निर्यात निरीक्षण भिक्तरणों में से किसी एक द्वारा जारी किया इस भागय का प्रमाण-पत्न न हो कि क्यर उत्पाद उप-पैरा 3 के अधीन मान्यसा प्राप्त विनिर्वेशों के श्रनुक्य है ग्रीर निर्यात यांग्य है।
- 2. इस अविश की कोई भी बात माबी कैनाओं को भूमि, समुद्र या बायु मार्ग द्वारा कथर उत्पादों के नन्तों के निर्यात को लागू नही होगी।

- इस अदिशा में कथर उत्पादों से निम्नलिखिन प्रकारों के उत्पाद अभि प्रेन हैं, अर्थात :--
 - (क) म्लाका पायदान चंटाईयां;
 - (ख) निट पायदान चटाईया;
 - (ग) कील घीर फायबर घटाईस;
 - (घ) गलियारा चटाईया;
 - (इ) सिनेट घटाईया;
 - (च) मंधी हुई चटाईया;
 - (छ) जिमनातियां चटाईया;
 - (ज) रस्मी चटाईयां;
 - (झ) अलिं चटाई,
 - (ट्ना) किसी अन्य प्रकार की कशर जूट घटाईयां;

उपाबस्ध-र

निर्यात (क्वालिटी नियंद्रण ग्रौर निरीक्षण) ग्रिधिनियम, 1963 (1963 का 22) की धारा 17 के प्रधीन कपर उत्पाद के निर्यात (निरीक्षण) नियम, 1965 को ग्रिधिकान्स करने हुए बनाए जाने वाले प्रस्तावित नियमों का प्रारूप

- 1 सक्षिप्त नाम भीर प्रारंभ :
- (1) इन नियमों का संक्षिप्त नाम कयर उत्पाद निर्यात (निरीक्षण) नियम, 1982 है।
 - (2) ये राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की नारीख को प्रवृत्त होंगे।

2. परिभाषाएं :

इन नियमों में जब तक कि संदर्भ से प्रत्यथा अपेक्षित न हो.

- (i) 'ग्रधिनियम' से निर्यात (क्वालिटी नियंत्रण भीर निरीक्षण) ग्रधिनियम, 1963 (1963 का 22) ग्रभिन्नेत है,
- (ii) 'ग्रभिकरण' से प्रधिनियम की घारा 7 के ग्रधीन मान्यना प्राप्त निर्यात निरीक्षण प्रभिकरणों में से कोई एक ग्रभिप्रेत है,
- (iii) 'कयर उत्पाव' निम्निलिखित प्रकार के उत्पाव म्रभिप्रेत हैं भ्रष्यित्:—
 - (क) म्लाका पायदान चटाईया,
 - (ख) चिट चटाईयां,
 - (ग) कील भीर फायबर पायबान चटाईयां,
 - (घ) गलियारा चटाईयां,
 - (ङ) सिनेर चटाईयां,
 - (च) भूप चटाईयां,
 - (छ) जिमनासियां, चटाईया,
 - (ज) रस्मी चटाईयां,
 - (ञा) जाली चटाईयां,
 - (ट) किसी प्रकार की कया चटाईयां,

3 निरीक्षणका श्राधारः

निर्यात के लिए भाशयित उत्पाद का निरीक्षण इस दृष्टि से किया जायेगा कि वे अधिनियम की धारा 6 के भवीन केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा मान्यता प्राप्त विनिर्देशों के भनुक्प हैं।

1 निरीक्षण प्रक्रियाः

(1) कयर उत्पादों का निर्यात करने का इच्छूक निर्यातकर्ता भ्रयने ऐसा करने के भ्राणय की सूचना लिख्नित रूप में निर्यात निरीक्षण भ्रभिकरण के निकटनम कार्यालय को देगा।

- (2) इस प्रयोजन के लिए प्रत्येक सुचना पोत लदान की प्रत्याशित तारीख से 72 घंट पूर्व दी जाएगी।
- (3) उप-नियम (2) में निर्दिष्ट सूचना के प्राप्त होने पर, प्रापिकरण कयर उत्पादों के परेषण का निरीक्षण इस संबंध में निर्यात निरीक्षण परिषद् द्वारा समय-समय पर जारी कि गए अनुवेशों के अनुसार इस दृष्टि से करेगा कि वह मान्यता प्राप्त विनिर्देशों की अपेक्षाओं की पूरा करता है और निर्यातकती अधिकरण को आवश्यक मुविधार्ये देगा ताकि वह ऐसा निरीक्षण करने में समर्थ हो सके।
- (4) प्रभिकरण प्रपत्ता यह समाधान कर लेने के पश्चात् कि कयर उत्पावों का परेषण मान्यता प्राप्त विनिर्वेशों के धनुरूप है नियम 4 के उप-नियम (1) के धर्धान सूचना धौर परेषण का ब्यौरा प्राप्त होने के तीन दिन के धीतर यह घोषणा करते हुए प्रमाण-पत्न जारी करेगा कि परेषण मान्यता प्राप्त विनिर्वेशों के प्रमुक्तप है धौर निर्यात योग्य है:

परन्तु जहां भ्रमिकरण का समाधान नहीं होता है वहां वह उक्त तीन दिन की भवधि के भीतर प्रमाण-पत्र जारी करने से इन्कार कर देगा भीर ऐसे इंकार की सूचना उसके कारणों सहित निर्मातकर्ता को देगा ।

निरीक्षण का स्थान :

इन नियमों के प्रधीन प्रत्यक निरीक्षण या,

- (क) उस परिसर पर किया जाएगा जहां निर्यातकर्ता द्वारा कथर उत्पादों का परेषण निरीक्षण के लिए प्रस्तुन किया जाता है, परन्तु यह तब जब कि इस प्रयोजन के लिए पर्याप्त सुविधाएँ विद्यमान हों, या
- (का) किसी एमें स्थान पर जो इस प्रयोजन के लिए प्रसिकरण द्वारा विनिर्दिष्ट किया जा सकता है।

मपील:

नियम 4 के प्रधीन प्रमाण-पन्न आरी करने के इन्कार से ध्यथित कोई व्यक्ति एसे ईकार की सूचना प्राप्त होने के 10 दिन के भीतर इस प्रयोजन के लिए केन्द्रीय सरकार द्यारा गठित ध्रपीलीय पैनल को जिसमें कम से कम तीन व्यक्ति होंगे प्रपील कर सकता है।

7 निरीक्षण फीस:

कयर उत्पादों का निरीक्षण करने के लिए कम से कम 6.00 रुपये प्रति परेषण के प्रधीन रहते हुए प्रत्येक बंडल के लिए 0.60 पैसे की दर के फीस निरीक्षण फीस के रूप में वी आएगी।

उपवन्धः II

क्यर उत्पादों के लिए विनिर्देश :

1. साधारण भवेकाएं:

- 1.1 जूट चटाइयों के विनिर्माण में प्रयुक्त फायबर सूत भीर रस्ती समरूप क्वालिटी की भीर साफ होगी भीर प्रपद्रव्य से युक्त होगी। ये विरंजित या भविरंजित प्राकृतिक या रंगी हुई होगी। प्रयुक्त कथर सूत वो प्लाई का होगा।
- 1.2 चटाइया दृढता से धौर समतल तथा युनी हुई होगी। बाने के शहर निकल हुए सिरे घच्छे मजबूत धौर अच्छी प्रकार से बाबे जाए गें रोंछेंबार के गुच्छे सुरक्षित होंगे धौर कटाई एक समान होगी।
- 1.3 प्रयुक्त डोरियां एक समान संरचना की होंगी। जब सिरो से जोड़ी आएंगी, डोरी कठीर बटे हुए सूत से बुनी होगी झौर कम से कम प्रित्त डेसीमीटर में तीन टांकों द्वारा चटाई से टांकी जाएगी। श्लाका और जिमनासियां चटा, यों, में, डोरी की प्लाइयों की संख्या कमण: पांच और ग्यारह से कम नहीं होगी। सिनेट चटाई के लिए प्रयुक्त डोरियों की लड़ियां उचित प्रकार खौर स्कोरेज की होंगी।

- 1.4 भटाई स्टेनिसिल बाली हो सकती है या उसमें डिजाइन भौर प्रक्षर बुने जा सकते हैं। अब प्रवणित की जाए तब जड़ाऊ या स्टेनिवल किए गए डिजाइन स्पष्ट भौर समान होगे।
- चटाइयों का विनिर्माण भाकृति भौर भाकार में संविदा के अनुसार किया जाएगा।
- 1.6 जब जिमनासिया चटाइयों में रस्सी प्रयुक्त की जाती है कें कयर या हैस्प रस्ती की होगी जिसकी परिधि 38 मिलीमीटर होगी या 25 से 30 मि० मी० चौड़ी 11 प्लाई की डोरी की होगी जो सब्त बटे हुए सूत की बनी होगी।
- 1.7 रस्ती के विनिमाण में उपयुक्त कथर काप प्रच्छा तरह से बटी हुई होगी भौर प्रत्यक चार लड़ियों में बटी हुई रिस्मियो की पर्याप्ति संख्या बना पर्दा से होगा।
- 1.8 रस्सी चटाइयों की दशा में, उित्त सिलाई यह सुरक्षित करने के लिए की जाएगी कि किनारों पर परत फिसले नहीं । रस्सी के दो सिरों को चटाई के ढ़ांचे में इस प्रकार समाहिस किया जाएगा ताकि सिरे छुप जाएं।
- 1.9 जालीदार चटाई की दशा में, जिल्दमाजी के धागे से बंधी गाठे पक्की संख्त और समान होंगी।
- 1.10 चटाई धम्बों, गंदगी और अन्य बाह्य पदाशों से मुक्त होगी।
- 1.11 चटाइयों की कोई अन्य विशिष्ट फिनिश हो सकती हैं जो निर्यात संविदा में करार की गयी हो।
- 2. विनिर्दिष्ट प्रयेकाएं :
- 2.1 चटाइयों के विभिन्न प्रकारों और स्वालिटी संख्या के लिए अंजीर वाले फाइल ऊंचाई, संरचना धौर शरकों बारे में श्रयेक्षाएं वे होंगी जो नीचे सारणी 1 से 10 में दी गयी हैं या इस प्रयोजन के लिए नियुक्त विशेवज्ञों के पैनल द्वारा बनाए गए विनिर्देशों के श्रनुसार होगी।
- 2.2 किसी क्यालिटी की ब्राधारभूत श्रेणी के लिए निर्दिष्ट बातों की संख्या उच्च श्रेणी के लिए न्यूनतम संख्या होगी।
- 2.3 चटाइमों के विभिन्न प्रकारों के लिए बाने फाइल की ऊंचाई भार भीर विभाशों के बारे में भनुत्रेय सहायता वह होगी सारणी 1 से 10 में दी गयी है या इस प्रयोजन के लिए नियुक्त विभेषत्र के पैनल द्वारा बनाए गए विनिर्वेशों के प्रनुसार होगी।
- 2.4 क्वालिटी कोई संबंधांक इस रूप में नियत किया जाएगा कि उसके प्रथम प्रकार से प्रकार का खोनन हो और फाइल के लिए विनिर्विष्ट सामग्री के प्रकार का द्वितीय और तृतीय प्रकार से खोतन हो। क्वालटी कोड संख्यांक के पश्चात फाइल ऊंचाई भार का कोप्टकों में खोनन किया जाएगा।
- 2.5 निम्निलिखित प्रकार की बिना गुच्छेवार भाग के ग्रंसरास सिहन चटाइयों का नियान ग्रनुकात किया जाएगा।
 - (1) कील चटाइयां,
 - (2) स्लाका चटाइयां;
 - (3) चिंद चटाइवां;
 - (4) फायबर चटाइयां;
 - (5) लूप चटाइयां;
- 2.6 निम्नलिखित घटाइयों की किस्मों के लिए विहित स्यूनतम धौर धिकतम भार के ऊपर 6 मि मी० फाइल ऊँचाई में प्रत्यक वृद्धि के लिए प्रत्यक वर्ग 1220 ग्राम द्वारा भार में तदनुसार वृद्धि प्रत्यक कवालिटी में उच्च श्रीणयां की आसी हैं—
 - (1) फील चटाइयां;
 - (2) म्लाका चटाइ ां

(3) चिंढ चटाईसां		(1) एजेंगो	V
(4) फायबर चटाईयां;		(2) मंगादन	एम
(5) जिमनासिया भटाईयां		(3) म्रष्टम् श	1 87
2.7 चटाईमों के निम्नलिखित प्रकारों की	दिशा में बिहित स्थनतम	(3) घरटरी	मार
भौर अधिकतम भार के ऊपर		(5) भल पाट	एल
ग्राम प्रति वर्गमीटर के भार में		(६) वाइकोम	मीर
में उच्चतर श्रेणियां वर्णित की		(७) अपू प्रुवा इ कोम	ਣੀ
	Title q	(8) मे पोर	बाई
(1) लूप चटाईयां,		(१) स्वीलुड	मध ्
(2) गुलियारा चटाईयां;		(10) भीम	मी
(3) सिनेट घटाईमां;		(11) रस्मी	पी
(4) रम्सी चटाईयाः;		(12) सम्माद्धीप	सी
(5) जालीवार चटाईयां ।		(13) ऊन	डब्स्यू
3. क्वालिटी कोड संस्थांकः		(14) फायवर	एफ "
3.1 क्वालिटी कोड संख्यांक में प्रयम	प्रकार चटाई यों की किस्में	4. पैकिंग:	
उपवर्शीशत करेगे :		4.1 चटाईयां केता भीर विके	ना के बीच हुए करार के बनुससार
(1) कील	मी		ावधानी बरती जाएगी कि चटाईयों
(2) फायबर	एफ	•	ज में कम से कम 2-17800
(३) प्लाका	भार	• •	गीस) के नए हैसियन कपड़े में लपेटी
(4) বিহ	मी	जाएगी ।	
(5) जिमनासियां	জী	4.2 प्रत्येक पैकेज पर निम्नलि	। चित विक्रिष्टियां लिखी जाएगी:
(६) सूप	एल	(=) 	r siano altre sine ser come
(७) भंसियारा	জ ী		<i>ा</i> संख्या और क्रांड का नाम,
(8) सिनेट	एम	(का) भाकार, (ग) पैकेश में टककों की ग	ki an r

सारणी –1 इलाका पायदान चटाईयां

मे

एम

3, 2 क्वालिटी कोड संख्याक में प्रगुक्त द्वितीय ग्रीर तृतीय ग्रक्तर

सून की किस्म उपवर्शित निम्नानुसार करेंग।

(क) साधारण विशेषताएं: इस चाटाई में पाइल ऊपर के सूत को काटने से बनाई जाएगी। 2 या अधिक सूत एक साथ मोड़े जाएंगे भीर जासीबार लोड़ें की बलाका के ऊपर ताने के साथ लपेटे जाएंगे।

(ग) पैकेज में दुकड़ों की संख्या,

(ड़) पैकेजों की कम संख्या,

(घ) कुल भार,

(छ) जहाजी चिन्ह,

(घ) कोख (विनिर्माता) विवेशी केता, यदि कोई हो का नाम,

(ख) संरचनाध्यक स्थौरे:

(9) गांठ वंधी (रस्सी)

(10) সালী

(ख) सर	- 4611141										5 1 — 5 —	
नवासिटी		जंजीर	गना	पाइस	संर य ना	जंजीर ६-२	- C			भार		
संदयाक						या सिरे ऽ डेसीमीइ स्यूनतम		माना पाईल प्रति ऊंगाई मीटर मिमी० न्यूनतम	षाम/एः न्यूनतम	म मानक	म विकतम	क्वालि टी संख्यांक
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
भारबी	1	बीच या वाइको म	बीच	ৰীস	2×2	9	(25	4055	4270	4590	मार्बी 1
भारमी	2	भीच या चाइकोस	बीच	बीच	2 × 1	10	1	1 25	4635	4880	5245	भारकी 2
भारमी	3	बीच या बाइकोम	नीच	बीच	3 × 2	10	1	28	5215	5490	5900	भारमी ३
मा'रथी	4	श्रीच या वादकोम	बी च	नीच	3 × 1	10	1 1	1 28	5215	5490	5900	मार्खी 4
भारवी	5	भीव था वादकोस	बीच	भीच	4 × 1	10	1	1 38	6955	7320	7870	भारनी 5
भारबी की	1	भाइकोम	बाइकी म	म ।इकोम	3 × 1	10	1 2	32	6955	7320	7870	मारबी ।
मारबी बी	2	वा दकोम	वाइकोस	वादकोस	4 × 2	10		9 32	6955	7320	7870	मारनी 2

1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
मार वी व	1 3	आइकोम	वाइकोम	वादकोम	4 × 1	10	10	32	6955	7320	7870	मारंगी 3
म्रार वी	4	वादकोभ	वाइकोम	वाहकोम	6 × 1	12	13	35	7535	7930	8525	क्रारवी 4
फ्रा र बी	5	वाइकोम	वाइकोम	वाइकोम	4 × 1	12	10	32	6955	7320	7870	क्रांग्वी 5
मार टी	1	वाइकोम	टी वाइकोम	टी वाइकोम	3×1	10	9	32	6955	7320	7870	मारटी 1
भार टी	2	बाइकोम था कीच	टी बाइकाम बा इको म	टी बाधको स वाधकोम	3×2	10	8	32	6375	6710	7215	भाग्टी 2
ग्रार एल	1	बाइकोम	वाइकोम	घसर्पाट	4×1	10	10	38	6955	7320	7870	कारणला ।
म्रार क्षी	1	व (इकोम	च ^{्ट} मुडी	प्रष्टमुडी	3 × 1	10	10	35	8115	8540	9180	मारकी ।
म।र भार	1	वादकीम	अल्पीट	प्रस्टरा	4 × 1	10	12	44	9270	9760	10490	मारसरा

श्लाका जटिल चटाई

एला इ. ज**िल वटाइयो की विशेषताए एलाटा वटाइयो जैसी** ही होगी सिवाय इसके कि सादा और रगीन कायर फाइबर का प्रयोग करते हुए चटाइयो में डिजाइन बुने जाते हैं

द्यार की एक 1	बीच था बाइकोस	तीचे	बी च भौ र फाइबर	2 × 2	10	10	28	5215	5490	5900 मा रबीएका
भारबीएफ 2	बीच सा बाइकीम	यीज	यथोक्त	3 × 1	11	11	28	5795	6100	6560 झारबीएफ2
धार की एक 3	श्रीच यः। भाष्य् कीमः	बीच	यणोक्त	3 × 2	11	1 2	28	5795	6100	6560 भारकोएक 3
ब्रारपीएफ 1	वाइकोम	वाइकोम'	वाइकोम ग्रौर काइभर	4×1	1 1	12	32	7535	7930	8525 मारवीएफ1
भारटी एफ ॅ्रा	वाइकोम	वाइकोम	टी वाइकोम भौर फाइकर	21×1	12	12	32	637 5	6710	7215 मारटीएफ 1
सार सार एक।	गाइकोमं,	वाइकोम	म्न,रटरी और फाय ब र	4 × 1	10	12	38	8115	8540	9180 मान्मारएफ
फ्र.र एल एफ 1 -	व : इकोम यः सनुपाट	वाइकोम	म्रलप.ट भीर _फाय व र	4 × 1	10	11	38	8115	8540	9180 म.रएलए फ
द्वार टी एक 2	बाइकोम	टी व.इकोम	टी व,इकोम फाइक्षर	3 × 1	10	10	35	7535	7930	8525 म. रटीएफ2

(ग) मनुज्ञात सञ्चल, ए.

बाना किसी भी चटाई में ब.ने की संख्या निम्नलिखित सह्यागे के प्रधीन 'रहते हुए वह होगी जो उपरोक्त स.रणी में दी गयी है।

-(1) 76-सें० मी • से कम लम्ब ई की वढ़ इसों के लिए माइनस 5 प्रसिशत।

_(2)_76 से० मी • से ऊपर चौड़ाई की चट.इयो के लिए माइनस 10 प्रतिशत चट,इयो,की प.इल उ.च.ई वह होगी ओ उपरोक्त स.रणी मे वी गयी होगी। प,इख की ऊंच. ई :

प.इ.ल ऊंच.ई मे प्लस य. म.इनस 3 मि०मी० की सह्यता धनुजात की ज.एगी।

भारः'

प्रति इकाई क्षेत्र का भार वह होगा जो उपरोक्त सारणी में दिया गया है। भार पर निम्नलिखित सह्यताएं ग्रमुजात की जाएंगी।

(1) 76 सेंव मी श्रीर स्थित जनीयाई की लटाइयों के लिए मानक भार के ऊपर 5-12 प्रति प्लस या 10 प्रतिकत माइनस

(2) 30 सें० मी० या कम चौज़ाई की घटाईयों के लिए मानश भार के अपर 12-12 फस

विभार्ष: 'सम्बार्च 130 सें ब्मी व्यक

🛨 13 मिण्मी०

130 सें० मी० से ऊपर 🛨 1 प्रतिकात

जौड़ाई

13 मि०मी०

.± 13 मि०मी०

जज़ीर: पट्टाई की जज़ीरों की संख्या वह होंगी जो उपरोक्त सारणी में बीगयी है। <u>प्रति ड्रेमीमीटर प्लम</u>लड़ी <u>की सह</u>्यता अनुकात की जाएगी।

सारणी-Шवट वैट्स

(क) साधारण विशेषताएं:

जंजीर ही लड़ियों के तारों श्रीर बारी बारी से कथर के सून के बिटों को अन्त रवापित करके बनाई गयी चटाई।

(ख्रा) संरचनात्मक स्मीरे.

भलामिटी र	 सस्यांक	जंजीर	सूत क 	ा प्रकार	संग्चना	जंजीर प्रति क्रेश्मी०		प्रति स्यूनतम	धूलि की अंचाई	.भार ग्राम /ए.म.² न्यूनतम	चित्रसतस	नवग्रिक्टी संख्योक
_			बाना	पा इ ल		न्यून तम			मि०मी०	·		
- साद 1चित	 फ ब रा				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,							
मी	1	बीच या शहकोम	बीच	बीच दुकड़े	ग्रन्स-स्थापित टुकडे	9	9	3 1	6375	6710	7215	वी वी 1
बी०पी०	1	काइकोम	बाइकोम के टुकड़े		वयोक्त	9	9	,3 5	7535	7930	8525	षीनी 1 [.]
बी०ए०	1	आइकोम	आइक् रेम्		कठोर मुखे हुए सूत के टुकड़े	9	9	35	8115	8540	9180	बी॰ए• 1

(ग) घनुत्रात सह्यताएं:

बानाः चटाई में ब्रनुज्ञान बाने की संख्या निम्नलिखित सहाताओं के ब्राबीन रहते हुए वह होगी जी, उपरोक्त सारणीं में दीगयी है।

- (1) 76 सें॰मी॰ से कम की बौड़ाई वाली चटाई के लिए ---माइनस 5 प्रतिशत
- (2) 76 सें० मी० से या उसमे ग्राधिक चौड़ाई वाली चटाई के लिए -माइनस 10 प्रतिशत

पाइल ऊंचाई: चटाई की पाइल ऊंचाई वह होगी जो सारणी में दीगई है। पाइल में ±प्लम गाइनस 3 मि॰मी॰ की सहाक्षा अनुकात सी जाएगी।

भार:

प्रति यूनिट क्षेत्र का भार वह होगा जो उपरोक्त सारणी में दिया गया है।

भार पर निम्निभिक्ति सह्यता बनुज्ञान की जाएगी।

- (1) 76 सें० मी० घीर थिंधक चौड़ाई वाली घटाई के लिए मानक भार के ऊपर ---प्लम 7½ प्रतिशत या माइनस 10 प्रानशत.
- (2) 76 सें॰ मी॰ य कम चौड़ाई वसी चटई के लिए मानक भार के उत्पर प्लास 12 मिलशान।

विमाएं :

लम्बाई:

130 में ०मी० 上 13 मि० मी०

130 में० भी० ग्रक्षिक ---- 1 प्रतिशत

—13 मि०मी०

चौड़ाई: सभी प्रकार ± 13 मि॰मी०

जंजीर: चट ई की जंजिंरों की संख्या वह होगी जो उपरोक्त सारणी में दो गई है। प्रति डेसी मीटर र्मालड़ी की सह्यत प्रशृहात की जाएगी।

सारणी--III पायवान जील चटाई

(क) साधारण विशेषसाएं:

चटाई एक कसी हुई भीर एक डीली वो अंजीरो से वा एक कसी हुई भीर डीली भीर एक डीली भीर एक पाइल तीन जंजीरों से बनी होगी। पाईल से अंजीर-को काटने से पाइल बनता है।

(ग्र) मंरचनात्मक स्थीरं :

	•		खागे का प्रकः।र	;								-	
- क्वालिटी इंधक	जंजीर	कसी हुई जं जीद	बाना	पा६ल	 संरधना	जजीर या सिरे प्रति देसी ^र ०मी ^र ० न्यूनसम		प्र डे	यूनतम ति ०मीर० क्तियां	भार पाइल अंवा ई स्यूनतम् मि०मी	सामक न	(अधिकत	म <i>ं</i> क्कालिटी संख्या
1	2	3		5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
-संबी 1	 <u></u>	शीच	गीच	बीच	ताना कडी पाइल	9	24	12	22	4535		5245	
सीबी 2	2 सा 3 प्लाई जूट	यथोक्त	बी च	बीच	यथ ोन त	9	24	12	25	5795	6100	6580	सीबी 2

25	01
<i>4</i> 3	04

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
होनी 1		जाहकोस 	वाह्कोम	वाइकोम	नाना कटो फाइ	ন 9	25	12	22	5215	5490	5900	सीबी I
रीबी2	2 या 3 प्लाई जूद	बाइकोग	अङ्कोम	काइकोम	य यो भन	9	25	13	22	5215	5490	5900	सीबी 2
सीबी उ	म भो क्त	4 यां ठे प्लाई जूट	4 या 5 प्याद्दी जूट	बाइकोम	य यो क्त	14	40	20	19	4925	5 185	5575	सीगी 3
तीयी 4	थमोक्त	स्वोद त	3 দ্বার্ছ সুহ	वाईकोस	य णोन त	17	52	26	13	4205	4425	4755	सीनी 4
सीमी 5	2 प्लाई जूट	वाइकोस	 बाइकोम	वाइ कोस	वयोक्त	24	40	20	19	4345	4575	4920	सीबी 5
तीएल 1	वयोक्त	मलपाट	नाइकोम	धलपाट	वयोक्त	9	25	12	22	5215	5490	5900	सीएल 1
नीएख 2	3 प्लाई जूट	प्रलपाट	वाहकोन	मञ्जूपाट	यणोक्त	9	25	13	22	5215	5490	5900	सीएल 2
गिएफ 1	3 प्लाई जुट	गाइकोभ	वाइकोस	भाइकोम स्रोर फाइसर	नथोक्त	11	24	12	25	6085	6405	6885	सीबीएफ 5
ती यी	3 प्लाई	नाइकोम	नाइकोम	वाइकोम	वणीनत	9	28	14	19	4925	5185	5575	सोबीडक्ट्यू 1
र रह्यू	जूट			(केन्द्र) ऊन (बार्ड र)									-
तीए 1	एजेगीं ए	वादकोम	थ(इकोम	ऐजेंगीए	य थो क्स	10	24	12	15	4345	4575	4929	सीए 1

(र) जनुमत सहाताएं.

बानाः---

किसी भी पटाई में बाने की संख्या निम्मलिखित सहाताओं के प्रधीन रहते हुए वह होगी जो उपरोक्त सारणी मैं वी गयी है।

(1) 76 सें \circ मी \circ से कम चीहाई वाली घटाइयीं के लिए---माइनस 5%

(2) 76 सें० मी॰ भीर इससे अधिक चौड़ाई वाली चटाईयों के लिए---माइनस 10%

बाह्य कंबाई:

चटाइयों की पाइल ऊंचाई---1.5 मि०मि॰ की सहायता के झशीन रहते हुए वह होगी जो उपरोक्त मारणी में दी गमी है।

प्रति वर्ग भीटर चटाई का भार वह होगा जो उपरोक्त सारणी में विया गया है।

भटाई के भार में निम्नलिकिन विशिष्ट सह्यताएं मनुज्ञात की जाएंगी।

(1) 76 Hं भीर उभसे ग्रंधिक चौड़ाई वाली चटाई के लिए—सामक भार के ऊपर प्लम 78 गा--10%

(2) 76 सें॰ मी॰ या इसमें कम चौड़ाई बाली चटाई के लिए मानक भार के ऊपर प्लस 12%

विमाएं

भार:

लम्बाई.

1,30 सें० मी० तक

: ⊣-13 मि०मी०

130 सें०मी० से ऊपर

: + 1% —13 मि॰मी•

व्योहाई :

सभी प्राकार

: ± 13 मि०मी०

अजीर:

बटाई की जंजीरों की संख्या वह होगी जो अपरोक्त सारवी में दी गयी है।

प्लास 1 लाइने प्रति हैसी० मी० की सहायसा धनुजात की आएगी।

मारणी-IV कार्बर पायदान भटाई

(क) साधारण विशेषनाएं

ं पाइल बनाने के लिए कसी हुई अंजीयों के चारों घोर कथर फाइबर के गुक्छों को प्रश्तःस्थापित करके बनाई गयी चटाई।

(ब) सरवनात्मक व्योरे:

		सूत का प्रकार	r									
दवा लिटी	बंधार्द	कसा हुन्ना	बाना	पा दल सं रच ना	जंजीर या सिरे प्रति डेसी०मी० प्रति	श्वाना प्रति हे०सी०भी० स्यूनतम	₹,	इल बाई मी०़	न्यूनतम	मानक	घधिकत् म	क्षालिटी सं ०
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
एकएक 1	एँजेंगो नाइकोम या भलपाट	ऐंजेंगी बाइकोन या झलपाट	ब।इकोम	फाइबर भेजी 1 थ 2	सक्जिविष्ट 1 फा इव र	12	6	82	8115	8540	9180	एक एक 1

1	2	3,	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
एफएफ 2	ए जेंगो बाइको म	एजेगी वाह्मीम	फाईबर श्रेणी	फाईबर श्रेणी	सक्जिबिस्ट फाईबर	14	7	32	8115	85 80	9180	एफएफ 2
एफएफ 1	या ग्रन्तपाट नाइकोम या ऐजेंगी	या अलपट धलपाट मा ऐजेंगों	1 सा 2 बाइकोम	1 या 2 ऊन बार्कर घौर फाइबर	यथो ग त र	14	157	19 (बाईर) अ(म्रान्त ि	6375	6710	7215	एफएफ 1 डब्स्यू
एफएफ 2 यब्स्यू	ऐजेगो या वाइकोम	ऐजेगो भलपाट या बाइकोम	वाइकोम	कथर फाइबर ग्रीर ऊन	: मधोक्त	12	127	35	9850	0370	11150	एकएफ 2 डरूयू

(ग) धनुज्ञात सह्यनाएं --

धानाः

किसी भी चटाई में बाने की संख्या निम्नलिखित सहासाधों के प्रधीन रहते हुए वह होगी जो उपरोक्त सारणी में दी गयी है।

- (1) 76 सें॰ मी॰ से कम चौड़ाई वाली चटाइयों के लिए---माइनस 5%
- (2) 76 सेंज्मी० ग्रीर उससे ग्राधिक चौदाई की चटाइयों के लिए---माइनस 10%

पाइल ऊंचाई चटाई की पाइल ऊंचाई वह होगी जो उपरोक्त सारणी में दी गई है। +1.5 मि०मी० की सहाता भनुवात की जाएगी।

भार:

प्रति वर्ग मीटर घटाई का भार वह होगा जो उपरोक्त सारणी में दिया गया है। चटाइयों के भार में निम्नलिखित विशिष्ट सह्यताएं भ्रमुझात की अत्यंगी।

- (1) 76 सें॰ मी॰ ग्रीर उससे ग्रधिक चौड़ाई वाली चटाई के लिए—मानक भार के ऊपर प्लस $7\frac{1}{2}\%$ या माइनस 10%
- (2) 76 में \circ भी \circ भीर उससे कम चौड़ाई वाली चटाइयों के लिए मानक भार के ऊपर + $12rac{1}{2}\%$

विभाग

लम्बाई 130 सें॰ मी॰ तकः ± 13 मि॰मी॰ 130 सें॰ मी॰से भश्चिक + 1%

चौड़ाई --- 13 मि०मी० सभी प्राकार: --- 13 मि०मी०

जंजीर:

चटाइयों की जजीरों की संख्या वह होगी जो उपरोक्त सारणी में दी गयी है।

+ लड़ी प्रति डेसी मीटर की सह्यता प्रनुज्ञात की जाएगी।

सारणी--- V सिनेट चढाइया

(क) साधारण विशेषकाएं:

3 था अधिक लड़ियों के विलित कयर सूत से बनाई गयी और अटाई का रूप देने के लिए पाण्यस्थ तहो को एक साथ सिला जाएगा।

(ख) संरचनात्मक ब्यौरे :

म्बालिटी सक्योंक	सामग्री सूत की क्वालिटी	स्कोरेज	प्लाई की लड़ियों की	डोरियो मोटाई	***	ग्रा	म/एम 2	क्वालिटी - संदर्भक
	नवारल दा	स्यूनतम	लाड्या का संख्या	माटाइ मि०मी०	म्यूनतम	मानक	ग्रधिक तम	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
एब ए 1	 ऐजेंगो	12	9	19	3475	3660	4025	एबए 1
एबए 2	ऐजेंगो	1 2	11	25	4635	4880	5370	एकए 2
एबए 3	ऐजेंगो	12	19	28	6955	7320	8050	एबए 3
एसए 4	ऐ जेंगो	15	3	10	2900	3050	3355	एबए 4
एसए 5	ऐजेगो	15	9	19	5215	5490	6040	एबए इ
एबमार 1	भ्रा टेरी	1 2	9	29	3190	3355	3690	एवधार 1
एबमार 2	मार्टरी	12	11	25	4345	4575	5035	एक्झॉर 2
एसवी 1	वाहकोस	11	9	19	3475	3660	4025	एसवी 1
एबंबी 2	वाइकोम	11	11	25	4635	4880	5370	एववी 2
एबवी उ	वाइकोम	12	19	28	6375	6710	7380	एववी 3
एवडी 1	म्रष्टमुडी	9	9	25	5795	6100	6710	एवडी 1
एबएल 1	वास्तविक भक्षपाट	11	11	25	7535	7930	8725	एबएल 1

टिप्पण '-- डोरी की भ्रान्तरिक लड़ियों के लिए कथर सूत उचित क्वालिटी का हो सकता है।

विभाएं विभाधों को प्लस या माइनस 13 मि०मी० की सह्यता हो सकता है। 365 GI/8°--3

⁽ग) मनुकान सह्यक्षाः

सारणी—VI-सय चढाईया

(क) साधारण विशेषताएं:

3 जंजीरों का प्रयोग करते हुए बनी हुई चटाई, जिसकी संरचना चटाईयों के समान है किस्तु पाइल कटी हुई नहीं होगी।

(ख) संरचनात्मक व्यौरे :

=वा लिटी	कसी हुई	स्कोरेज	बांघने	स्कोरेज	श्रुप हुई	स्कोरज	बाना	स्कोरेज	सिरे	प्रति	भार/माप	/एम		क्वालिटी
संख्यांक	जंजीर		वाली अंजीर		जंजीर	म्यूनतम		संरचना	डै०मी० म्यूनतम		म्यूनतम	मानक	प्रधिकतम	संख्यांक
एल मार 1	वाइकोम		थाइकोस	г —	म्रार्टरी	13	वाइकोम	लूप चटाईया	9	11	2900	3050	3280	एल मार 1
एल वी 1	वादकोम		वाइकोस	r —	वादकोम	11	वाइकोम	भूप चटाईयां	10	14	3480	3660	3935	एल वी 1
एलए 1	वाइकोम	· —	_		ऐजेंगो		वादकोम	लूप चटाईया	10	12	4635	4380	5245	एल ए 1
एल ए 2	वाहकोम	5	ऐजेंगो	15	ऐजेंगो		वाइकोम	सूप चटाईयां	10	10	2900	3050	3280	एखण 2
एकाए 3	वादकोम	13	वादकोम	13	ऐजेंगो	14	विलेडी	सूप चटाईया	10	10	5215	5490	5900	एसए 3

(ग) अनुजात सहताएं:--

भार:— भटाई का प्रत्येक वर्ग मीटर का भार वह होगा जो उपरोक्ष्य सारणी में विया गया है। भार में मिम्नलिखित विशिष्ट सह्यताएं प्रनृज्ञास की जाएगी।

- (1) 76 सें \circ भी \circ भीर उससे प्रधिक चौड़ाई वाली चटाई के लिए मानक भार के ऊपर प्लस $7\frac{1}{2}\%$ या माइनस 10%
- (2) 76 सें ॰ मी ॰ भीर उससे कम चौंडाई के लिए-मानक भार के ऊपर प्लस 12 रे %

विमाएं: लंबाई 130 वें॰मी॰ तक ± 13 मि॰मी॰

130 सें०मी० से ऊपर $\pm 1\%$

भौगृह :

समी माकार-13 मि०मी०

土 13 मि॰मी॰

चटाई पर अंजीरों की संख्या वह होगी जो उपरोक्त सारणी में दी गयी है प्लस 1 लड़ी प्रति देश्मी० की सबुयता अनुकात की जाएगी।

सारणी VII---जिमनासिया भटाई

(क) साम्रारण विशेषताएं:

उसी विवरण की घटाई जो शलाका चटाईयों के लिए दिया गया है। चटाई की पाइल विशिष्ट मधेक्षाओं को पूरा करने के लिए शलाका पायदान घटाई से मोटी बनेगी।

(ख) संरचनात्मक व्यारे:

- क्वालिटी संख्यांक	ताना	वाना	पाइल सूत	पा इल अचाई	भार	क्वालिटी , संख्यांक		
				31414	न्यूगतम	मानक	प्रधिकतम	(1941)
जीवी 1	वाहकोम सूत 10 सिरे प्रति बेसी मीटर	कसी हुई बुनाई के लिए पर्याप्त वाइकोम घागा इकहरा	वाइकोम सूत अविरंजित भीर भगुअता तथा पिय से मुक्त होगा। 4 टापिंग अर्थात् चार तहीं में एक साथ निकाला गया सूत		11590	12200	13115	जीवी 1
जीवी 1	वाइकोम सूत 10 सिरे प्रति बेसी मीटर	कसी हुई 10 सिरे प्रति डेसी मीटर ुँ	भीज सूत विरंजित या भ्ररविजित । 3 ष्टार्पिग भ्रयातृतीन तहों में एक साथ निकाला गया सूंस ।		10430	1980	11805	जीवी 1

(ग) महजात सहयताएँ :

पाइल ऊंचाई: परिरुपित चटाई की पाइल ऊंचाई 63 मि०मी० होगी। 1+3 मि०मी० की सह्यता धनुकात की जाएगी।

विमाएं: चटाई की विमाएं जेता और विजेता के बीच करार के घनुसार होगी, जब तक कि घन्यया करार भ किया गया हो विमाधों में 🕂 13 मि०मी०

की सह्यता समुज्ञास की जाएगी।

जंजीर: जंजीरों की संख्या वह होगी जो उपरोक्त सारणी में वी गयी है। प्रति देसीमीटर 🕂 1 लड़ी की सह्यंता धनुवात की जाएगी।

सारणी VIII--रस्ती चढाइयां लवसं नाट चढाईयां

(क) साधारण विशेषताएं:

यह चटाई उचित मोटाई वाली कयर से बनी इकहरी रस्सी से बनी होगी, जो समतल सतह पर स्थित कीलों की संख्याद्वारा संचालित की जाएगी। रस्सी के झारम्भ झौर ग्रंतिम दोनों सिरे चटाईयों में समाविष्ट किए जाते हैं।

(भा) संरचनात्मक स्पौरे:

क्यालिटी संख्यांक	रस्सी का प्रकार	रस्सी के लिए सूत	रस्ती का व्यास	भार न्यूनतम मानक प्रधिकतम		स्वालिटी संख्यांक	
		काप्रकरि				प्रधिक तम	
केए 1	रेखाल पर्दा	ऐजेंगो	17 एम एम	5215	5490	6040	केए 1
के मार 1	रेखित पर्वा	म्राटंरी	17 एम एम	4635	4880	5370	के भार 1

(ग) प्रनुतात सहायताएं:

विमाएं :

लम्बाई में प्लस 19 मि०मीटर माइनस 13 मि०मीटर

चौडाई में प्लस या माइनस 13 मि० मीटर

सारणी IX--आलीबार चटाईयां

(क) साधारण विशेषताए:

इम प्रकार की चटाई मोटे प्रकार के सून की फैम पर कीलों की एक संख्या के बीच किस-क्राम ढंग से डालते हुई बनाई जाती है। इसके पश्चात् पतले सूत का प्रयोग करके किस-क्रास सूत आपस में मिलने वाले बिन्युओं को, ज्यादा गांठ बांधने की तकनीक का प्रयोग करते हुए चटाई बनाने के लिए बांधा जाता है।

(ख) संरचनात्मक स्यौरेः

क्वालिटी संख्यांक	कच्ची सामग्री		बांधने का सूत		स्वालिटी			
	सूत की	न्यालिटी	लड़ियों की संख्या प्रति डेसी मीटर स्यूनलम		न्यूनतम	मानक	मधिकतम	संख्यांक
1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8
एन वाई ए 1 एन वाई आर 1	वेपोर वेपोर	10 × 10	-		3475 3475	3660 3660		एन वाई ए 1 वाई भार 1

(ग) धनुज्ञात सहायताएं:

विमाएं :

लम्बाई में प्लस 13 मि०मीटर या माइनस 13 मि०मी०

चौड़ाई में प्लस या माइनस 13 मि०मीटर

सारणी X ---गलियारा चटाईयाँ

(क) साधारण विशेषताएं:

यह ऐसी चटाई है जिसमें सामा और बाना की दोमों लड़ियां लगासार हों। इस चटाई की लाक्षणिक विशेषक्षा यह है कि सिरों, बाने के सिरों में कोई बंधन या चुनट नहीं होती है।

(ख) संरचनात्मक व्यौरेः

नवालिटी संख्याक	तामा		बाना		भार कि	०ग्ना०/मीटर∷	2	– क्वालिटी संख्यांक
	सूत की क्वालिटी	सिरे प्रति डेसी मीटर न्यूनसम	सूत की ववालिटी	गणना स्यूनतम	न्यूनतम	मानक	प्रधिकतम	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
कीए 1	रह्सी सूत	6	ऐंजेंगो	13	4345	4575	5035	की ए 1
की एँ 2	रस्सी सूत	5	एजेंगी	12	3475	3660	4025	की ए 2
की एँ 3	ऐजेंगो-हुहरी लड़ियां 12 स्कोर स्थूनतम	в	ऐंजेंगो	15	3475	3660	4025	भी ए 3
बी ए 4	3 प्लाई बटा हुआ भाटेरी सूत 6 मि०मी० ूँ व्यास	5	एजेंगो 6 मि॰मी॰ व्यास		5212	5490	6040	की ए 4

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
डी भार 1	रस्सी मूत	6	मार्टरी	13	4055	4270	4695	डी म्रार 1
की म्रार 2	रस्सी सूत	5	म्रा <mark>ट</mark> ंरी	12	3185	3355	3690	श्रीभार 2
डी भार 3	षार्टरी-दुहरी लड़िया	6	मार्टरी	15	3185	3355	3690	की भार 3
	12 स्कोर न्यूनतम							
≆ीएल 1	रस्सी सूत	5.	भलपट	12	4055	4270	4695	डी एल 1
डीवी 1	रस्सी सूत	5	नाइकोम	11	2900	3050	3355	की वी 1
डीवी2	रस्सी सूत	3	वाइकोम	11	5505	5795	6375	उदीवी2
शीटी 1	रस्सी सूत	5	टी वाइकोम	_	3475	3660	4025	की दी 1
श्रीक्यू 1	रस्सी	5	क्विलेंडी	8	3765	3965	4360	डी क्यू 1
डीक्यू2	क्लिलेंडी सूत से पूर्णतः	3	विवर्लैंडी		10430	10980	12080	डी क्यू 2
	प्रावृत्त काष्टरीपर							
डीसी 1	लक्काद्वीप रस्सी सं० 2	2	लक्का द्वीप रस्सी	5	5213	5490	6040	की सी 1
			संख्या					
ही बाई 1	बेपोर	5	बेपोर	8	4055	4270	4695	डी बाई 1

(ग) प्रनज्ञात सहायताएं:

विमाएं : विमामों में निम्नलिखित सहायता अनुशात की जाएंगी।

- (i) लम्बाई + 19 मि०मीटर भौर --- 13 मि०मीटर
- (ii) चौड़ाई + 13 मि०मीटर

[स॰ 6(2)/7 ७-नि॰नि॰ तथा नि॰उ॰] स॰ प्रकाश, भ्रवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF COMMERCE ORDER

New Delhi, the 10th July, 1982

S.O. 2480.—Whereas the Central Government, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the Export (Quality Control and Inspection) Act, 1963 (22 of 1963), is of opinion that it is necessary and expedient so to do for the development of the exports trade of India that Coir products shall be subject to quality control and inspection;

And whereas the Central Government has formulated the following proposals for the said purpose and has forwarded the same to the Export Inspection Council as required by sub-rule (2) of rule 11 of the Export (Quality Control and Inspection) Rules, 1964;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the said sub rule, and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Commerce Nos. S.O. 3918 dated the 16th December, 1965 relating to Coir products S.O. 2779, dated 11th August, 1967 relating to additional Items of Coir products, and notification in the erstwhile Ministry of foreign Trade No. S.O. 2594 dated the 29th July, 1970 relating to certain other additional Items of Coir Products excepts as respect things done or omitted to be done, the Central Government hereby publishes the said proposals for the information of the public likely to be affected thereby.

Notice is hereby given that any person desiring to forward any objection or suggestions with respect to the said proposals may forward the same within 45 days of the date of publication of this notification order in the Official Gazette to the Export Inspection Council 3, Saraswati Marg, Municipal Market Building (4th floor), Karol Bagh, New Delhi-110005.

PROPOSALS

- 1. (1) to notify that coir products shall be subject to quality control and inspection prior to export;
 - (2) to specify the type of quality control and inspection in accordance with the draft Export of Coir Products (Inspection) Rules, 1982, set out in Annexure 1 to this order, as the type of quality control and inspection which shall be applied to such coir products prior to export:

(3) to recognise---

- (a) the specification for coir products as set out in Annexure II to this Order as the standard specifications for coir products;
- (b) the samples or specifications approved by the foreign buyer provided such samples or specifications do not fall below the minimum requirements of the recognised standard specifications under items (a) above;
- (c) the specifications which do not fall under item (a) above but formulated by a panel of experts appointed by the Export Inspection Council for the purpose;
- (4) to prohibit the export in the course of international trade of coir products unless the same are accompanied by a certificate issued by any one of the Export Inspection Agencies established under section 7 of the Export (Quality Control and Inspection) Act, 1963 (22 of 1963) to the effect that the coir products conform to the specifications recognised under sub-paragraph (3) and are exportworthy.
- 2. Nothing in this Order shall apply to the export by sea, land or air, of samples of the coir products to prospective buyers.
- 3. In this Order "Coir Products" means the following types of products, namely:—
 - (a) Rod door mats;
 - (b) bit door mats;
 - (c) creel and fibre door mats;
 - (d) corridor mats;
 - (e) sinnet mats;
 - (f) loop mats;
 - (g) gymnasia mats;
 - (h) rope mats;
 - (i) mesh mats; and
 - (j) any other type of coir mats.

ANNEXURE-I

Draft Rules proposed to be made in supersession of Export Coir, products (Inspection) Rule 1965, under section 17 of

the Export (Quality Control and Inspection) Act, 1963 (22 of 1963).

- 1. Short title and commencement.—(1) These rules may be called the Export of Coir Products (Inspection) Rules, 1982.
- (2) They shall come force on the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.
- 2. Definition.—In these rules, unless the context otherwise requires,-
 - (1) "Act" means the Export (Quality Control and Inspection) Act, 1963 (22 of 1963);
 - (ii) "agency" means any one of the Export Inspection Agencies recognised under section 7 of the Act.
 - (iii) "Coir Products" means the following types of products namely :---
 - (a) rod door mats;
 - (b) bit door mats;
 - (c) creel and fibre door mats;
 - (d) corridor mats;
 - (e) sinnet mats;
 - (f) loop mats;
 - (g) gymnosia mats;
 - (h) rope mats;
 - (1) mesh mats; and
 - (j) any other type of coar mats;

3. Basis of inspection:

Inspection of corr products intended for export shall be carried out with a view to seeing that coirproducts conform to the specifications recognised by the Central Government under section 6 of the Act.

- 4. Procedure of inspection:
 - (1) An exporter intending to export coir products shall
 - give intimation in writing of his intention so to do the nearest office of the Export Inspection Agency. (2) Every intimation for this purpose shall be given not less than 72 hours before the expected date of shipment.
 - (3) On receipt of the intimation referred to in subrule (2), the agency shall inspect the consignment of coir products in accordance with the instructions issued by the Export Inspection Council in this behalf from tune to time with a view to a seeing that the same complies with the requirements of the recognised specifications and the exporter shall provided all necessary facilities to the agency to enable it to carry out such inspection;
 - (4) After satisfying itself that the consignment of con products conform to the recognise, specifications, the agency shall, within 3 days of the receipt of the agency shall, within 3 days of the receipt of the intimation and the particulars of the consignment under sub-rule (1) of rule 4, issue a certificate to the exporter declaring that the consignment is in conformity with the recognised specifications and is exportworthy. Provided that where the agency is not so tatisfied, it shall within the said period of 3 days refuse to view certificate and communicate such refusal to the exporter alongwith the reasons therefor. the reasons therefor,
- 5. Place of inspection:—Every inspection under these rules shall be be carried out either—
 - (a) at the premises at which the consignments of coir products are offered by the exporter for inspection provided adequate facilities for the purpose exist therein, or
 - (b) such other place as may be specified by he agency for the purpose.
- 6. Appeal.—Any person aggrieved by the refusal to issue a certificate under rule 4 may, within ten days of receipt of the communication of such refusal, prefer an appeal to

- such appellate panel consisting of not less than three persons as may be constituted by the Central Government for the purpose.
- 7. Inspection fee.—A fee at the rate of Rs. 0.60 for each bundle subject to a minimum of Rs. 6.00 per consignment shall be paid as inspection fee for inspection of coir products.

ANNEXURE II

Specifications for Coir Products:

- 1. General requirements:
- 11 The fibre, yarn and rope used in the manufacture of coir mats shall be of uniform quality, clean and free from impurities and may be bleached or unbleached, of natural colour or dyed. The Coir yarn used shall be of two ply.
- 1.2 The mats shall be firmly and evenly woven. The protruding warp ends shall be suitably secured and properly festened. Pile tufts shall be secured and the cropping shall be of uniform level.
- 1.3 Braids used shall be of uniform construction. When attached along the edges, the braids shall be made of hard-twist yarn and properly stitched to the mat with at least thice stitches per decimeter. In 10d and gymnasia mats, the number of ply of braids shall not be less than five and cleven respectively. The core strands of braid used for sinnet mats shall be of suitable variety and scorage.
- 1.4 The mats may also be stancilled or may have designs of letters woven into them. The in laid or stencilled designs shall be clear and uniform when bevelled.
- 1.5 The mats shall be in shapes and sizes as contracted for.
- 1.6 The cordage when used in gymnasia mats shall consist of coir or hemp rope having a circumference of 38 mm. or 11 ply braid of 25 to 30 mm width, made of hard twisted
- 1.7 The coir cope used in the manufacture of rope mots shall be well twisted and shroud laid consisting of sufficient number of twisted strings in each of the four strands.
- 1.8 In the case of tope mats, proper stitching shall be done to ensure that the layers at the sides do not slip. The two ends of the rope shall be may ged into the body of the mat so that the ends are concealed,
- 1.9 In the case of mesh mats, the knotting with the binding yarn shall be secure, tight and uniform.
- 1.10 The mat shall be free from strains dirt and other extraneous matters.
- 1.11 The mats may have other special finishing as agreed to in the export contract.
 - 2. Specific requirements:
- 2.1 The requirements in respect of chain/pick, pile height, construction and weight for different varities and quality number of mats shall be as set out in Tables I to X below or be in accordance with the specifications formulated by the panel of experts appointed for the purpose.
- 2.2 The number of picks specified for the basic grade of a quality is the minimum for the higher grades.
- 2.3 Permissible tolerances in respect of picks, pile height, weight and dimentions for different varities of mats shall be as set out in Tables I to X below or be in accordance with the specifications formulated by the panel of experts appointed for the purpose.
- 2.4 The quality code numbers shall be assigned in such a way as to denote the variety by the first letter and the type of material specified for the pile by the second and third letters. The pile height/weight shall be denoted in brackets after the quality code number.
- 2.5 Mats with gaps of tuftless portions in the following varities shall be allowed for export.
 - (1) creel mats:
 - (2) rod mats;

(3)	bit	mats	;
(4)	fibr	emats	;

(5) loop mats;

2.6 Higher grades in each quality are delineated with a difference of 6 mm in pile height and a corresponding increase in weight by 1220 gms per square metre for every increases in pile height by 6 mm over the minimum and maximum weights prescribed for the following varities of mats;

- (1) Creel mats,
- (2) rod mats;
- (3) bit mats.
- (4) fibre mats,
- (5) gymnasia mats,
- 2.7 In the case of the following varities of mats higher grades in each quality are delineated with an increase in weight by 915 gms per square metre for every increase over the minimum and maximum weights prescribed,—
 - (1) loop mats;
 - (2) corridor mats,
 - (3) sinnet mats,
 - (4) rope mats,
 - (5) mesh mats,
- 3. Quality Code Numbers .
- 3 1 The first letters in the quality code numbers shall indicate the variety of mats as follows.—

(1)	Creel	; C
(2)	fibre	: F
(3)	rođ	; R
(4)	bit	: В
(5)	gymnasia	· G
(6)	loop	· L

- (7) corridor : D
 (8) sinnet : N
 (9) lovers Knots (tope) : K
 (10) mesh : M
- 3 2 The second and third letters in the quality code numbers shall indicate the variety of yarn used as follows:—

(1) Anjengo	; A
(2) Mangadan	: M
(3) Ashtamudy	: D
(4) Aratory	; R
(5) Alapat	: L
(6) Vycome	V
(7) Thurumpu Vycome	· Т
(8) Beypore	: Y
(9) Quilandy	Q
(10) Beech	В
(11) Roping	· P
(12) Laccadive	: C
(1 ³) Wool	: W
(14) Fibre	ıF.

- 4 Packing
- 4 1 The mats shall be packed as agreed to between the buyer and the seller, care being taken not to damage the mat. The package shall be wrapped with new hessien of minimum 2 178,00 meters (70z a yard).
- 4 2 Each package shall be marked with the following particulars:—
 - (a) Notified quality number and brand name.
 - (b) Size.
 - (c) Number of pieces in the package.
 - (d) Code/Name of the exporter/foreign buyer, if any.
 - (e) Serial number of packages.
 - (f) Gross weight,
 - (g) Shipping Marks

TABLE—I
Rod Door Mats

(a) General Characteristics: In this mat the pile is formed by cutting the topping yarn, 2 or more yarns folded to geather and would with the warp over a grooved iron rod.

(b) Constructions I details .

Quality	Chain	Weft	Pile	Cons- truc-	Chams of	Picks min	Pile height		Weight	<u>-</u> -	Quality - Number
Number				tion			mm	Min.	gm/m Std	2 Max	- Manifoot
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
RB 1	Beach or Vycome	Beach	Beach	2x2	9	9	25	4055	4270	4590	RB 1
RB 2	Beech or Vycome	Beach	Beach	2x1	10	11	25	4635	4880	5245	RB 2
RB3	Beach or Vycome	Beach	Beach	2x2	10	9	28	5215	5490	5900	RB 3
RB 4	Beach or Vycome	Beach	Beach	3x1	10	11	28	5215	5490	5900	RB 4
RB 5	Beach or Vycome	Beach	Beech	4x1	10	11	38	6955	7320	7870	RB 5
RV 1	Vycome	Vycome	Vycome	3x1	10	12	32	6955	7230	7870	RV 1
RV 2	Vycome	Vycome	Vycome	4x2	10	9	32	6955	7320	7870	RV2
RV 3	Vycome	Vycome	Vycome	4 x l	10	10	32	6955	7320	7870	RV 3
RV4	Vycome	Vycome	Vycome	6x1	12	13	35	7535	7930	8525	RV 4
RV 5	Vycome	Vycome	Vycome	4x1	12	10	32	6955	7320	7870	RV5
RT 1	Vycome	T. Vycome Vycome	T Vycome	3 x 1	10	9	32	6955	7320	7870	RT 1
RT 2	Vycome or Beach	T Vycome/ Vycome	T Vycome	3x2	10	8	32	6375	6710		RT 2
RL 1	Vycome	Vycome	Aaplat	4x1	10	10	38	6955	7320	7870	RL 1
RD I	Vycome	Vycome	Ashamusy	3x1	10	10	35	8115	8540	9180	RD I
RR (Vycome or Alapit		Aratory	4x1	10	12	44	9270	9760	10490	RR 1

7	5	ደ	9

भाग	∏—खण्ड	31	(ii)1	
L	TT #	v		

भारत का राजपल प्लाई 10, 1982/प्रापाद 19, 1904

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
ROD Inla	id Mats: The charac	cteristics of re		sare s. m	ers for ro	d mats ex	cept tha	t designs	are wove	n into t	he met u
RBF 1	Beach or Vycome	Beach	Beach & Fibre	2x2	10	10	28	5215	5490	5900	RBF 1
RBF 2	Beach or Vycome	Be. ch	-du-	3x1	11	11	28	5795	6100	6560	RBF 2
RBF 3	Beach or Vycome	Beach	- d o-	3x2	11	11	28	57 95	6100	6560	RBF 3
RVF 1	Vycome	Vycome	Vycome & Fibre	4x1	11	12	32	7535	7930	8525	RVF 1
RTF 1	Vycome	Vycome	T. Vycome & Fibre	2 x 1	12	12	32	6375	6710	7215	RTF 1
RFI	Vycome	Vycome	Aratory & Fibre	4x1	10	12	38	8115	8540	9180	RRF 1
RLF 1	Vycome or Alapat	Vycome	Alapat & Fibre	4x1	10	11	38	8115	8540	9180	RLF 1
RTF 2	Vycome	T. Vycome	T, Vycome & Fibre	3x1	10	10	35	7535	7930	8525	RTF 2

(c) Tolerances permitted:

Picks: The number of picks allowed in any mat shall be as given in the above Table subject to the following tolerances:—

(1) for mats of width of less than 76 cms. - minus 5%;

(2) for mats of width of 76 cms and above—minus 10%.

Pile height:

The pile height of mats shall be as given in the above Table. A tolerance of plus or minus 3 mm in the pile height

shall be permitted.

Weight:

The weight per unitarea shall be as given in the above Table. The following special tolerance on the weight

shall be permitted;-(1) for mats of width of 76 cms, and a bove-plus 7 1/2 % or minus 10 % over the standard weight.

(2) for mats of width of 76 cms. and below-plus 12 1/2% over the standard weight.

Dimensions:

Length upto 130 cm. over 130 cm.

士 13 mm 士 1% 士 13 mm. 士 13 mm

Width Allsized

Chains:

The number of chains of mat shall be as given in the above T. ble,

A tolerance of plus 1 strand per dm, shall be permitted.

TABLE II Bit Door Mats

(a) General Characteristics :

A mat made by insertion of bits of coir yarn around alternate strands of the chain,

(b) Constructional details:

Quality	Ту _І	oe of Yarn		Cons- truction	Chain min.	Picks min.	Pile height	Weight			Quality — Number
Number	Chain	Weft	Pile	Huchon	per dm.	per dm.	mm ;	Mın,	gm/m2 Std.	Me x.	Миност
PLAIN O	R MOTTLED:	·		·					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
BBi	Beach or Vycome	Beach	Beach bits	Inserted bits	9	9	31	6375	6710	7215	BB 1
BV 1	Vycome	Vycome	Vусоте bits	-do-	9	9	35	7535	7930	8525	BV 1
в А 1 і	Vусоте	Vycome	Hard twisted yarn bits	-do-	9	9	35	8115	8540	9180	BA 1

Tolerances permitted: (c)

Picks:

The number of picks allowed in any mat shall be as given in the above table subject to the following tolerunces:

(1) for mats of width of less than 76 cms.—minus 5%;

(b) For mats of width of 76 cms. and above—minus 10%.

Pile height:

The pile height of mats shall be given in the above Table. A tolers nee of plus or minus 3 mm in the pile shall be permitted.

Weight:

The weight per unit area shall be as given in the above Table. The following tolerances on the weight shall be permitted.

(1) for mots of width of 2176 cms, and above—plus 7 1/2% or minus 10% over standard weight;

(2) for mats of width of 76 cms. and below—plus 12 1/2 % over the standard weight.

Dimensions:

Length: upto 130 cm. $\pm 13~\mathrm{mm}$ over 130 cm.

Width: All sized

 $\pm 13~\mathrm{mm}$ ± 13 mm.

Chains:

The number of chains of mats shall be as given in the above Table: A tolerance of plus 1 strand per dm.

shall be permitted.

CABLE III Creel Door Mats

(a) General Characteristics:

A mat made of either two chains, one tightend one shock or three chains, one tight one sleek and one pile. Piles is formed by cutting the pile chain.

(b) Constructional details:

Q ua lity Number		Туре о	f Yurn		Constru- ction	Chain or		Rows			We n/m2	ight	Quality Number
144	Binding chains	Tight Chain	Weft	Pile		ends min. per dm,	per dm.	per dm.	gh	t —	<u> </u>	Std,	Max.
CBI		Beach or	Beach	Beach	We rp cut pile	9	24	12	22	4635	4880	5245	CBI
CB2	2 or 3 ply jute	-do-	Bench	Be~ch	-đo-	9	24	12	25	5795	6100	6580	CB2
CV1		Vycome	Vycome	Vycome	-do-	9	25	12	22	5215	5490	5900	CV1
CV2	2 or 3 ply juto	Vycome	Vycome	Vycome	-do-	9	25	13	22	5215	5490	5900	CV2
CV3	-do-	4 or 5 ply	4 or 5 ply jute	Vycome jute	-do-	14	40	20	19	4925	5185	5575	CV3
CV4	-do-	-do-	3 ply jute	Vycome	-do-	17	52	26	13	4205	4425	4755	CV4
CV5	2 ply jute	Vycome	Vycome	Vycome	-do-	14	40	20	19	4345	4575	4920	CV5
CL1	-do-	Ale po t	Vycome	Alepat	-do-	9	25	12	22	5215	5490	5900	CL1
CL2	3 ply jute	Alap t	Vycome	Alapa t	-do-	9	25	13	22	521 5	5490	5900	CL2
CVF1	3 ply jute	Vycome	Vycome	Vycome and Fibre	-do-	11	24	12	25	6085	6405	6885	CV1
CVW1	3 ply jute	Vycome	Vycome	Vycome (Centre) wool (Border)	-do-	9	28	14	19	4925	5185	5575	CVW1
CA1	Anjengo A	Vycome	Vycome	Ànjengo A	-do-	10	24	12	15	4345	4575	4920	CA1

(c) Tolerances permitted:

Pick9 :

The number of picks allowed in any matshall be as given in the above Table. subject to the following tolerances;

(1) for mats of width of less than 76 cms-minus 5%;

(2) for mats of width of 76 cms and over-minus 10%

Pile Height:

The pile height of the mats shall be as given in the above Table subject to a tolerance of ± 1.5 mm.

Weight:

The weight per sq. metre of mats shall be as given in the above Table. The following special tolerances in the

weight of mats shall be permitted.

(1) for mats of width of 76 cms, and over-plus 7 1/2% or minus 10% over the standard weight;

(2) for mats of width ot 76 cms, and below-plus 12 1/2 % over the standard weight.

Dimensions:

Length: Upto 130 cm Over 130 cm

+ 13 mm + 1 % + 13 mm + 13 mm.

Width: All sized

The number of chains of mot shell bece given in the above Table. A tolerance of plus I strand per dm. shall be

Chains:

permitted.

TABLE IV Fibre Door Mats

General Characteristics:

A mat made by inserting tufts of coir fibre around the tight chains to form the pile.

(b) Constructional details:

Quality		Type of Ya	rn		Construc-	Cha-	Picks Min.	Rows Min.	Pile height	We	eight		Quality Numbe
Num- ber	Binding	Tight	Weft	Pile	,,,,,,,	ends Min, per dm.	per dm.	dm.	mm,	Min.	gm/m2 Std.	Max.	
1			4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
FF 1	Anjengo Vycome or	Anjengo Vycome or	Vycome	Fibre Grade	Inserted Fibre	12	12	6	32	8115	8540	9180	FF t
PF 2	Alapat -do-	Alapi t -do-	Vycome	1 or 2 -do-	-do-	14	14	7	32	8115	8540	9180	FF 2

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
PPW I	Vycome or Anjengo	Alapat or Anjongo	Vycome	Wool Border & Fibro Centre	Inserted Fibre	14	15	7	19 (Border) 28 (Inside)	6375	6710	7215	- — · FPW 1
FFW 2	Anjengo or Vycome	Anjengo Alapat or Vycome	Vycome	Coir Fibre & Wool	-do-	12	12	6	35	9850	10370	11150	FFW 2

(c) Tolerances permitted :

Picks ;

The number of picks allowed in any mats shall be as given in the above Te ble subject to the following tolerances:—

- (1) for mats of width of less than 76 cms.—minus 5%;
- (2) for m ts of width of 76 cms and over-minus 10%;

Weight:

The weight per sq. metre of mats shall be as given in the above Table.

The following special tolerances in the weight of mats shall be permitted:—

- (1) for mats of w'dth of 76 cms and over—plus 7 1/2 % or minus 10 % over the strand weight;
- (2) for mits of width of 76 cms and below-plus 124 % over the standard weight.

Pile Height:

The pile of mats shall be a given in the above Table. A tolerances of 11-3 mm shall be permitted.

Dimensions ;

Length: upto 130 cm

: ± 13 mm

over 130 cm

: ___ 13°mn

Width: All sized

: ± 13 mm.

Ch ins:

The number of chains of mat shall be as given in the above Table.

A tolerance of plus 1 strand per dm. shall be permited.

TABLE V
Sinnet Mats

(a) General Characteristics :

A mat m de of plaited coir yarn of 3 or more strands and the adjacent layers stitched together to form the mat.

(b) Constructional details:

		Mate	ria I	Braic	i	We	eight gm/m2	
Quality Namber	Qu-lity of yarn	Scorage Min,	No. of strands of ply	Thickness mm	Min.	Std.	Max.	Quality Number
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
NA I	Anjen	12	9	19	3475	3 66 0	4025	NA 1
NA 2	Anjengo	12	11	25	4635	4880	5370	N4 2
N4 3	Anjengo	12	19	28	6955	7320	8050	N A 3
NA 4	Anjengo	15	3	10	2900	3050	3355	N A 4
NA 5	Anjengo	15	9	19	5215	5490	6040	NA 5
NR 1	Aratory	12	9	19	3190	3355	3690	NR 1
NR 2	Aratory	12	11	25	4345	4575	5035	N R 2
MV I	Vycome	11	9	19	3475	3660	4025	N V 1
NV 2	V ycome	11	11	25	4635	4880	5370	NV 2
NV 3	Vycome	12	19	28	6375	6710	7380	NV 3
ND I	Ashtamddy	9	9	25	5795	6100	6710	N D 1
NL 1	Real Alapat	11	11	25	7535	79 30	8725	N L 1

Note: Coir yarn for the inner strands of the braid may be of a suitable quality.

Dimensions: The dimensions may have a tolerance of plus or minus 13 mm.

⁽c) Teler, nee permitted:

TABLE VI

Loop Mats

(a) General Ch recteristics:

A mat made using 3 chains; the construction of which is similar mats but with uncut pile.

(b) Constructional details:

~ .	Chain	•		Binding chain	Sco-	Loop chain	Sco- r. ge	Weft	Sco-	Constru- ction	Ends Min.	Rows Min		Weight m/mª		Quality Number
						min.			•	per dm.	p¢ r dm.	Min	Std.	May	τ.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
LRI	Vycome		Vycome	* 1	Aratory	13	Vycome		Loop mats	9	11	2900	3050	3280	LR 1	
LV 1	Vycome		Vycome		Vycome	11	Vycome		Loop mats	10	14	3480	3660	3935	L V 1	
LA 1	Vycome				Anjengo	• •	Vycome	• •	Loop mats	10	12	4635	4880	5245	LA 1	
LA 2	Vycome	15	Anjengo	15	Anjengo	, .	Vycome		Loop mats	10					LA 2	
LA 3	Vycome	13	Vycome	13	Anjengo	14	Quilandy	• •	Loop mats	10	10	5215	5490	590 0	ĽA 3	

(c) Tolerances permitted:

Weight: The weight per sq. mir. of mate hall be as given in the above Table. The following special tolerances in weight shall be permitted:

- (1) for mats of width of 76 cms, and over-plus 7; or minus 10 % over the standard weight;
- (2) for mates of width of 76 cms, and below -plus 12-1/21 over the stands rd weight.

Dimensions:

Length: Upto 130 cm

 $\pm 13 mm$ +1%

Over 130 cm

—13mm

Width: All sized

_13mm.

The number of chains or match. If be as given in the above To ble.

A tolerance of plus 1 strand per dm. sh' ll be permitted.

TABLE VII

Gymnasia Mats

(a) General Characteristics:

A mat of the same de cription as given for rod door mats. The pile of the nut is made thicker than and door mats to meet the specific requirements.

(b) Constructional details :

Quality	W. rp	West	Pile yarn	Pile height	Weight gr	•	
Number				Heißitt	Mia. Std		
GV1	Vycome yarn 10 ends per dm.	Vyeante yarn single sufficient to guarantee tight weave	Vycome yain unbletched and free from impurities and pith 4 toppings that is minimum four	63mm	11590 122	00 131	15 GV1
GB 1	Vycamé yarn 10 ends par dm.	Be ch yarn, single sufficient to guarantee tight weave	fold yarn drawn together. Beach yarn, ble ched or unbleached, 3 toppings or minimum three-fold yarn drawn together	63 r	mm 10420 1	0980 1	1805 GB1

(c) Tolerance permitted:

The pile height of the finished mats shell be 63 mm. A tolerance of ± 3 mm shell be permitted. Pile height: The dimansions of the met shall be as a greed to between the buyer and the seller. Unless specifically expected

Dimensions:

otherwise, a tolerance of ±13mm in the dimension shall be permitted.

The number of chains of mat shall be: s given in the above Table; Chains: A tolerance of plus I strand per dm. shall be permitted.

TABLE VIII

Rope Mats (Lovers Knot Mats)

(a) General Char eteristics:

This mat is mode with a single coir rope of sutic ble thickness, guided through a number of upright nails fixed on a flat surface. Both, starting and finishing ends of the rope are merged into the mat.

(b) Constructional details:

Type of Rope	Type of yarn for cope	Diameter of Rope		Wéight gr	m/mª	Quality Number
		or K ope	Min.	Std.	Max.	- Number
Shroud laid	Anjengo	17 mm	5215 4635	5490 4880	6040 5370	KA 1 KR 1
	Shroud Isid	Shroud taid Anjengo	of Rope	of Rope Min. Shroud laid Anjengo 17 mm 5215	Of Rope Min. Std. Shroud laid Anjengo 17 mm 5215 5490	of Rope Min. Std. Max. Shroud laid Anjengo 17 mm 5215 5490 6040

(c) Tolerances permitted:

Dimensions:

Plus 19 mm minus 13 mm in length.

Plus or minus 13 mm in width.

TABLE IX
Mesh Mats

(a) General Characteristics :

This type of mat is usually made by laying a comparatively thicker variety of yarn in Cries-Cross moner between a number of nails on a frame. Thereafter, using a thinner yarn, the intersecting points of cries-cross yarns are bound by applying simple knotting technique to form the met.

(b) Constructional details:

	Ray	w Material	- Binding yarn	We	Quality		
Q 13 lity Number	Quality of y rn	No. of strands minimum per dm.	- բուսանական չույս	Min.	Std.	Me π.	Number
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
NYA 1 MYR 1	Веуроге Веуроге	10×10 10×10	Anjengo Acatory	3475 3475	3660 3660	4025 4025	NYA! MYR!

(c) Tolerances permitted:

Dimensions:

Plus 19 mm or minus 13 mm in length

Plus or minus 13mm in width.

TABLE X Corridor Mats

(a) General Char eteristics :

A mat in which both the warp and weft strands are conintuous. The characteristic feature of this mat is that there is no tucking in or binding of the warp ends.

(b) Constructional details:

Quality	Warp			Weft	, W	Quality		
Number	Quality of yarn	Ends per dm. min.	Qu lity of yar	n Scotage min.	Min.	Std.	М х.	- Number
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
DA 1	Rope Y. rn	6	Anjengo	13	4~45	4575	50353	DA I
DA 2	Rope Y. rn	5	Anjengo	12	3475	366 0	4025	DA 2
DA 3	Anjengo-Double strands 12 Score minimum	6	Anjengo	15	3475	3 66 0	4025	DA 3
DA 4	3 ply twisted Aratory yarn yarn 6 mm, dia	5	Anjengo	6mm dia	5215	5490	6040	DA 4
DR 1	Rope yarn	6	Ar. tery	13	4055	4270	4695	DR 1
DR 2	Rope y,rn	5	Arn tory	12	3185	33 55	3690	DR 2
DR 3	Aratory-Double strands 12 Score minimum	6	Ar. tciy	15	3185	3355	3690	DR 3

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
DL 1	Rope Yern	5	Alapat	12	4055	4270	4695	DL 1
DV 1	Rope yarn	5	Vycome	11	2900	3050	3355	DV 1
DV 2	Rope yarn	3	Veycome	11	5505	5795	6375	DV 2
DT 1	Rope y. rn	5	T. Vycome		3475	3660	4025	DT 1
DQ 1	Rope	5	Quilandy	8	3765	3965	4360	DQ 1
DQ 2	Wooden reapers fully covered with quilendy yern.	3	Quilandy	• •	10430	10980	12080	DQ 2
DC 1	Laccadive Rope No. 2	5	Laccadive Rope No. 2	5	5125	5490	6040	DC 1
DY 1	Веуроге	5	Beypore	8	4055	4270	4695	DY 1

(c) Tolerance permitted:

Dimensions: The following tolers nees on the dimensions shall be permitted:-

- (i) Length+19mm and -13mm
- (ii) Width±13mm.

[F. No. 6(2)/76-B1&EP] S. PRAKASH, Under Secy.

कर्जा मंत्रासय (कोमता विनाग)

नई विस्सी, 19 जून, 1982

का॰ आ॰ 2481.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, को यह प्रचीत होता है कि इससे उपाबद अनुसूत्री में उल्विखा भूमि में करावा प्राप्त किए काले की संभावता है

मतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, कोयला धारक क्षेत्र (प्रजैन घौर विकास) प्रशिवियम, 1957 (1957 का 20) की धारा 4 को उपधारा (1) हारो प्रक्त चिक्तमों का प्रयोग करते हुए, कोयले का पूर्वेक्षण करते के लिए भएने आग्रय की सुचना वैती है ;

इस प्रधिसूजना के प्रधीन प्राने पाने क्षेत्र के रेखाफ की निरीक्षण कलक्टर, बर्वधान (पिछिपी बंगाल) के कार्यालय में या कायता निर्यक्रक, 1, कांजिसिल हाउस स्ट्रीट, कलकत्ता के कार्यालय में प्रभवा निर्वेशक निगमित योजना भीर परियोजना मारत कोकिंग कील लिनिष्टड, कीयला भवन खाकबर सराय देला, जिला धनवाद (बिहार) के कार्यालय में किया जा सकता है।

इस प्रधिसूचना के प्रधीन प्राने वाला भूमि में हिनवड़ सभी व्यक्ति, एक प्रधिनियम की धारा 13 को उपधारा (7) में निर्दिष्ट सभी भवशों, बाटों ग्रीर ग्रम्य दस्तावजों को, इस प्रधिसूचना के राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की सारीख से 90 दिन के भीतर निवेशक (अो) पश्चिम, भारत कोकिंग कोल सिमिटेड, धनबाद को भेजेंगें।

मन् सूची

क्तांत सं० 1 घीर 2 विस्तारण

कम मीजा थाता सं∘ (ग्राम) स॰	पाना	त्रिता	क्षेत्र (एकड़में) टिप्पणि				
1. इंदकाटा 3 ह	ल्टी	बर्दकाल	287 एकड्	पूर्ण			
2. साथनपुर 27	1)	1)	ษ3 "	भाग			
नाकराजुरिया							
26			14 "	भाग			
		कुल क्षेत्र	394 एसाइ 160 हैपटर				

सीमा वर्णन :

रेखा मौजा इंदकाटा के परिसाद के साब-साथ जाती है ब्रौट बिन्दु 'व'' पर मिलती है।

4-4-6-9-1-3

रेका भागत मौजा नाकराजुरिया,
मोजा सालम पुर के साब-साव
आती है भौर बिंदु ''का'' पर
मिलती है।

[स॰ 19/31/82-सी॰ एल॰] स्वर्णे सिंह, अश्ररसविश

MINISTRY OF ENERGY (Department of Coal)

New Delhi, the 19th June, 1982

S. O. 2481.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that coal is likely to be obtained from the lands mentioned in the Schedule hereto annexed:

Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (1) of section 4 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957), the Central Government hereby gives notice of its intention to prospect for coal therein.

The plan of the area covered by this notifiction can be inspected in the office of the Collector, Burdwan (West Bengal) or in the office of the Coal Controller, 1, Council House Street, Calcutta, or in the Office of the Director (Corporate Planning and Projects), Bharat Coking Coal Limited Koyla Bhavan, Post Office-Saraidhella, Distt. Dhanbad (Bihar).

All persons interested in the lands covered by this notification shall deliver all maps, charts and other documents referred to in sub-section (7) of section 13 of the said Act to the Director (O) West, Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Dhanbad within ninety days from the date of the publication of this notification in the al Gazette.

SCHEDULE Block No 1 & 2 Extension.

S Mouza No (Village)	-	Stn. (Thana)	District	Area in Acres.	Re- marks
1. Indkata	2	Kulti	Burdwan	287 Acres	Full
2. Salanpur	27	11	1,	93 ,,	Part
3. Nakra-	26	,,	1,	14 ,,	Part
juria					

Total Area --394 Acres (Approx). - -160 Hectares (Approx).

BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION:

A—B—C D Line passes along the perimeter of Mouza Indkata and meets at point 'D'.

E-F-G-H-I-J- Line passes along part of Mouza Nakrajuria, Mouza Salanpur and meets at Point 'J'.

[No. 19/31/82-CL] SWARAN SINGH, Under Secy.

भौबह्न और परिवहन मंत्रालय

(परिवहम पक्ष)

नई दिल्ली, 26 जून, 1983

का० ग्रा० 2482 --- केन्द्रीय सरकार, बाक्ष कर्मकार (नियाजन का विनियमन) प्रिप्तिनियम, 1948 (1948 वा 9) की धारा 5फ की उपधारा (3) और (4) द्वारा प्रदक्ष शिक्तयों का प्रयाग करते हुए, निम्नितिखित व्यक्तियों को, उक्त प्रिप्तियम की धारा 5क की उपधारा (1) के खंधीम रथापित विशाखापटनम डाक लेकर बीर्ड में मदस्यों के इस में नियमक करनी है प्रयान ---

केन्द्रीय सरकार का प्रतिनिधिन्त्र करने वाने सबस्य

- (1) प्रध्यक्ष, विज्ञान्द्रापटनम पत्तन न्यास, विज्ञान्द्रापटनम ।
- (2) उपाध्यक्ष, विशास्त्रापटनम डाक सेवर वार्ड, विशाखापटनम
- (3) संयुक्त प्रबंधक (प्लन प्रचालन), भारतीय खाद्य निगम विशाखापटनम
- (4) डाक प्रवधक, विशाखापटनम पत्तन न्यास, िराह्मापटनम डाक कमकारो का प्रतिविधिकरने वाले सवस्य
 - (1) श्री एम० बी० भद्रम 🔵 विकाखापटनम हारधर एड
 - (2) श्री एस०पी० परशुराम 🗲 धर्मर्स युनियन के प्रतिनिधि
 - (3) श्री जै॰ रामनायह
 - (4) श्रीपी एम नाधकु पोर्ट खलासी यूनियम विषयापटनम का प्रतिनिधि ।

जाक कर्मकारों भीर नीयसून कपरियों के नियोजकों का प्रतिनिधिय करने वाले सवस्य

- (1) भी के गार्गी रेडिड े एमोसियेशन विणाखापटनम क प्रति-(2) भी डी० बनर्जी ∫ निधि
- (4) श्री कार सी० बनर्जा समुद्र पार नीबहन के हिना का प्रतिनिधि
- 2. नेन्द्रीय सरकार, श्री टीं० झार० प्रसाद प्रध्यक्ष, १५शाखापटनम पत्तन स्थास विशाखापटनमं को उक्त बाइ क अध्यक्ष का रूप. स नाप-ि। एक्ट गरती है।

[फा०स० लि०**डो**०बी०/७/४**2-ग्०एस० एण] नामान सत्यू अत्रण सर्वि**ग

मियेगन का प्रतिनिधिन्त

MINISTRY OF SHIPPING AND TRANSPORT (Transport Wing)

New Delhi, the 26th June, 1987

S. O.2482—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsections (3) and (4) of section 5A of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948 (9 of 1948), the Central Government hereby appoints the following persons as members of the Visakhapatnam Dock Labour Board established under subsection (1) of the said section 5A, namely:—

Members representing the Central Government:

- (1) The Chairman, Visakhapatnam Port Trust, Visakhapatnam.
- The Deputy Chairman, Visakhapatnam Dock Labour, Board, Visakhapatnam.
- The Joint Manager (Port Operations), Food Corporation of India, Visakhapatnam.
- (4) The Docks Manager, Visakhapatnam Port Trust, Visakhapatnam.

Members representing the Dock Workers:-

- (1) Shri M.V. Bhadram \ Representatives of the Visakha-
- (2) Shri S. Parasuram }
 (3) Shri J. Ramunaidu }

patnam Harbour and Port Workers' Union, Visakhapatnam.

(4) Shii P M Naidu Representative of the Port Khalasis Union, Visakhapatnam.

Members representing the Emloyers of Dock Workers and Shipping Companies:—

- (3) Shri G Pattabhi Representative of the Indian National Shipowners' Association
- (4) Shri R.C. Banerjee Representative of the Overseas Shipping Interests.
- 2. The Central Government hereby nominates Shri T.R. Praşad, Chairman Vişakhapatnam Port Trust, Vişakhapatnam aş the Chairman of the said Boaid.

[File No. LDV/6/82-US(L)] THOMAS MATHEW, Under Secy.

विल्ली विकास प्राधिकरण

सार्वजनिक सुचना

नई विल्ली, 10 जुमाई, 1982

का. आ. 2483 .— केन्द्रीय भरकार दिल्ली मृख्य योजना में निम्निलिखित संशोधन करने का विकार कर रही हैं, एतद्द्वारा जिन्हों सार्वजिनिक सूचना हेत् प्रक्रांकिट किया जाता है । इन प्रस्तावित संशोधनों के सबस में जिस किमी व्यक्ति को कोई आपत्ति या मुकाव देना हा तो वे अपने अपनित या मुकाव देना हा तो वे अपने अपनित या मुकाव इस सूचना के 30 दिन के भीतर सच्चित्र, जिल्ली के पाम लिखित कप मो भेज दे । जो व्यक्ति अपनी आपत्ति या मुकाव दे, वे अपना नाम एवं पूरा पता लिखें।

संघोधन

(1) ''लगभग 21 043 हैक्ट., (52 एकड) भामि, जो नरेला टाऊन के समीप मिथन है और अम्माला जाने वाली रेलवे लाईन के पिश्वम में पड़ती है, का भूमि उपयोग ''कृषि हरित पट्टी' से बदल कर ''व्यवसायिक (गोवाम एवं भण्डारन डिपो)'' किया जाना प्रस्तावित है ।''

- (2) ''लगभग 21.043 हैक्ट. (52 एकड़) भूमि, जो धंवरा गांव के समीप स्थित है और रोहतक जाने बाली रेलवे लाईन के उत्तर में पड़िंगी है, का भूमि उपयोग ''कृषि हरित पट्टी'' से बदलकर ''क्यव-मायिक (गोदाम एवं भण्डारन डिपो)'' किया जाना प्रस्ताणित है।''
- 2. उक्त अविध के दौरान शनिवार को छोड़कर और सभी कार्यशील दिनो में दि. वि. प्रा. के कार्यालय, विकास मीनार, इन्द्रप्रस्थ इस्टेट, नई दिल्ली में प्रस्तावित संशोधनों के मानचित्र निरीक्षण के लिए उपलब्ध होगे।

[संख्या एफ . 20(37)/80-एम . पी] नाथूराम , सचित्र , दिल्ली [बिकास प्राधिकरण

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY PUBLIC NOTICE

New Delhi, the 10th July, 1982

S.O. 2483—The following modifications which the Central Government proposes to make to the Master Plan for Delhi are hereby published for public information. Any person having any objection or suggestion with respect to the proposed modification; may send the objection or suggestion in writing to the Secretary, Delhi Development Authority, Vikas Minar, Indraprastha Estate, New Delhi, within a period of thirty days from the date of this notice. The person making the objection or suggestion should also give his name and address:

MODIFICATION:

- (i) "The land use of an area measuring about 21.043 heets. (52 acres) located near Narela Town and situated on the West of Railway lines to Ambala is proposed to be changed from 'Agricultural Oreen Belt' to 'Commercial' (Warehousing and storage depots)'."
- (ii) "The land use of an area measuring about 21.043 hects. (52 acres) located near Village Ghevra and situated on the North of Ruilway I has to Rohtak is proposed to be changed from 'Agricultural Green Belt to 'Commercial (Warehousing and storage depots)'."
- 2. The plans indicating the proposed modifications will be available for inspection at the office of the Authority, Vikas Minar, Indraprastha Eastate, New Delhi, on all working days except Saturday, within the period referred to above.

[No. F. 20(37)/80-MP]

NATHURAM, Secy.

Delhi Development Authority

MINISTRY OF LABOUR

New Delhi, the 21st June, 1982

S.C. 2484.—In pulsuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 1, Bombay in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Bombay Port Trust, Bombay and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 14th June, 1982.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1 AT BOMBAY

Reference No. CGIT-19 of 1981

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Bombay Fort Trust
AND
Their Workmen

APPEARANCES:

For the Employers—Mr. R. K. Shetty, Legal Adviser For the B.P.T. General,—Mr. P. V. Datar, Secretary Works' Union

INDUSTRY: Ports & Docks

STATE: Maharashtra

Bombay, dated the 29th day of May, 1982

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour by order No. L-31012/8/81-D. IV(A) dated 26th October, 1981, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, have reterred to this Tribunal for adjudication an industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Bombay Port Trust, Bombay, and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the schedule mentioned below:—

SCHEDULE

"Whether the management of Bombay Port Trust, Bombay are justified in deducting a sum of Rs, 766.72 from the wages for the month of October and November, 1977 of Shri Shirodkar, Motor Mechanic, applying the theory of "no work, no Pay"? If not, to what relief is the concerned workman entitled?"

2. The facts giving rise to this Reference are briefly these. The workman Shinodkal a Motor Mechanic was working on a Crane known as 'Orton Rail Crane'. Some diesel Orton Cranes were operating in the docks for cargo handling in the old days. This particular crane, it was found was not suitable for cargo handling operations in the docks; it was sent to the Bombay Port Trust Workshops in 1966 where it was used occassionally in connection with repairs to barges, etc. In 1972, during repairs to barges on Workshop Slipways, an urgent need was felt for the use of the orton clane. The present workman Shirodkar, a Motor Mechanic, was asked to operate this clane whenever required According to the employer this crane whenever required. According to the employer—Bombay Port Trust (for shot B.P.T.) since the crane was operated only intermitently, no separate category of Crane Operator for this crane had been provided in the B.P.T. Workshops. The workman Shirodkar was required not only to operate this crane but also to attend to the maintenance of the crane also. The workman Shirodkar was put incharge of operating crane and also to maintain it was given a fixed overtime allowance at the rate of 4 hours per day. The workman was operating and maintaining the crane from 1972 to 12th October 1977. He was getting overtime about 100 hours per month, while other Motor Mechanics of the same workshop were getting overtime about 50 hours per month on an average. It appears that this led to a complaint from another Union called the Bombay Port Trust Employees' Union. It alleged that exhorbitant overtime was allowed to the workman Shirodkar. The management decided therefore to train a few Drivers (Steam Roller) for operating the diesel orton crane and post one of them on the this crane. It appears that on 12th October 1977 the management issued an order to Shirodkar informing him that he should stop operating the orton crane informing him that he should stop operating the outon crane and report bank for work in the Motorshop. This order was issued by the Superintending Engineer, Shri Apte, On 14th October, 1977, the Foreman, Motorshop asked Shirodkar to carry out certain repairs to this orton crane. According to the management, Shirodkar refused to do the work because he was stoped from operating crane. According to the management it was the duty of Shirodkar as a Motor Mechanic to do repaids and maintenance work on any crane, including the orton crane. Shirodkar persisted in his refusal to do any repairs work on the orton crane till 30th November, 1977, From 1st December, 1977, he agreed to do repairs/maintenance work on the orton crane. he agreed to do repairs/maintenance work on the orton crane. As Shirodia, did not obey the order of carrying out repairs to the orton crane his pay and allowance for 12 days in the month of October 1977 and 22 days in the month of November 1977 were deducted. The total amount deducted was Rs.

766.72 and that amount represented wages for the working-days during the period excluding Sundays, paid holidays and the days of carned leave. The actual deduction was made from the pay bills for the month of December 1977 and January 1978.

3. The dispute was raised by the B.P.T. General Workers' Union (hereinafter referred to as the "Union"). That dispute was admitted in coscillation. No settlement was arrived at and the concillation proceedings ended in failure. The parties have filed the statement of claim and written statement respectively. The Union stated in the statement of claim that the employer viz. the B.P.T. has formulated terms and conditions of services which are known as "Bombay Port Trust Rules and Regulations for non-Scheduled Staff". These Rules and Regulations provide methods for taking disciplinary action for a mistake or a misconduct by an employee. Rule 22(1) deals with the cases for 'major offences'. No disciplinary action, according to the Union, including deduction of wages for any minor or major offences can be imposed of any employee by the employer without observing the requirements of the Rules. If any employee of the employer disobeys any lawful and reasonable order, action can only be taken against him under the provisions of Rule 22(1) or 22(2). It is not open to the employer to deny payment of wages under the so-called theory of 'no work' no pay'. The Union contended that it is not part of the normal duties of the Motor Mechanic to operate any crane. The workman Shirodkar was asked to operate the crane in 1972, though such work was not part of his duties as a Motor Mechanic. He was not given a higher pay scale of pay, but he used to be paid 4 hours overtime wages per day. It was stated in the statement of claim that during the period from 1972 to 1977 Shirodkar not only operated the crane but laso attended to all the maintenance work of the crane. In consideration of the work rendered by him the administration used to pay him overtime wages. Another Union 1aised objection and because of political pressure brought by the other Union Shirodkar was shifted from the crane back to the Motorshop.

4. It was, further, stated in the statement of claim that the employee who was posted to operate the crane on account of the pressure brought by the other Union, reported that the crane was not in working condition. Shirodkar was, thereafter again sent to the said crane. After proper and through inspection it was found by Shirodkar that there was nothing wrong in the mechanism of the crane. It was, further, stated:

"But the new man could not operate the Crane and Shri Shirodkar was asked to go and operate—the Crane. It was pointed out by Shri Shirodkar that there could not be two persons for operating one crane and his presence there for operating was not necessary. Shri Shirodkar had acted in good faith and in the interest of the employer. But, unfortunately because the employer wanted that the Crune should be operated by Shri Shirodkar and the other person should be paid the overtime therefore, pressure was brought in Shri Shirodkar to attend to the operation of the Crane. Shri Shirodkar expressed his willingness to operate the Crane as before provided the other employee was shifted elsewhere. The intention of Shri Shirodkar in making this suggestion was to avoid any conflict and paying two persons for the same job. Unfortunately, the employers because of the pressure continued to insist that Shri Shirodkar should be on the Crane."

It was submitted by the Union that Shirodkar had not failed to attend to any work, During the period under reference he had been marked present and on the basis of being present on duty he had been paid his wages for months of October and November. 'No work, no pay' theory was not only uncalled for, but totally illegal. The Union, therefore, prayed that the employer should be drected to pay back the amount which was deducted from the pay bills of December 1977 and January 1978.

5. The employer B.P.T. in its vritten statement filed on 15-4-1982 stated that since Shirodkar was operating and maintaining the crane he was allowed to put in overtime almost regularly. There was, however, no agreement to pay him 4 hours overtime per day. Shirodkar managed to get overtime of about 100 hours per month on an average somehow of the other. This led to a complaint from the B.P.T. Employees' Union on behalf of the other Motor Mechanics against the "exhorbitant" overtime allowed to Shirodkar. With a view to remove the cause of grievance of the other Motor Mechanics, it was decided to train a few Drivers for operating the orton

crane and post one of them thereon. It was denied that the new person posted on the crane failed in hi, assignment as alleged by the Union. This crane went out of order often. The employer further stated in their written statement that on 14th October, 1977, the Foreman, Motorshop, asked Shirodkar to carry out certain repairs to the orton crane. Shirodkar, however, refused to do the work because he was not posted on that crane for operating it, as in the past, rie was told by the Foreman, Motorshop that it was his duty as a Motar Mechanic to do repairs/maintenance on any crane, including orton crane. Still Shirodkar refused to do it, saying that he was prepared to do repairs/maintenance work of any vehicle, vessel or plant except the orton crane of the Workshops, He persisted in his refusal to do any repairs work on the orton crane till 30th November, 1977. From 1st December, 1977, he agreed to do repairs/maintenance work on the orton crane too.

- 6. The employers-B.P.T. farther stated that disciplinary action could have been taken against Shirodkar after fellowing the prescribed procedure of issuing chargesheet and holding a departmental enquiry. It did not, however, absolve the employee of his liability to loss of wages for the period of non-performance of work on the principle of 'no work no pay'. No show-cause notice before making any deduction from wages was necessary, as non-payment of wages for the period of non-performance of work was an implied condition of the contract of employment. It was added that the rules relied upon by the Union out of the Rules and Regulations for non-Scheduled Staff were no longer in force as they have been repealed by B.P.T. Employees' Regulations, 1976, with effect from 8-5-1976. It was also pointed out that the provisions of Rule 22 of the Rules and Regulations for non-Scheduled Staff and also the provisions of B.P.T. Employees' Regulations, 1976 were not applicable to a case of recovery/adjustment of wages of an employee for his refusal/failure to do his work as such recovery/adjustment was not a penalty. Though an action could have been taken against the workman under Rule 22(2)(2) of the Rules and Regulations for Non-Scheduled Staff, in the present case they did not choose to institute departmental proceedings. The workman by refusing to carry out the repair to the orton crane failed to perform his contract of employment and earn the wages therefrom. It was contended that if an employee disobeys any lawful or reasonable order, he incurs two liabilities, (i) disciplinary action and (ii) loss of wages. These two cabilities are not alternative but supplementary and complementary to each other. The employer had a right to enforce either or both of them, it was the normal duty of the workman Shirod-kar to repair the orton crane. A reference was made in the written statement to a letter dated 4th October 1978, from the Union and it was stated that the Union had admitted in that letter that Shirodkar was not willing to do work on the said crane. The contention of the Union that Shirodkar the crane was denied. It was asked to operate asked to stated that he stated that ne was only asked to carry out the necessary repairs to the crane and not to operate it. Although Shirodkar was marked present, he should be deemed to have been absent in view of his refusal to do the work assigned to him. Having regard to the terms of explanation below Section 9 of the Payment of Wages Act, 1936, it was, submitted that the deductions made from the wages of this of the payment interfied. Shirodkar were justfied.
- 7. In addition to the documentary evidence adduced by the parties, the employer examined Mr. Satya Bhushan Siri Chand Jolly, Superintendent, Motorshop. The Union examined the workman Shirodkar and one Pattic Dias, who was working in B.P.T. first as Chargeman of the Boiler-shop from 1972 and as Loadingman of the Boiler-shop for one year from 1976. He retired from B.P.T, service in July 1977. It appears that he is an active member of the Union.
- 8. It is not disputed that the workman Shirodkar was working on this orton crane from 1972. He was operating the crane and also he was maintaining it. He was attending to all maintenance work of the crane. This is admitted in the statement of claim. Witness No. 2 for the workman Mr. Patric Dias also admitted in his cross-examination that prior to October 1977 Shirodkar was carrying on all types of repairs on the orton crane. He admitted hat Shirodkar was attending to the repairs of engine. He was, however, not attending to repairs in connection with the electrical equipment. It appears from the deposition of Mr. Dias that sometime before 1972 there was a talk of scrapping this

orton crane. Mt. Dias then suggested to the Chief Mechanical Engineer that Shirodkar, who was an ex-serviceman and an experienced man should be put in-charge of the crane as he was able to operate and maintain it. Mr. Dias says that he had suggested to the management some higher scale of pay to be given to Shirodkar. His suggestion for higher scale of pay was, however, not accepted. But, the management said that 4 hours overtime could be given to Shirodkar as he was dising both street of paying consistent and maintenance. doing both types of work viz, operation and maintenance. Shirodkar, as stated in the written statement of the employer-B.P.T., used to get overtime about 100 hours per month on an average. The other Motor Mechanics of the same Workshop were getting overtime of about 50 hours per month on an average. This led to a complaint from the rival Union and it appears that ultimately on 12-10-19// the management issued an order that Shirodkar would stop operating the otton ctane and report back for work to the Motorshop. However, on 14th October, 1977, the Foreman, of the Motorshop asked Shirodkar to carry out certain repairs to the orton crane. According to the management, Shinod-kar was not asked to go and operate the orton crane. He was only asked to carry out certain repairs. Even though the Union in its statement of claim pleaded that Shirodkar was asked to go and operate the crane Shirodkar did not state in his deposition that he was asked to operate the crane, He stated in his examination-in-thief that on 14-10-1977 Mr. Jolly had asked him to repair the orton crane. He added that he did not do the work as there was no any work of a Mechanic to be done. According to the workman, the work that was involved was of Roller Department and that was not his work.

- 9. Now, Mr. Jolly in his affidavit has listed the duties of a Motor Mechanic. Shirodkar was a Motor Mechanic, The duties listed by him are '—
 - "Outdoor: To maintain, repair and overhaul I C. Engine vessels, vehicles, compressors, welding plants, automotive plants and machinery etc. to use precision measuring instruments, tools and allied equipments for testing/overhauling of I.C. Engine."
- Mr. Jully added in his affidavit that the aforesaid duties of a Motor Mechanic include the repairs and maintennee of diesel crane. When Mr. Jolly was asked in his cross-examination by this Tribunal, under what item of the out-door duties of the Motor Mechanic the work of repairs of orton crane would fall, he stated that the orton crane could be described as a machinery. On behalf of the Union an extract from B.P.T. from 'C' has been produced. At serial No. 104 the duties of a Driver Mechanic (Mobile Crane and Food Grain) are mentioned. These duties are:—
 - "To carry out maintenance and overhauls of all mobile vehicles, tractors, forklifts and the knowledge to drive them. To operate all tools concerned with the repairs and maintenance of vehicles. Also to operate, maintain, and overhaul food grain discharging machines when working in Food Grains Sections."
- 10. Now, it would appear from the deposition of Mr. Dias that after 1968 this orton crane was transferred to Bollershop of the BPT. Workshop. It appears from the deposition of Shirodkar that before 1972 Mobile Crane Section attended to the operations, maintenance and repairs of the orton crane. However, as stated in the statement of claim and as stated by Mr. Dias in his deposition, after 1972 Shirodkar started operating and maintaining it. Mr. Jolly stated that Driver Mechanic is not a category working or attached to BPT. Motorshop According to him, the Motor Mechanic and Fitters are supposed to carry out the maintenance work and repairs to the orton crane. Now, according to the evidence of Mr. Dias, after 1968 this orton crane was transferred to the Boilershop of the B.P.T. workshop. The workman Shirodkar was stooped from operating the orton crane from 12th October. 1977, and was asked to report back for the work to the Motorshop. It appears from the evidence of Mr. Dias that before Shirodkar was put in-charge of operation and traintenance of this orton crane in 1972 Mobile Crane Section was attending to the repairs on the orton crane. How ver, from 1972 Shirodkar was attending to both the work of operation and repairs. In fact Mr. Dias stated

"Prior to October 1977 Shirodkar was carrying on all types of repairs on orton crane."

As pointed out above Mr. Jolly in his deposition stated that carrying out repairs to the orton crane was a normal duty of Shirodkar. Shirodkar was working in the B.P.T. Motorshop. Mr. Jolly stated that Driver Mechanic is not a category working or attached to the B.P.T. workshop. It is clear from the deposition of Mr. Dias and also the admission of the Union in the statement of claim that Driver Mechanic was not attending to the repairs and maintenance after Shirodkar was put in-charge of this crane in 1972. Admittedly, Mr. Jolly was a superior Officer of Shirodkar. Even though Shirodkar was removed from the job of operating the orton crane from 12th October, 1977, he should not have refused to carry out the repairs to the crane if he was so ordered by his superiors.

- 11. It appears that because Shirodkar was deprived of 4 hours overtime work per day on an average he was aggrieved. He, therefore, refused to carry out the repairs to the crane. Mr. Jolly stated that Shirodkar was asked to carry out the repairs to the orton crane along with the Fitters and to put it in commission. On the material carrecord, it can be said that to carry out repairs to the orton crane was one of the duties of a Motor Mechanic. Shirodkar was a Motor Mechanic. Even if it is assumed that the was not a normal duty of a Motor Mechanic Shirodkar should not have refused to carry out the repairs to the orton crane when he was ordered to do so by his superiors. Shirodkar wanted to say that there was some trouble in the gear-box. This according to him was not the work of a Mechanic. The work that was involved was of Roller Department. However, it is amply clear from the material on record that Shirodkar was attending to all sort of repairs and maintenance of this crane after 1972. In fact, he attended to the repairs from 1-12-1977. When he saw that his superiors were not giving him any work and he ran the risk of some proceedings being started against him he agreed to carry out repairs/maintenance on the orton crane from 1-2-1977. It, therefore, appears that the work of repairs which was involved was not such as could not be done by Shridokar. Refusal of Shirodkar therefore to do the work was unjusted.
- 12. The next question is whether the management was justified in deducting the wages of Shirodkar. It is not in dispute that from 14-10-1977 till 30-11-1977 Shirodkar did not carry out the work of repairs. As he refused to carry out the work of repairs to the orton crane as ordered by his superiors the latter did not allot to him any other duties also. The Chief Mechanical Engineer, B.P.T. passed orders to treat the case of Shirodkar as one of 'no work no puy'. Accordingly, 12 days wages for the month of October 1977 amounting to Rs. 264.89 and 22 days wages for the month of November 1977 amounting to Rs. 501.83 were recoveted from the pay bills of Derember 1977 and January 1978, after the said order was passed by the Chief Mechanical Engineer, B.P.T.
- 13. For the purpose of the deductions the employer B.P.T. relies upon the provisions in Section 7(2)(b) read with explanation to Section 9(2) in the Payment of Wages Act. 1936. Section 7(2) provides that the deductions from the wages of an employed person shall be made only in accordance with the provisions of the Act and may be of the kinds only specified in that Section. Deductions from absence from duty is provided in sub-clause (b) of Section 7(2). The explanation to Section 9 provides:—
 - "For the purposes of this section, an employed person shall be deemed to be absent from the place where he is required to work if, although present in such place he refuses, in pursuance of a stay-instrike or for any other cause which is not reasonable in the circumstances, to carry out his work."

Relying upon these provisions it is submitted that even though the workman Shirodkar was present at the place where he was required to do work, as he did not work he should be deemed to be absent from the place of work. Mr. Jolly stated in his deposition that no other other work was given to Shirodkar as that would have led to indiscipline. This explanation given by Mr. Jolly cannot be ruled out, as being unreasonable.

14. Mr. Datar who argued the case for the Union submitted that the workman Shirodkar was getting wages, average Rs. 1000 month or more at the material time. The management, therefore, could not resort to the provisions in the Payment of Wages Act, for affecting the deductions from the wages of the workman. This contention, in my view, is not open to the Union. It may be noted that in various paragraphs of the written statement the employer-B.P.T. has placed reliance upon the provisions in the Payment of Wages Act, and in particular in clause (b) of sub-section (2) of Sect on 7 read with explanation to Section 7(2) of that Act. The Union has not pleaded either in the original statement of claim or by filling a rejoinder that the wages of the workman were more than Rs. 1000, and that, threfore, the provisions in the Payment of Wages Act, did not apply. Apart from this, Mr. Shetty for the employer-B.P.T. submitted that even if the provisions in the Payment of Wages Act were not to apply to this case, the workman will not be entitled under general principles of common law to any wages when he did not put in work on particular dates. I think that submission is not without force.

15. Now, in this case even though a contention was raised in the statement of claim that the workman was asked to operate the crane, that case is given up at the time of hearing. Shirodkar in his deposition before this Tribunal has not stated that he was asked to operate the crane. What he has stated is that he was asked to repair the crane. His explanation is that he did not do the work as there was no any work of a Mechanic to be done. According to him, there was some defect in the gear-box and it was not his duty to attend to gear-box repairs. As pointed out above Mr. Jolly for the employer stated in his deposition that the Motor Mechanics and Pitters are supposed to carry out maintenance work and repairs to the diesel orton crane. Mr. Jolly stated that orton crane can be described as a machinery and repairs to the machinery is a part of the duty of a Motor Mechnic. I do not accept the contention of the Union that the repairs to the orton crane was not a part of his duty of the workman. Shirodkar was a Motor Mechanic. Admittedly, he was attending to all sort of repairs to this orton crane from 1972 till 12th October 1977. When on account of complaint from a rival Union he was stopped from operating the carne. Shirodkar was obviously aggrieved at the fact that he was deprived of substantial overtime wages that he was earning when he was operating and maintaining the orton crne. It was on account of that reason that he must have refused to attend to the repairs. It is true that some other work could have been given to him on the dates when he refused to do the work of repairs to the crane. When this Tribunal asked Mr. Jolly why no other work was given to Shirodkar his answer was that this would have led to indiscipline. I think the content is the content whether the content was the think the content was the think the content was the co there is much substance in this explanation given by Mr. Jolly for not giving other work to the workman. Section 9(2) of the Payment of Wages Act provides that an employed person shall be deemed to be absent from the place where he is required to work if although present in such place, he refuses in pursuance of a stay-in-strike or for any other cause which is not reasonable in the circumstances, to carry out his work. It was, in my view, not proper on the part of the workman to refuse to carry out the work which he was asked to do. His superior considered it as an act of insubordination and refused to give him any other work also. Pediction of wages for failure to perform the work was therefore justifiable. Twelve days wages for the month of October 1977 and 22 days wages for the month of November 1977 were deducted. The question is whether the deduction for this whole period is justified.

16. I am inclined to take a view that the deduction of wages for the 12 days in the month of October 1977 would have been proper and justified. Admittedly, everyday the workman was coming to the place of work. Merely because he refused to do the work assigned to him I think the employer-B.P.T. was not justified in adopting the attitude of deducting the wages for an indefinite period. The workman, it appears, saw reason and agreed to carry out the work of repairs to the crane from 1-12-1977. No fault, in my view, can be found with the management if it resorted to the deduction of wages for a reasonable period. If the workman could not be persuaded thereafter to do the work savinged to him, the proper course would have been to start some disciplinary proceeding upoints him permissible under the Rules and Regulations, and if necessary put him under

suspension in contemplation of or during the pendency of the disciplinary proceedings. Part IV of the Regulations, 1976, makes provisions for suspension, where disciplinary proceedings against a workman is contemplated or is pending.

17. I think that the action of the management in deducting the wages of the workman for unreasonably long time without resorting to a disciplinary proceedings was not proper and justified. I, therefore, find that the deductions of 22 days wages for the month of November, 1977, in the circumstances of the case was not justified. An amount of Rs. 264.89 has been deducted from the wages of the workman for 12 working-days in the month of October 1977 during which period he refused to do the work assigned to him and the management did not give him any other work also, in the interest of discipline. I find the deduction for that period only is justified. I do not find that the deduction for further period i.e. for 22 working-days in the month of November 1977 is justifiable. The amount deducted for 22 days in the month of November 1977 comes to Rs. 501.83. That deduction, in my view, is not justifiable. Reference is thus partly allowed.

18 My Award accordingly. No order as to costs.

M. D. KAMBLI, Presiding Officer

[No. L-31012|8|81-D. IV(A)]

New Delhi, the 30th June, 1982

S.O. 2485.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Arbitrator in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Bombay Port Trust, Bombay and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on the 18th June, 1982.

BEFORE SHRI F. H. LALA, ARBITRATOR UNDER Section 16A of the I.D. Act, 1947

Voluntary Reference

BETWEEN

The Bombay Port Trust

AND

The workmen represented by the B.P.T. General Workers' Union.

Re: The Industrial dispute about the graut of higher scales of pay to the First Class Engine Drivers employed on Dock tugs and harbour tugs.

APPEARANCES:

Shri M. N. Bhatkal, Advocate—for the Bombay Port Trust.

Dr. S. Maitra, General Secretary, B.P.T. General Workers' Union—for the Workmen.

AWARD

This reference arises out of the agreement entered into between the Chief Personnel and Industrial Relations Manager, Bombay Port Trust, and Dr. S. Maitra, General Secretary, B.P.T. General Workers' Union, Bombay, which was received by the Government of India, Ministry of Labour, on the 12th May, 1981 After the necessary publication of the agreement the Government of India by its order dated 28th May, 1981 referred the matter to me for arbitration of the dispute under Section 10A of the I.D. Act, 1947.

2. The dispute referred to me comprises of the following two demands. (1) Whether demand of the Union for grant of higher scale of pay to the First Class Engine Drivers employed on Deck tugs having engines of more than 40 N.H.P. but less than 100 N.H.P. and are in independent

harge is justied (2) Whether demand of the Union for grant f higher scale of pay to the First Class Engine Drivers emoyed on harbour tugs having engines of 100 N.H.P. and have, treating them as in independent charge of the engine som of the vessel even when there is a Marine Engineer on oard the vessel is justified.

- 3. On receipt of the said reference on 1st June 1981, 1 some notice in Form VII to B.P.T. General Workers' Union of file its statement of claim and a general notice in Form 7III addressed to workers not desiring to be represented by the Union to file their slatement of claim and the notice to the employer Bombay Port Trust in Form IX to file its written statement, on 1st of June 1981. The B.P.T. General Workers' Union file its statement of claim, with annexures tated 10th September, 1981 and the Bombay Port Trust filed s written statement with annexures I dated 21st November, 1981. Shri D. Y. Kelkar, representing the Transport and Dock Workers' Union, asked for a copy of the Union's statement of claim by his letter dated 7th August, 1981 and it was supplied to him. Later on, however, Shri S. R. Kulkarni, Secretary of Transport and Dock Workers' Union, by his letter of 27th November, 1981 indicated to me his inability o be a party to the dispute for certain reasons.
- 4. The demands of the Union arising for my determination are two. It has demanded a higher scale of pay to the Pirst Class Engine Drivers employed on Dock Tugs having engines of more than 40 N.H.P. but less than 100 N.H.P. and who are in independence charge. It has also demanded a higher cale of pay to the First Class Engine Drivers employed on harbour tugs having engines of 100 N.H.P. and above by treating them as in independent charge of the engine room of the vessel even when there is a Marine Engineer on board the vessel.
- 5. The B.P.T. General Workers' Union representing the ingine drivers raised the following contentions. of pay of Motor Engine Drivers or Steam Engine Drivers had never been fixed with reference to the horse power of the engine and the Government of India appointed Committee for the classification and categorisation of Class III and Class IV employees of Major Ports under Resolution No. 23-PLA (91)/58 dated 23rd August, 1959. The recommendations of the above Committee were to aim at bringing about as larger a measure of uniformity as possible in the pay scales for posts of the same category in all the Major Ports when the duties were the same on the principle of equal pay for equal work. The Committee dealt with Shore and Flotilla Crew in Para 36 of the report but it did not deal iwth any other category except Lascars and in dealing with the Las-kars alone the Committee took into consideration the engine horse power of the craft. In pulsuance of the recommendations in Para 25 of Chapter XXVII of the Second Five Year Plan and Para 20 of Chapter XV of the Third Five Year Plan the Government set up by its Resolution of 13th November, 1964 a Wage Board to work out a wage structure of Port and Dock Workers of the Major Ports.
- 6. The Wage Board submitted its final report to Government on 29th November, 1969 and its recommendations were to remain in operation for a period of five year. The Government, therefore, by their resolution of 11th December, 1974 set up a "Wage Revision Committee" to enquire into and recommend as to what revision is necessary in the existing wage structure of the employees other than Class I and Class II Officers in Major Ports. The Wage Revision Committee was not called upon to take into consideration—the engine horse power of any vessel to decide the scales of pay of Engine Drivers First Class or Engine Drivers First Class holding licence. At pages 170 and 171 of its report the Wage Revision Committee dealt with engine drivers of the Plotilla holding Second Class and First Class Certificates as also licence and in deciding the scales of pay of these three categories the Committee dia not take into consideration the engine house nower of the vessel. The Marine Engineer, according to Justice K. T. Desai, is required to personally supervise the repairs and overhaul of the order machinery. under his charge with the assistance of the workshop. According to Shri Justice K. T. Desai, the Marine Engineer did not claim that when the vessel was in operation he was required to act in charge of the engine room including boiler room.
- 7 The scale of pay of drivers cannot be on the basis of the horse rower of the engine. The drivers have to attend the minor break-downs and do the maintenance job and in case

- of any major break-down he has to work along with the workshop staff for bringing the engine back to working con-The Dock tugs while in operation have to be looked dition. after and operated by the driver First Grade who has to remain on the Bridge for the purpose of operating the remote control. Thus the First Class Driver when on the grounds control has to take full charge of the engine room even from the Bridge. The Drivers holding First Class competency certificate and working in charge on the tugs should, therefore, be placed in the scale of Rs. 750—1150 with effect from 1-1-1974. Even the engine drivers of all vessels having Marine Engineers are actually in charge of the engine room and, therefore, the Government of India in the discussions of 17th and 18th April, 1979 agreed that the scale of Rs. 900—1200 should be given to the Licensed Drivers/Engineers Incharge/Junior Engineers holding First Class Certificate if they are in independent charge of the engine room. The Engine Drivers are incorrectly designated by the Bombay Port Trust as Engine Drivers though they are! actually engineers as provided under the Inland Vessols Act. 1917.
- 8. The Bombay Port Trust by its written statement rulsed the following contentions. The first demand of the Union for grant of higher scale of (first class) pay to the First Class Engine Driver on Dock tugs of engines between 40 N.H.P. and 100 N.H.P. on the ground that they are in independent charge of the said tugs is bad and no longer open for arbitration since their scale had already been settled by the settlement dated 14th July, 1977 and again by the settlement dated 4th January, 1981. The demand of the Union for higher pay scale to the First Class Engine Drivers on harbour tugs of engines of 100 N.H.P. and over is also bad as the demand is also covered by the settlement of 14th luly, 1977 and subsequently by the Governments Orders dated 21-6-1979 and 20-9-1979 issued in consultation with four Federations of Dock and Port Workers. The demand relating to the retrospective effect for the above pay scates from 1-1-1974 is also untenable. The Wage Board appointed on 13th November, 1964 has fixed a wage structure for the Dock and Port workers in Major Ports in India and the recommendations were accepted by the parties and were in force for five years. Again the Government of India appointed by a resolution of 11th December, 1974 a Wage Revision Commutte to enquire into and recommend necessary revision in the existing wages of the employees. The Wage Revision Committee fixed wage revisions in respect of all Class III and Class IV categories of employees in the employ of Bombay Port Trust in January 1977. The said revisions were accepted by the parties by the settlement signed by all the parties on 14-7-1977. The Government, by the settlement however, set up a Committee known as Anomaly Group in 1979 to consider anomalies or discrepancies attaing out of the implementation of the said settlement. As per the recommendations of the said Anomaly Group and considering the representations of the four Federations of the Port and Dock Workers' Unions and after holding discussions with them the Government of India issue a notification of 21st June 1979 and made a scale of Rs. 900-1200 available to the Licenced Drivers/Engineers in Charge/Junior Engineers/Engine Drivers holding First Class Certificates or their equivalents and who are in independent charge of the engine room of a vessel of 100 B.H.P. and above or its quivalet in I.H.P. of system vessels. The Government of India by its notification of 20th September, 1979 provided that licenceed drivers and Fngine Drivers holding First Class Certificate and who are in independent charge of the engine room of the vessels of over 565 B.H.P. upto 999 B.H.P. should be allowed a scale of Rs 750-1100 with effect from 1-1-1974 These notifications were issued as in certain ports in India the Drivers holding First Class Certificates were required to work as an independent in charge of the engine room having regard to the relative provisions of the Harbour Craft Rules applicable to such ports. These Rules are not applicable to the Bombay Port which is governed by the provisions of the Inland Vessels Act 1917 (1917) (Vide Sections 25 to 27).
 - 9. As per the provisions of the Inland Ves+1s Act, a Marine Enginere (MOT.) is always nosted on all vessels having engine of 100 N.H.P and above. The responsibility of such a Marine Engineer is more than that of a Engine Driver Grade I. The Grade I Engine Driver takes instructions from the Marine Engineer and he works under his supervision and control and he, therefore, cannot be con-

side ed to be in independent charge of the engine room. Such a Engine Driver is, therefore, not eligible to be placed in the scale of Rs. 900—1200 or Rs. 750—1100 allowed under the Government notifications dated 21st June, 1979 and 20th September 1979, respectively. The Union's demand for higher pay scales for First Class Engine Drivers on Dock togs of less than 100 N.H.P. engines is inconsistent with its former demand of such a pay scale for Engine Drivers on vessels having engines of 100 N.H.P. and above. It was, therefore, not open for the Union to make such a new demand.

10. The scales of pay of Motor Engine Driver and Steam Engine Drivers have never been fixed by taking into consideration the horse power of the engine. The categorisation Committee, the Wage Board and the Wage Revision Committee have taken into consideration all the relevant factors including the horse power of the engine while lixing the pay scales of Engine Drivers and others working in the Major Ports in India. The Union's contention that even when there is a Marine Engineer on he vessel the Engine Driver, Grade I, should be deemed to be in independent charge of the engine room is untenable and cannot be accepted. The term Engineer used in the Inland Vessels Act is not for persons possessing different certificates of competency. The Act does not stipulate that such a person should necessarily be designated as Engineer. The certificate of competency issued to a person on passing the examination held by a Mercantile Marine Department is as a First Class Engine D iver a d not as Engineer.

11. The Union filed before me the affidavits of Shri Rattan F. Todywalla, Driver Grade I, Shri Abdul Majid Mukadam, Driver Grade I. Shri Vinayak S. Gharat, a Motor Mechanic for about 8 years and Shri Vasant M. Sawant, a Motor Mechanic for about 18 years. The Bombay Port Trust filed affidavits of its witnesses, Shri Iehangir Sohrabji, Marine Engineer on harbour tug M.T. Arvind, Shri G. V. Khare, Administrative Officer, Deputy Conservator's Office, and Shri R. K. Khattar, Superintending Engineer (Mechanical). The Union's witnesses were examined before me and then cross-examined by Shri M. N. Bhatkal, Advocate for the Bombay Port Trust. The Port Trust witnesses were examined before me and then cross-examined by Dr. S. Maitra, representing the Union.

12. The present dispute relates to the pay scales of Engine Drivers possessing an Engineer's certificate under the Inland Vessels Act, 1917. Admittedly the Dock tugs are about 13, some of which are diesel powered and others steam powered having engines of power between 525—320 and there are 5 harbout tugs, which are diesel engine powered and have B.H.P. of 1200 to 1400 and steam engine powered three tugs having B.H.P. of 900 to 1200. There are of course at Madras vessels of engines of higher horse power viz. 1350 B.H.P., 1650 B.H.P. 1650 B.H.P. and 300 BHP and two more of 675 B.H.P. each and three more of 290 BHP, 290 BHP and 380 BHP as stated in the affidavit of Shri R. K. Khattar. Under Section 25 of the Inland Vessels Act, 1917 an inland mechanically propelled vessel having engine of 100 or more N.H.P. shall not proceed on any voyage unless she has an engineer a person possessing an Engineer's certificate granted under the said Act. We are here concerned particularly with Sections 25, 26 and 27 of the Act. Under Section 26 vessel having engine of 40 or more nominal Horse Power but less than 100 Nominal Horse Power shall not proceed on any voyage unless she has an Engineer a person possessing a First Class Engine Driver's certificate granted under this Act. Sec. 25(b) of the Act requires that the mechanically propelled vessels having engines of over 100 Nominal Horse Power must have an Engineer possessing an Engineer's certificate. Sec. 27 of the Act requires that the vessels having engines of less than 40 N.H.P. must have as an Engineer a person possessing a Second Class Driver's certificate. This makes it clear that in law the personnel looking after the engine room and the engines has to be of certain competencies and qualifications as provided for in the Inland Vessels Act. 1917.

13. The wage structure of the personnel of the Bombay Port Trust including the Engine Drivers etc. came in for consideration in the final report of the Central Wage Board of 29th November, 1969. The Wage Board was chaired by Shri. I. P. Dave as per the Government of India notification

of 13th November, 1964. Later on the Wage Board cor sidered the various scales of pay fixed by the Committee for the classification and categorisation of Class III an Class IV employees of major ports which was appointed t the Government of India by its resolution dated 23rd Augu 1958 and which was presided over by Shri F. Jeejeebho At page 56 of the F J/Committee's report 28-5-61: Sr. Nos. 520 and 521 the scales of Engine Drivers, Grade have been slightly altered by that Committee but later on th Wage Board's scales also were settled by the Government of India after discussions with the Federations of Port an Dock Workers, on implementation of report of Wage Rev sion Committee, Ex. M-6, which contains an excerpt of the proceedings of a meeting of the Trustees of the Port of Bombay held on 26th July, 1977. By this settlement of 14-7-1977 the Engine Drivers, Grade I, mentioned at S Nos. 126 and 127 at page 21, who were in the Wage Bour scale of Rs. 280-354 were given a scale of Rs. 575-84 as a result of the Wage Revision Committee's recommends tions. It is useful further to note that after the expiry c the said settlement of 14th July 1977 there were discussion between representatives of the Government of India, in the Ministry of Shipping and Transport, and the representative of the several reducations of the Port and Dock Worker on a common charter of demands. At a result, the term and conditions of employment and the wage structure c Class III and Class IV Port and Dock employees at all the major ports were revised. As a result of the revision th scale of Rs. 575-844 appearing at page 136, (Annexure tthe settlement), has been fixed at Rs. 575-953 and th scale of Rs. 750—1114 appearing at C1. No. 20 has beer revised to be Rs. 750—1251. This revision is the result of the settlement of 4th January 1981 which has been approved by the Trust Resolution No. 20 of 13th January 1981.

14. The contention of Dr. S. Maitra was, that the Engin Drivers Grade I on Dock tugs and those on the Harbon tags upto 100 N. H. P. and above do the same type of work His contention was, that at Madras engine Drivers on Venkat Nani, Palani and Amravathy and also on Plat and Penna ge scales higher that those payable in B.P.T. This is apparen no doubt from Shri Khattar's affidavit which also shows tha they are in independent charge and are given the highe scales by Government Orders of June and September, 1979 He also relied on the principle that equal pay should be given for equal work and said that the pay scales of the so-called Engine Drivers, who are really Engineers, should be revised and upgraded. He referred me to the evidence o Shri R. F. Todywalla to the effect, that during his employ ment as Grade Driver for a month about five or six year age he never found the Engineer coming down to the engine from He urged on the basis of this that it is the engine Driver, who is really in charge of the engine room of the Harbour tug, and, therefore, he should be given the same pay as that of in charge engineer, It is useful to note that the said witness had to admit that the Engireer went down to the engine room, examined and inspected the tank, which had a defect, and thereafter with the help of the mechanics of the Bombay Port Trust Workshops to cank was repaired. Shri Abdul Mapid, a witness of the Union, also said that he never found the engineer coming down to the engine room and doing any work and that the motor mechanics are avariably called at his instance to set right the defect in the engine. His evidence, however, makes it clear that the engineer has to be told about the defects, it any, in the engine room and thereafter the engineer requisitions workshop services for removing the defects on the vessel and it is the engineer who has to be satisfied about the repairs. His evidence also shows that the M. M. B. Surveyor inspecting the tug after its annual overhauling is accompanied by the engineer to the engine room. The witness Vinayak Sadanand Gharat, states taht he has never seen the Marine Engineer attention to any sepair work. But he had to admit that it is the engineer, who has to endorse his labour ticket taken by him from the workshop after he is satisfied about the repairs. That is what also Ramesh Vasant Sawant, witness for the Union, had to admit in his cross-examination when he said that for substitution and descriptions. when he said that for substituting a damaged part the Marine Engineer has to be consulted and then the part has to be repaired.,

15. The Bombay Port Trust witness, Shri Jehangir Sohiabji, who is a Marine Engineer in the B. P. T. since 1964 and who is permanently posted on the harbour tug vessel, M. T. Arvind, stated that he is responsible for the efficient opera-

tion and maintenance of the vessel with a view to prevent break-down and accidents. His evidence further shows that he has to make indents on the Controller of Stores for all consumable stores such as fuel, lubricating oils, hardwares, etc. To similar effect is the evidence of Shri G. V. Khare who says that the Marine Engineer on the vessels is alone in independent charge of the engine room and crew of the vessel. The evidence of Khare shows that on all Dock tugs Drivers, Grade I. are in-charge of the main engine of the motor vessel and according to him a person is said to be in-charge when he maintains discipline amongst his co-workers. The Union representative invited my attention to the evidence of Shri R. K Khattar which is to the effect, that there is no difference in the nature of duties and responsibilities of a driver working on a vessel of 565 B. H. P. or less and a driver of a vessel of B. H. P., and over. But it has to be noted that the evidence of Shri Khattar shows that the Marine Engineers are physically present on the harbour tugs during the entire period of their duty.

16. Dr. Maitra representing the Union referred me to Shri Khattar's evidence and strenuously argued that at Madras Fix vessels mentioned in Annexure I of the affidavit of Shri Khattar having H.P. between 675 B.H.P. and 3000 B.H.P. are operated by drivers, First Class, and at Madras the Marine Engineers are shore based, Admittedly, according to Shri Khattar, when the engine driver is in charge of the engine room the room the engineer is not there. Shri Khattar voluntarily added that sometimes the engineer is present in the engine room. His evidence shows that his say regarding the presence of the engineer in the engine room at times is not based on his Khattar, when the engine driver is in charge of the engine ineer guides during the Marine Engineer that the witnesses. It is, supervises the engine driver and and supervises the engine driver during the annual overhaul and operations of the vessels and he is as volunteered by Shri Khattar, the Marine Engineer goes away for some other official work after giving the instruction; and guidance. But all this evidence cannot lead to the conclusion, that the Engine Drivers of vessels or harbons of tugs having Marine Engineers are in independent charge of the engine room.

17. Dr. Maitra pointed out that there were a large number of anomalies obtaining in the existing scales even after the recommendations of the Wage Board which were implemented with effect from 1st January 1969. He said that the Government of India, therefore, set up the Wage Revision Committee in December, 1974 to enquire into and recommend as to what revision is necessary in the existing wage structure of the employees other than Class I and Class II Officers in Major Ports of Bombay Calcutta, Madras, Visa-khapatnam, Cochin, Marmugao, Kandla and araddep. The Wage Revision Committee was composed of three members including Shri B. N. Lokur. Retired Judge of Allahabad High Court, New Delhi. The Committee was to enquire into and recommend as to what revision was necessary in the existing wage structure of the employees of Class I and Class II in Bombav and other ports. The resolution setting up the categorisataion committee of 1958 provided that the recommendations of that Committee shall be binding on the Port Authorities as well as the labour. Yet soon after the implementation of the report of the Committee the Unions alleged that several anomalies were found in the existing scales and they raised disputes. The two-man Anomalies Committee was set up by Government and the anomalies of several categories of workmen in Bombay including that of Engine Drivers, Grade I and other ports were examined, considered and set right. The Committee corrected the anomalles amounting to iregularities in the context of the classification and categorisation committee and Wage Board deli-berations. The Committee for Wage Revision recommended that the Engine Drivers, (Marine), by whatever designa-tion called, (both steam and diesel) holding certificates of competency under the Inland Steam Vessels Act, 1917, or their equivalents, be brought over to the scales as below. (Vide Pages 164 to 171, W.R.C. Report) The Engine Drivers holding First Class certificates or their equivalents in the existing scales of Rs 214—280, Rs. 214—320, Rs. 246—320, Rs. 246—354, Rs. 246—366, and Rs. 250—415 should be put in the scale of Rs. 255 15 680 ER 20 244 415 should be put in the scale of Rs. 575-15-680-FB-20-840 and licensed drivers or engineer in-charge in the existing scales of Rs 290—475, Rs. 340—510 and Rs. 375—515 be placed in the scale of Rs. 750-25-950-EB-25-1100. It is thus clear that even the Anomalies Group has not thought the present demand to be proper. In this reference I have not been asked to examine the scales anomaly, if any, and to remove it. It is, therefore, futile to contend before me that there are anomalies in the scales because the Engine Drivers doing the same sort of work are not getting equal pay for the same. The agreement of 4th January 1981 is still in force and as contended by Shri Bhatkal for the Bombay Port Trust the demands are not tenable in view of the said agreement which is still in force. I find that the present pay scale is 575-19-589-24-953.

18. It is true that at Madras the engine drivers run and operate the tugs in absence of the Marine engineers who are shore-based. But the Port of Madras and its personnel on the harbour craft are governed by the Madras Port, (Harbour Craft), Rules of 1980 framed under Section 6 of the Indian Ports Act, 1908. These rules only apply to the Madras Port and the craft plying that port and, therefore, only because the Madras Port engine drivers are in actual charge of the engine rooms which may be or may not be against the rules but apparently is against the provisions of Indian Vessels in does not help the Union to justify its demands.

19. Dr. Maitra further stated that there was nothing in the Act or anywhere to link the pay scales with the horse power of the engine of a vessel. In my opinion, while considering and deciding upon the pay scales and wage structure all the circumstances including job evaluation, job deseription, peculiarities of the post and the nature of func-tions and other things have been taken into consideration. The decision of the Supreme Court in the case between Randhirsingh and others and the Union of India and others Randhisingh and others and the Union of India and others dated February 22, 1982, reported in Indian Factories and Labour Reports of 1982 Vol. 44, Page 299, was relied upon for the Union to show that the principle of "equal pay for equal work' hasc to be followed while fixing the scales of pay of Grade I. Engine Drivers. In that case the petitioner, (Randhirsingh), was a driver constable in the Delhi Police Force and he demanded that his scale of pay should almost be the same as the scale of pay of the other drivers in the service of the Delhi Administration as he discharged the same duties as the other drivers, if not more onerous duties. In that case the other drivers belonged to diffrent department of the government and, therefore, the Government contended that the said driver titled to the same scale of pay. But the Supreme Court directed the Government to fix the scale of pay of the petitioner and the driver constables of the Delhi Police Force at least on par with that of the drivers of the Railway Was not Profes at least on par with that of the univers of the manway Protection Force. Thus equal pay for equal work is not a mere slogan but a principle of law to be followed in appropriate cases. In this case, however, the pay scaes of Engine Drivers; Grade I, have been fixed by mutual agreement and settlement of 4th January, 1981 which is still in force. Nothing is shown why the said settlement which is Nothing is shown why the said settlement, which is still binding on the workmen, should be given a go-bye and why the scales agreed to by the parties should be revised of law relied upon by Dr S. Maitra has, therefore, no application to the facts of this case.

20. Dr. Maitra for the workmen contended that while dealing with the question of revision of wage-scales technical considerations of resjudicata on behalf of B.P.T. should not be allowed to hamper the industrial arbitration. But in this case the bar to the demanded revision is of the subsisting mutual settlement between the parties enered into 0 4th January, 1981. Therefore, the question of applying the principle of resjudicata liberally does not arise at all. The Union has demanded revision of the ray scales of engine Drivers with retrospective effect from 1-1-1974, (Vide its statement of Claim). The B.P.T. contended that ordinarily, effect is given to the revision from the date of the reference or, the most, from the date of the demand. It is useful to note that the demands agreed to by the parties and referred to me for arbitration do not contain the prayer regarding the retrospective effect later mentioned in the statement of claim. Ordinarily, effect is given to the revision, if any, from the date of the reference and in some appropriate cases from the date of demands. In this case, I do not find any force in the demands of the workmen and hence I do not think it necessary to dwell on this question any further. I may further add that the financial position of the employer to bear the burden of the demands is not seriously disputed.

21. Having regard to these considerations, I tank that the

demands of the workmen for revision of their pay scales have no substance and they are not just and tenable. I therefore, dismiss the demands and make an Award accordingly.

F. H. LALA, Arbitrator. [No. L-3113(2)/81-DIV(A)]

102, Sunflower, Cuffe Parade Reclamation,

Colaba, Bombay 400 005.

Date: 14th June, 1982

S.O. 2486.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, No. 1, Bombay, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the menagement of Messis Muneer Matine, Ship Repairers in Mormugao Haibour and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on the 19th June, 1982.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL

Reference No. CGIT-6 of 1981

PRESENT:

Justice M. D. Kambli Esqr., Presiding Officer.
Reference No. CGIT-6 of 1981

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to M/s. Muneer Marine, Goa.

AND

Their Workman

APPEARANCES:

For the Employer-Mi G. D. Samant, Advocate

For the Workman-Mt. A P. Lavande, Advocate

INDUSTRY: Ports & Docks. STATE: Goa, Daman & Diu, Bombay, dated the 31st May, 1982

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour, by order No. L-36012/(2)/81-D.IV(A) dated 31st March, 1981, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of subsection (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, have referred to this Tribunal for adjudication an industrial dispute between the Employers in relation to M/s. Muneer Marine, Ship Repairers in Mormugao and their workman in respect of the matters specified in the schedule mentioned below:—

SCHEDULE

"Whether the management of Messis Muncer Marine, Ship Repairers in Mormugao Horbour are justified in terminating, with effect from 6th December, 1979, the services of Shir K. Chandrangadan, Welder, and in not paying to his Overtime Allowance at double the rate of wages and bonus for the period from 1st February, 1979 to 6th December, 1979? If not, to what relief is the concerned workman entitled?"

- 2. The workman K. Chandrangadan was employed on probation as Welder-cum-Cutter and Plater with effect from 1st February, 1970, on a pay of Rs 500 per month. His service conditions were governed by the appointment letter dated 29th January, 1979. The appointment was subject to six months' probationary period. After the completion of this probationary period the services of the workman were uesther terminated nor he was confirmed. The services of the workman were terminated by the employers letter dated 8th November, 1979, informing the workman that his services will be terminated from 6-12-1979. He was asked to collect all his due from the office on 7-12-1979.
- 3. The workman filed statement of claim alleging that his services were illegally terminated without any proper enquiry or charge-sheet. The workman pleaded that no proper calculations of his overtime were made and he was deprived of about R*, 3,200/- as the overtime was calculated at the single rate. The workman further pleaded that the manage-

ment, under their appointment letter was supposed to pay him a bonus for the year 1979 at the rate of 16 per cent which is not paid to him. This amount comes to Rs. 500/-. The workman maintained that his termination was illegal and was entitled to reinstatement with all back wages and continuity of service.

- 4. The workman amended his statement of claim so as to contend that the purported termination of his services by Shri T. S. Bhatia, the Chief Engineer, was illegal and without jurisdiction in as much as the said Shri Bhatia had no authority in law or otherwise to terminate the services of any workman employed by M/s. Muneer Marine.
- 5. The employer in its written statement pleaded as follows. The services of the workman were terminated giving one month's notice although he was a probationer and not confirmed in the employment. No enquiry or charge-sheet was necessary in this case. As regards the claim for overtime the same has already been paid to the workman as per service conditions and nothing remains to be paid on this account. The workman was not entitled to bonus as the management has not paid bonus tor the year 1979 to any workman. The employer is registered with Small Scale Industries and storted in 1978 only, and is exempted under the Bonus Act. However, the workman who had completed one year's service and continued in employment were paid 10 per cent ex-gratia payment as per service condition. The workman had completed only 10 months of service and, therefore, he was not entitled to ex-gratia payment as per service conditions, and therefore he was not paid ex-gratia payment. The employer contended that the claim for overtime wages and bonus was not covered by Section 2-A of the Industrial Disputes Act. On these pleas the employer prayed that the Reference be dismissed.
- 6. The workman was appointed subject to six month's probationary period. After the expiry of this period the services of the workman were neither terminated nor he was confirmed. Now, the letter of termination of the services of the workman (exhibit-W-4) is in the following terms:—
 - "It has been found that you have misbehaved your superior on 6-11-79 When you were told to give apology letters to Engineer incharge and to the Company, you refused to give the same.

Now your services will be terminated from 6th December, 1979. You can collect all your dues from office on 7th December, 1979, during working hours."

Admittedly, no domestic enquity was held against the workman before terminating his services. It is contended for the employer that no charge-sheet no enquiry was necessary in this case. This contention is absolutely untenable. The text of the letter of termination (exhibit W-4) shows that the charge was levelled against the workman that he had misbehaved with his superior on 6th November, 1979 and when he was told to give apology letter to Engineer incharge and to the Company the workman refused to do so It will thus be seen that in the letter of termination itself there is a clear allegation of misconduct on the part of the workman. The termination of his services should, therefore, have been proceeded by a departmental enquiry. The discharge of the workman is clearly punitive in character. The employer did not lead any evidence of misconduct in the proceedings before this Tribunal. The employer has examined one witness to justify the termination of the services of the workman. No permission was sought to adduce evidence for misconduct nor any evidence was in fact led. Under these circumstances, the order of termination of the services of the workman which is of punitive character must be set aside.

7 Mr. Samant for the employer has not pressed his contention that the claim for overtime wages and bonus is not covered by Section 2-A of the Industrial Disputes Act. The learned counsel for the workman submitted that the claim for overtime wages and the bonus can be combined in a Reference against the order of discharge or dismissal. However, so far as overtime wages are concerned it is not in dispute that the overtime is paid on the basis of the terms mentioned in the letter of appointment It is contended for the workman that overtime should have been paid at double the normal rate of wages. However, no any provi-

sion of law was shown in support of this submission. The claim for additional overtime wages must, therefore, he rejected.

8. As regards bonus, the employer contended that the workman who had completed one year's service and continued in employment were paid 10 per cent ex gratia payment. Since the workman in this Reference had completed only 10 months of service he was not entitled to ex gratia payment. The letter of appointment (exhibit W-1) will, however, show that a provision was made for the payment of bonus in the following terms:—

"Instead of the minimum bonus of 8.33 per cent as required, you will be paid at the rate of 10 per cent of your basic wages P.A. or pro rata, irrespective of our profit/loss. This is non-negotiable."

It will thus be seen that there was an agreement for payment of bonus at the rate of 10 per cent of the basic wages per annum or pro rata. The workman was, therefore, in my view, entitled to the payment of bonus at the rate of Rs. 50 per month. The bonus for 10 months will come to Rs. 500.

per month. The bonus for 10 months will come to Rs. 500.

9. One of the contentions taken up by the workman was that the Chief Engineer, Mr. T. S. Bhatia of the employer had no authority in law or otherwise to terminate the services of the workman. The letter of termination (exhibit W-4) is signed by Mr. T. S. Bhatia. Witness Mr. Basant Kumar Mody (exhibit E-1) who is the Proprietor of the concern has stated in his deposition that Mr. Bhatia had full authority to terminate the services of the workman. It will be seen that the letter of appointment (exhibit W-1) is signed by Mr. T. S. Bhatia. The contention of the workman that Mi. T. S. Bhatia had no authority to terminate the services of the workman cannot be accepted especially when the employer says that Mr. Bhatia had full authority to terminate the services of the workman cannot be accepted especially when

10. The next question is whether the workman should be reinstated. Now, the workman was appointed as a probationer. His probationary period was continued. He was not confirmed. It would appear from the letter dated 10th October, 1979 (exhibit W-2) that the workman was once wained for his refusal to work overtime in connection with unloading the things from Maratha Transhipper on the evening of 21st September, 1979. It is open to the employer to terminate the services of the workman if his work was not to be found satisfactory. He was not confirmed. He had worked only for 10 months. During that period his conduct was found not to be satisfactory. In the circumstances of the case, the termination of the services of the workman should have been proceeded by an enquiry as the letter of termination itself alleged misconduct. The question for consideration however is whether the order of reinstatement should be made in favour of the workman. It is true that reinstatement is a normal rule in the cases of wrongful and illegal discharge and dismissal. That would, however, is not inexorable of absolutely rigid in application. The question whether ieinstatement should be awarded or not has to be decided after balancing relevant factors and without adopting legalistic or doctrinaire approach. This approach has been approved by the Supreme Court in various cases. See Assam Oil Company Ltd. v. Its workmen (1960 I L.L.J. 587) and Samnuggur Jute Factory Company Ltd. v. Their workmen (1964 I L.L.J. 634). It has been held by the Supreme Court that if the case presents certain unusual or exceptional features so as to make reinstatement inexpedient or improper, to meet the heads of justice, the tribunal has discretion to award adequate monetary compensation instead of reinstatement.

11. In the case of Utkal Machinery 1td. v. Miss Santi Patnaik (1966 I L.L.J. p. 398) the termination of the services of the probationer was held punitive and mala fide. On that finding the Labour Court inwarded two years salary as compensation to the concerned employee. The Supreme Court held that the finding of the Labour Court that the discharge of the concerned employee was mala fide and in the circumstances was justified. The Supreme Court, however, considered the fact that the concerned employee had put only four months' service and reduced the amount of compensation to one year's salary.

12. In the instant case there is material to show that the employer was not satisfied with the behaviour and conduct of the workman. The workman was not confirmed. He had

put in only 10 months' service. In the facts and circumstances of this case, therefore, I am of the view that a compensation equivalent to one year's salary, instead of reinstatement would meet the ends of justice. In conclusion, I hold that the order of termination of the services of the workman walk punitive in nature and, therefore, that order made without domestic enquiry is liable to be set aside. In the facts and the circumstances of the case the workman is, however, not entitled to reinstatement. Monetary compensation equivalent to one year's salary which comer to Rs. 6,000 should be paid to the workman. The claim of the workman for additional overtime wages stands rejected. The workman will, however, be paid bonus as stipulated in the letter of appointment in the sum of Rs. 500. These amounts should be paid to the workman within two months from the date of publication of this Award. The amounts should carry interest at 9 per cent per annum if the same is not paid to the workman within the stipulated time.

13. Award accordingly. No order as to costs.

M. D. KAMBLI, Presiding Officer[No. L-36012/2/81-D. IV(A)]T. B. SITARAMAN, Desk Offlq

New Delhi, the 23rd June, 1982

S.O. 2487.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, No. 2, Dhanbad in respect of a complaint under Section 33A of the said Act filed by Shri Ram Kanabor Singh, Dhansar/KOCP of Messrs Bharas Coking Coal Limited, Dhanbad against the management of Dhansar/KOCP of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Dhanbad, which was received by the Central Government on the 15th June, 1982.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2) DHANBAD

PRESENT:

Shii J. P. Singh, Presiding Officer.

Complaint Case No. 2/81

In the matter of a complaint under Section 33A of the I. D. Act, 1947.

(Arising out of Reference No. 39[81)

PARTIES:

Shri Ram Kanabor Singh, Dhansar/KOCP of M/s. Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Dhanbad—Complainant.

VS.

The management of Dhansar/KOCP of M/s. Bharut Coking Coal Ltd., Dhanbad—Opp. Party.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the complainant--Shri D. Narsingh, Advocate.

On behalf of the Opp. party—Shri B. Joshi, Advocate. STATE: Bihar INDUSTRY: Coal

Dhanbad, 11th June, 1982

AWARD

This is a complaint under S. 33A of the LLA Act, 1947. This complaint has arisen out of reference No. 39|81 of this court. The complainant is the concerned workman in the reference, who demanded that he should be promoted to clerical grade I/special grade from the date on which his Juniors were promoted, and should be designated as loading supervisor from February, 1977. During the pendency of this reference the management of Dhansar KOCP of Messis Bharat Coking

Coal Limited by order dated 19-11-1981 of the Deputy Chief Mining Engineer passed a revengeful order directing Chief Mining Engineer passed a revengeful order directing him from his permanent status to relieving status of a relieving or badli attendance clerk against a leave vacancy. That letter is annexure A to the complaint petition. The Brayer is that the Tribunal may be pleased to direct the CCL to restore his position and to do justice in his case.

2. The management on the other hand has taken the plea that the concerned workman was in grade II and was never promoted to the post of loading supervisor by the impugned order the Dy. CME dated 19-11-81 did not change the status of the concerned workman in any manner and

the status of the concerned workman in any manner and so this complaint was uncalled for.

- 3. During the pendency of this complaint, the reference 3. During the pendency of this complaint, the feteretecase No. 39|81 has been disposed of. On the basis of the documents produced, this Tribunal held that for a pretty long time the contened workman had worked in a superior capacity of a loading incharge or a loading supervisor by order of the management issued from time to time. By an award in that case he was ordered to be paid for the work of superior post held by him. It was however made clear that there was no question of his promotion from grade clear that there was no question of his promotion from grade II to grade I which was a matter directly within the competence of the management of M/s, Bharat Coking Coal
- 4. It will appear from the award in reference case No. 39/81 that while holding the post of grade II the concerned workman was from time to time asked to perform the ed workman was from time to time asked to perform the duties of loading supervisor which is in grade I. It does not mean that by working over the post of grade I he was promoted to grade I. It may be further mentioned that the concerned workman could not establish in the reference case that he was superseded by his juniors. It is clear that he held the post of grade II and he continued to hold the post of grade II. What has been done is that by virtue of the impugned order he is no longer required to work as loading supervisor or loading incharge. Since the management is competent to mass such an order, no fault management is competent to pass such an order, no fault could be found with this order, and so no discretion could be given to the management.
- 5. Shri D. Narsingh, Advocate appearing on behalf of the workman is conscious about the position of law and so virtually he has refrained from placing any view to the contrary in order to establish his complaint. He has how ever pointed out that a workman who has worked for a pretty long time as loading supervisor successfully and in the best interest of the management should not be asked to work over a post of grade 11. Shi B. Joshi, Advocate appearing for the management has been fair enough to proint out that on his advice the management as every with point out that on his advice the management is seized with the question of placing the concerned workman in grade I which he hopes would be done soon. In view of the above submissions here is nothing further to be ordered by this court. Suffice is to say that in this complaint no relief could be granted to the concerned workman,

[No. L-20025(6)]82-DIII(A)] J. P. SINGH, Presiding Officer

2 S.O. 2488.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 2, Dhanbad in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management Tif Basedonur Colliery of Mesus Bharat Colling Coal Finited If Basdeopur Colliery of Messis Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Post Office Kasunda, District Dhanbad and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 15th June, 1982.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2) DHANBAD

Reference No. 4 of 1981

PRESENT:

Shri J. P. Singh, Presiding Officer.

In the matter of an industrial dispute under S. 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Basdeopur colliery of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Post Office: Kusunda, District Dhanbad and their workmen.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the employers.—Shri B. Joshi, Advocate.

On behalf of the workmen.-Shri B. B. Pandey, Advocate.

STATE : Bibac.

INDUSTRY: Coal,

Dhanbad, the 10th June, 1982

AWARD

This is an industrial dispute under S. 10 of the I.D. Act, 1947. The Central Government by its order No. L-20012 (153) 80-D.III (A) dated 23rd January, 1981 has referred this dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication under the following terms:

SCHEDULE

- "Whether the demand of the workmen of Basdeopur colliery of Messra Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Post office Kusunda, district Dhanbad that Shri Krishna Kumar Gupta should be regularised as Compounder with effect from the 16th October, 1978 is justified? If so, to what relief is the said workman entitled?"
- 2. Soon after the receipt of the reference notices served upon the parties to file their written statement which the parties accordingly filed. Thereafter the parties took some time to file a settlement. Ultimately on 9-6-1982 the parties filed a settlement in terms of which the concerned workmen will be regularised as a compounder w.e.f. 1-1-1982 and he will not be entitled to any difference of wages prior to 1-1-1982. Since the terms are acceptable to both the parties, I accept the settlement and pass an award accordingly. The settlement will form part of the award.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2 AT DHANBAD

Reference No. 4 of 1981

Employees in relation to the management of Basdeopur Colliery

AND

Their workmen

Petition of Settlement

The humble petition on behalf of the employees and the workmen above named most respectfully shewth ;

- That the present dispute arises on the demand of the union to regularise Sci Krishua Kumar Gupta as copounder with effect from 16-10-1978.
- 2. That under the wage Board recommendation the compounders are placed in Grade 'E' and Grade 'D'. When any compounder possessed recognised qualifications, he is placed in Grade 'D' and all other compounder are placed in Grade 'E'.
- 3. That the concerned workman is working as dresser and is in Grade 'B'.
- 4. That after several discussions the dispute has been amicably settled on the following terms

TERMS OF SETTLEMENT

- (a) That the concerned workman should be regularised us compounder in Grade 'E' with effe t from 1-1-82,
- (b) That for the purpose of his promotion from grade 'E' to Grade 'D' he should be deemed to be in grade

'E' from 16-10-1978 i.e. his seniority will be maintained from 16-10-1978.

- (c) That the concerned workman will be promoted to Grade 'D' as soon as he produces a recognised certificate from the medical council of India.
- (d) That the concerned workman will not be entitled any difference of wages between grade 'E' and grade 'H' for the period prior to 1-1-1982.
- 5. That in view of the above settlement there remains nothing to be adjudicated.
- 6. That the terms of the settlement are fair and proper.

 Under the fact and circumstances stated above the Hon'ble Tribunal will be graciously pleased to pass the Award in terms of the Settlement.

For the Workmen

For the Employees

Sd- Illegible

Sd]- Illegible

9-6-82

9-6-82

J. P. SINGH, Presiding Officer [No. L. 20012(153)|80-D.III(A)] A.V.S. SARMA, Desk Officer

New Delhi, the 24th June, 1982

SO. 2489.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Shri E Muniswami, Raising Contractor, B.R.H. Iron Ore Mines of M/S Dalmia International, Patelnagat, Hospet P. O., Bellary District and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 14th Igne, 1982.

BEFORE THF INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL IN KARNATAKA BANGALORE

Dated this the 9th day of June 1982

PRESENT:

Sri V. H. Upadhaya, B.A.LLB.—Presiding Officer.

Central Reference No. 3 of 1975

I PARTY

Workmen represented by the President, Bellury District Mine Workers' Welafre Union (Dalmia Unit), Hospet P.O., Bellary Dist.

VS

II PARTY

Shri E. Muniswami, Raising Contractor, B R H Iron Ore Mines of M/s. Dalmia International, Patelnagar, Hospet P.O. Bellary Distt.

APPEARANCES :

For the I Party: None Present.

For the II Party:— Sri B. T. Parthasarathy, Advocate, Bangelore.

REFERENCE:

(Government Order No. L-26011/13R74-LR-IV/D dates 27-1-1975)

AWARD

The Central Government has made a reference of the dispute between the parties for adjudication on the following points:—

"Whether the action of Sri E. Muniswamy, Raising Contractor of Bharata Ravana Haruvu Jron Ore Mines of Messrs Dalmia International, Hospet in terminating the services of Sarva Sri M. D. Ismail and S. D. Mahaboob, sorters, with effect from thirty first of March, 1974 is justified? If not, to what benefit are these workmen entitled?"

- 2. On behalf of the workmen involved in the dispute, one U. B. Theertha Rao claiming to be the President of the Iron Ore Workers Union of Bellary District submitted a statement on 13th March, 1575 contending that the termination of the services of the said two employee was bad as they had put in service of 293 days and yet the provisions of Sec. 25F of the Ledustrial Disputes Act were not complied with said U. B. Theertha Rao submitted an application on The 12th December, 1975 for impleading the Iron Ore Workers Union of which he is the President on the ground that the original I Party union was disolved and the workmen under the II Party had joined his union. The Secretary of the Iron Ore Workers Union had engaged Sri M. C. Narasimhan an advocate to appear in the proceedings. But this Tribunal by its order dated 24th June, 1976 dismissed the application and further held that it was an individual dispute and was not converted into an industrial dispute by proper espousal by the I Party union It further observed that it could be considered as an individual dispute and ordered notice of hearing to individual workman. The said workman did not appear inspite of several netices and finally a paper publication was ordered. Yet they did not make any appearance.
- 3. The II Party submitted his counter statement contending that the workman M. D. Ismail was in service for 267 days and the other workman Mahaboob was in service for 71 day only and their services were terminated as they were no longer required by the II Party. He adds that there was no question for any misconduct and hence there was no question of any charge-sheet or enquiry to be held against them. He had also raised the contention that the said workmen were never the members of any union and no union had espoused their cause.
 - 4. The following issues were framed:-
 - (1) Whether the II Party is jutified in terminating the services of M. D. Imail and S. D. Mahaboob?
 - (2) Whether the reference is not maintainable for the reasons that the I Party does not represent the II Party's workmen?
 - (3) Whether the reference is not maintainable for the reasons that the order of reference was not proceeded by a demand on the II Party management?

Decision and Reasons

5. As pointed out above it is only the said U. B. Theertha Rao who filed the statement of demands on behalf of the I Party by claiming himself to be the President of the Iron Ore Worker Union. The said Iron Ore Workers Union was not allowed to be impleaded and taken part in the proceedings before this Tribunal by an order dated 24-6-76. The workmen themselves have not come forward with any claim or evidence to say that their termination is unjustified and that they should be granted any relief. Hence all the issues and points of reference are answered against them. Award passed accordingly. No costs.

V. H. UPADHYAYA, Presiding Officer [No. L-26011/13/74-LRIV/D,II(B)]

S.O. 2490.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Industrial Tribunal. Bangalore in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of M/s. Dalmia International, Patelnagar, Hospet P.O., District Bellary and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 17th June, 1982.

BFFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL IN KARNATAKA, BANGALORF

Dated this the 11th day of June, 1982

PRESENT:

Sri V. H. Unadhyaya, B.A, LL.B. Presiding Officer.
Central Reference No. 2 of 1975

I PARTY:

Workmen represented by The President, (Dalmia Unit), M/s. Bellary District Mine Workers Welfare Union, Hospet P.O. Bellary District.

Vs.

II PARTY:

The Branch Manager, M/s. Dalmia International, Patelnagar, Hospet P.O., District Bellary.

APPEARANCES

For the I Party-None present.

For the II Party—Sri B. T. Parthasarathy, Advocate, Bangalore.

REFERENCE

(Government Order No. L26012/6/74-LR. IV dated 10-1-75) AWARD

The Central Government has made a reference of the dispute between the parties for adjudication on the following points:—

- "Whether the action of Messrs Dalmia International, Hospet, management of B.R.H. Iron Ote Mines in dismissing Sri M. Fakruddin, Fitter with effect from the 2nd May, 1974 is in order. If not to what benefit is Shri M. Fakruddin entitled?"
- 2. The I Party submitted its statement signed by one U. B. Theortha Rao claiming to be the President of the Dalmia Unit of Bellary District Mine Workers Welfare Union. He has raised several contentions as regards the correctness of the enquiry against the workman contending that the authority who issued the charge-sheet had no power to do so. The charge is vague and falsely made with a view to penalise the workman for his trade union activities and the enquiry was an empty formality which was held without observing the principles of natural justice. It is added that the punishment is disproportionate to the misconduct alleged.
- 3. The II Party submitted its statement denying the allegations. It filed an additional statement to say that the I Party union is not competent to seek a reference as the workman was not a member of it and the union had no representative character to espouse the couse of the workman. It also adds that either the union or the workman had not approached the II Party earlier with any demand before approaching the Conciliation Officer and on thisi ground the reference may be rejected.
 - 4. The following additional issues were framed:
 - Whether the II Party is justified in terminating the services of Sri M. Fakruddin?
 - Wehther the reference is not maintainable for the reasons that the I Party does not represent the II Party's workmen.
 - 3. Whether the reference is not maintainable for the reason that the order of reference was not preceded by a demand on the II Party management?
- 5. On 2-12-1975, the said U. B. Theertha Rao filed an application on behalf of Iron Ore Workers Union claiming to be its President and contending that the Dalmia Unit of the I Party was dissolved in order to deprive the workman of their legitimate benefit and the said workman had foined this union and the union have the authority to represent the workman. This Tribunal by its order dated 24-6-76 held that as the Dalmia Unit was dissolved by the I Party there is no espousal or support of the cause by the union of workman and therefore the dispute must be treated as an individual dispute and not an industrial dispute. It ordered notice to the workman treating it as an individual dispute and dismissed the claim of the Iron Ore Workers Union to represent the workman. The said workman did not appear before this Tribunal in spite of several notices and even a paper publication.
- 6. On 6-3-82 the General Secretary of the I Party Union sent a memo by post to say that in view of the order by this Tribunal mentioned above the case may be closed as the 365 GI/82—6

- I Party union by itself withdraws the same as the Dalmia Unit was not in existence on the date of the reterence. Under the above circumstances, it must be taken that the workman remains unrepresented in the case.
- 7. The enquiry papers are produced. On going through them I do not see that any of the objections as regards the enquiry taken up in the claim statement is sustainable. The enquiry report says that inspite of the opportunity given to the workman he refused to cross-examine the management's witnesses and to get the assistance of a co-worker. By taking such an attitude it is not open for the workman to challenge the correctness of the domestic enquiry. The allegation against him in the charge is of insubordination and the Enquiry Officer was fully justified in coming to the conclusion that it has been established. Under the above circumstances, it cannot be held that the punishment imposed was disproportionate to the misconduct alleged against him.
- 8. The contention that the person who issued the charge-sheet had no authority to do so has not been established. The II Party has stated in its counter statement that though it had applied for approval of its action taken under Section 33(2)(b) of the Industrial Disputes Act, before whom the demand made was pending it is not in fact necessary to do so as the workman was not concerned in that dispute. As there is no evidence on behalf of the workman to disprove this allegation, I hold that the enquiry as well as the findings and the order of dismissal are all fully justified The above issues and the points of reference are answered against the I Party workman and an award passed accordingly. No costs.

V. H. UPADHYAYA, Presiding Officer [No. L-26012/6/74-LR-IV]D.II(B)] KANWAR RAJINDER SINGH, Under Sccy.

New Delhi, the 24th June. 1982

S.O. 2491.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Madras, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of State Bank of Travancore, Trivandrum and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on the 21st June, 1982.

BEFORE THIRU T. SUDARSANAM DANIEL, B.A., B.L., PRESIDING OFFICER

INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, TAMIL NADU (Constituted by the Government of India) New Delhi, the 14th day of June, 1982 Industrial Disputes No. 18 of 1982

(In the matter of the dispute for adjudication under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 between the workmen and the Management of State Bank of Travancore, Trivandrum).

BETWEEN

The workmen, represented by The Secretary,

Kerala Pradesh Bank Workers' Organisation, T.D. Road, Ernakulam, Cochin-11.

AND

The Managing Director, State Bank of Travancore, Head Office, Trivandrum-1.

Reference.—Order No. L-12012|174|81-D.II(A), dated 27-2-1982 of the Ministry of Labour, Government of India.

This dispute coming on for final hearing on Tuesday, the 8th day of June, 1982 upon perusing the reference claim and counter statements and all other material papers on record and upon hearing the arguments of Thiru K. N. Shenoy, President, National Organisation of Bank Workers appearing for

the workmen and the Management being absent and this dispute having stood over till this day for consideration, this Tribunal made the following:—

AWARD

This is an Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the Management of State Bank of Travancore, Trivandrum-1 referred to this Priounal for adjudication under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 by the Government of India in Order No. L-12012/114/81-D.II(A), dated 27th February, 1982 of the Ministry of Labour, in respect of the following issue:

"Whether the management of State Bank of Travancore was justified in discharging Shri P. V. Sasidharan Pillai from services of the Bank with effect from 10-3-80, and if not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

2. Facts leading upto this dispute are as follows: The Management is State Bank of Travancore, Trivandrum-1, Kerala State. The reference made by the Government of India, Ministry of Labour for adjudication relates to the discharge of Thiru P. V. Sasidharan Pillai from the services of the Management-Bank with effect from 10-3-1980. The claim statement on behalf of the aggrieved workman has been filed by Kerala Pradesh Bank Workers' Organisation, T.D. Road, Ernakulam, Cochin-682011, Kerala State. The workman con-cerned in this dispute Thiru P. V. Sasidharan Pillai was employed as Cashier in the Management-Bank from 17-2-1972. While he was working at the Attingal Branch of the Management-Bank, he was placed under suspension with effect from 6:6-1977. Ex. W-1 is the order of suspension issued to the workman. He was served with a show cause memo on 23-8-77 vide Ex. W-2. Ex. W-3 is the explanation submitted by the workman on 22-9-1977. The workman concerned, namely. Thiru P. V. Sasidharan Pillai has also been examined as Thiru P. V. Sasidharan Pillai has also been examined as W.W.1 before this Tribunal, Ex. W-4 is the final charge memoissued to W.W.1 on 7-12-1977. Page 3 of Ex. W-4 notifies that the enquiry will be held at Attingal Branch of the management (Bank by Thiru P. S. Abraham. 'A' Grade Officer of the Bank, It is also stated that the said Officer would specify the time and date of the enquiry. Clause (4) of Ex. W-4 also points out that if the delinquent desires to bring any depresentative of a recognised Trade Union of em bring any depresentative of a recognised Trade Union of employees of the Bank to assist him in the enquiry, he may do so after furnishing the name and address of such individual to the Enquiry Officer atleast three clear days before the enquiry commences. Clause (5) says that the delinquent will be given full opportunity to examine and cross-examine the witnesses and to produce evidences witnesses on his own behalf. The enquiry however was conducted by Thiru V. Ganapathy, another Officer of the Bank and the enquiry commenced on 16-1-1978 and was concluded on 4-7-1979. At this juncture. it will be pertinent for me to point out the negative attitude adopted by the Management in the conduct of the dispute before this Tribunal. No doubt, in response to the summons issued by this Tribunal which has been received by the Manageissued by this Tribunal. No tonot, in response to the saliminal issued by the Tribunal which has been received by the Management on 13-3-1982 the Management-Bank did appear before this Tribunal at Nagercoil Camp on 5-4-1982. In the presence of the Management this Tribunal had directed the Petitioner-Union to file the claim statement before this Tribunal at Madras. But the Management-Bank did not appear. From the claim statement filed by the Union before this Tribunal at Madras. But the Management-Bank did not appear. From the claim statement filed by the Union before this Tribunal, it can be seen that a copy of the claim statement had been forwarded to the Management-Bank. On 20-4-1982, this Tribunal adjourned the dispute to 4-5-1982 calling for the counter statement of the Management was received in this office by post on 3-5-1982. Again on 4-5-82, the Management did not appear before this Tribunal, but the Tribunal had directed the parties to file their documents and the Management did not appear. However, on 26-5-1982, On 26-5-1982, the Petitioner-Union filed their documents and the Management did not appear. However, on 26-5-1982, On 8-6-1982 also the Management did not appear. on 26-5-1982 this Tribunal posted the enquiry of the dispute to 8-6-1982. On 8-6-1982 also the Management did not appear and did not file any documents on their behalf. It may be pertinent to point out that the issue referred to this Tribunal for adjudication by the Government of India, Ministry of Labour calls upon this Tribunal to give a finding as to whether the discharge of Thiru P.V. Sasidharan Pillai from the services of the Management-Bank with effect from 10-3-1980 is justified. Therefore the burden is mainly on the Management-Bank to justify their action in having discensed with the services of Thiru P.V. Sasidharan Pillai from the Bank with effect from 10-3-1980. It is the Management who has to produce the original documents of domestic ment who has to produce the original documents of domestic

enquiry, findings and the ultimate decision taken by the Management. But this Tribunal has been denied the privilege of having the benefit of the cooperation of the Management in this direction. Therefore it was that the worker concerned who examined as W.W.I had to produce the entire domestic enquiry proceedings before this Tribunal for perusal. But as the domestic enquiry proceedings were in Malayafam and W.W.I was unable to give English translation immediately to the Tribunal. In the context of the unhelpful attitude adopted by the Management, the petitioner-Union has to produce the available copies in their custody and possession so that the enquiry before this Tribunal need not be delayed any longer.

- 3. Ex. W-6 is the letter from the Management-Bank to the workman W.W.1 enclosing the summary of the caquiry proceedings and this is found to be in lenglish although undated. The enquiry conducted by the Management is based on the charges found in Ex. W-4 dated 7-12-1977. As per the charge under Ex. W-4 the delinquent had been charged wth 19 acts of misfeasance and malfeasance and nonfeasance. As per the report of the Enquiry Officer enclosed in Ex. W-6, it can be gathered that out of the 19 charges levelled against the workman, 12 have been held proved and the other charges not proved. The disciplinary authority accepting the findings of the Enquiry Officer issued a show cause notice to W.W.I under Ex. W-7 dated 14-12-1979 calling for his explanation as to why a punishment of dismissal should not be awarded to him Ex. W-8 is the explanation submitted by W.W.I on 7-1-1980. Ex. W-9 is the ultimate order passed by the disciplinary authority deciding to discharge W.W.I without notice from the service of the Bank in terms of paragraph 19 (6) (c) and paragraph 19 (12) (c) of the First Bipartite Settlement. Ex. W-9 is the order of discharage passed by the disciplinary authority on 10-3-1980. Aggrieved by the order of the disciplinary authority, the workman preferred an appeal before the Assistant General Manager of the Bank on 23-3-1980-viile Ex. W-10 It should be noted that the appeal has been preferred by the workman within the stipulated time. The appellate Authority gave a personal hearing to W.W.I-vide Ex. W-13 dated 17-9-1980. However, eventually the Appellate Authority confirmed the order of discharge of W.W.I-vide Ex. W-14 dated 3-10-1980. On the rejection of the appeal the Petitioner-Union, namely, Kerala Pradesh Bank Workers' Organisation, an affiliate of National Organisation of Bank Workers raised a dispute before the Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central), Ernakulam, Cochin-682016. Ex. W-15 is the conciliation failure report submitted by him and ultimately the Government of India, Ministry of Labour has
 - 4. Points that arise for determination are :
 - (i) Whether the domestic enquiry conducted by the Management-Bank is fair and proper;
 - (ii) Whether the findings of the Enquiry Officer are perverse or based on no materials; and
 - (iii) whether the worker concerned is entitled to invoke Section 11-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

From the narration of facts in paragraph supra it is clear that by 7-12-1977 the workman concerned has been apprised of the charges levelled against him by the Management-vide Ex. W-4. Thereafter the domestic enquiry commenced from 16-1-1978. The witnesses proposed to be examined by the Management in support of the charges against the workman had been furnished in Ex. W-5 on 24-1-1978. Throughout the enquiry, the workman and the assistance of a Co-employee. The witnesses examined by the Management were also cross-examined by the workman. The workman had also examined his defence witnesses with regard to certain charges. The domestic enquiry was over by 4-7-1979. No doubt, in the course of the enquiry, Thiru S. Murali who was the workman adviser had been promoted and transferred elsewhere and thereafter one Thiru S. Ananthapadmanabhan, Clerk attached to the Accounts Department, Head Office had assisted the workman in the conduct of the enquiry through the end, The proceedings had taken place only in Malayalam known to the workman although he knows English also. By no stretch of imagination can it be said that the enquiry against the workman was huntled through. The delinquent had been offered all reasonable opportunities to confront the charges levelled against him. It is not as though the findings of the Enquiry Officer are final. The disciplinary authority has accepted the findings of the Enquiry Officer are final.

proposing the punishment of dismissal on 14-12-1979 under Ex. W-7. The workman has submitted his explanation on 7-1-1980 Ex. W-8. Thereafter alone the ultimate decision was taken by the disciplinary authority on 10-3-1980 discharging W.W.1 forthwith from the services of the Management-Bank. Aggrieved workman has also availed himself of the opportunity of preferring an appeal before the Assistant General Manager of the Bank on 23-3-1980—vide Ex. W-10. The Appellate Authority also gave a personal hearing to the concerned workman—vide Ex. W-13 and finally passed the order on 3-10-1980—vide Ex. W-14 confirming the order of discharge of W.W. 1. Under these circumstances, on the materials now placed before me I have little hesitation to find that the domestic enquiry held against the worker concerned in this dispute was fair and proper.

5. That leads me to the consideration of the next question as to whether the findings of the Enquiry Officer are based on materials placed before him or if the findings can be held to be perverse. As already pointed out even the Enquiry Officer had held that out of the 19 charges levelled against Officer had held that out of the 19 charges levelled against the workman, only 12 had been proved. The 12 proved charges are items (ii) to (vii), (ix), (xi) and (xii), (xiv) to (xvi) out of the 19 charges listed in Ex. W-4. Suffice for me to deal with the charges held to be proved against the workmen. However, it will be pertinent to point out that the main charge levelled against the workman, namely Charge No. (i) a cash shortage of Rs. 1000 has been held to be not proved. The other charges said to have been proved are very minor and are not gross by any standard. As a matter of fact it was The other charges said to have been proved are very minor and are not gross by any standard. As a matter of fact it was on this main charge the workman was placed under suspension from 6-6-1977—vide Ex. W-1. The order of suspension under Ex. W-1 is dated 6-6-1977. Therefore, it is to be noted that all the 19 charges found in Ex. W-4, the charge sheet dated 7-12-1977 related to incidents prior to the date of suspension, namely 6-6-1977. But apart from Charge No. 1, the other 18 charges were not specifically referred to in Ex. W-1. On 23-8-1977, these 19 charges were given to the workman under Ex. W-2. Therefore it is obvious that more than two months from the suspension of the workman. than two months from the suspension of the workman, various minor charges had been levelled against the workman concerned. Out of the proved charges as held by the Enquiry Officer I may only point out the following charges which are Officer I may only point out the following charges which are vague and does not give any details of incriminating matter against the delinquent charge Nos. (iv), (v), (vi), (xiv), (xv) and (xvi). Therefore, these charges will have to be simply ignored. These several vague charges without any details would also go a long way to probabilise the claim of W.W. I that because of his affiliation to the Petitioner-Union, the Management has not taken kindly to him and has come forward with this made up charges. On the materials now placed. I am inclined to accept his case and therefore for very trivial matters, the workman has been suspended first and eventually discharged from the services of the Bank for and eventually discharged from the services of the Bank for his trade union activities. It is also pointed out that in awarding the punishment, the disciplinary authority has not taken into account the previous record of the employee, W.W. I has stated that his previous record is clean and there is no evidence contra. This is in violation of clause 19(b)(c) of the First Bipartite Settlement and therefore the penalty imposed on the workman by the disciplinary authority as also confirmed by the Appellate Authority is unsustainable. Moreover as per clause 19(12)(c) of the First Bipartite Settlement. over, as per clause 19(12)(c) of the First Bipartite Settlement, even where sufficiently extenuating circumstances exist the misconduct may be condoned and in case such misconduct is of the 'gross' type he may be merely discharged, with or without notice or on payment of a month's pay and allowances. in lieu of notice. Here even according to the proven facts, by no stretch of imagination it can be said that any of the workman would charges levelled against the concerned therefore when the tantamount to gross misconduct and disciplinary authority discharges the workman concerned even without notice or pay there is obvious violation of specific clause of the First Bipartite Settlement, Looked at workman concerned from any angle, I hold that the order of discharge of the workman is perverse. In the view take, it is unnecessary to advert to any recourse to Section 11-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

6. In the result, an Award is passed holding that the action of the Management in discharging Thiru P.V. Sasi-

dharan Pillai from the Bank's service with effect from 10-3-1980 is unjustified. The concerned workman would be deemed to be in continuous service of the Management Bank even from 6-6-1977 till date with full back wages and other attendant benefits, etc.

The arrears of wages due and payable to the workman should be paid within two months from the date of the Award. I also direct the Management to pay a cost of Rs. 500 to the Petitioner-Union in conducting the enquiry at Madras all the way from Kerala.

Dated, this 14th day of June, 1982.

WITNESSES EXAMINED

For workmen: W.W. 1-Thiru P.V. Sasidharan Pillai.

For Management: None.

DOCUMENTS MARKED

For workmen

Ex. W-1|6-6-77-Suspension order issued to W.W. 1.

Ex. W-2|23-8-77—Show cause memo issued to W.W. 1.

Ex. W-3|22-9-77—Explanation of W.W. 1 to Ex. W-2.

Ex. W-4|7-12-77---Charge memo issued to W.W. 1.

Ex. W-5|24-1-78—Letter from the Enquiry Officer to W.W. 1 furnishing names of witnesses to be examined for the Bank.

Ex. W-6|28-11-79.—Letter from the Bank to W.W. 1 enclosing copy of the enquiry report.

Ex. W-7|14-12-79—Show cause notice issued to W.W. 1 proposing the punishment of dismissal.

Ex. W-8|7-1-80—Reply of W.W. 1 to Ex. W-7.

Ex. W-9|10-3-80—Order of discharge issued to W.W.1.

Ex. W-10|23-3-80—Appeal of W.W. 1 to the Assistant General Manager of the Bank. (Appellate Authority).

Ex.W-11—Postal acknowledgement for having received Ex. W-10 by the Appellate Authority on 7-4-1980.

Ex. W-12 8-9-80—Letter from W.W.1 to the Appellate Authority regarding his appeal with postal acknowledgement.

Ex. W-13|17-9-80—Letter from the Appellate Authority to W.W. 1 for personal hearing.

Ex. W-14|3-10-80—Order of the Appellate Authority confirming the order of discharge of W.W. 1.

Ex. W-15|4-6-81—Conciliation failure report.

Ex. W-16|10-5-77—Letter from the Bank to W.W. 1 regarding annual increment.

For Management: Nil.

T. SUDARSANAM DANIEL, Presiding Officer

[No. L-12012/(174)/81-D.II(A)]
N. K. VERMA, Desk Officer